08:36:40 1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
3	
4	THE HONORABLE GEORGE C. HANKS, JR., JUDGE PRESIDING USA, No. 4:21-CR-00009-1
5	
6	
7	ROBERT T. BROCKMAN,
8	
9	
10	OFFICIAL REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS
11	Houston, Texas
12	MONDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2021
13	
	APPEARANCES:
	For the Plaintiff: COREY J. SMITH, DOJ
16	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
17	
18	
	For the Defendant: JASON S. VARNADO, ESQ., Attorney at Law
20 21	COLLEEN O' CONNOR, ESQ.,
22	
23	
24	KATHRYN KENEALLY, ESQ., Attorney at Law
25	For the n/a Interpreter:

```
1
                              Sean Gumm, RPR, CRR
Official Court Reporter
    Reported by:
 2
                              United States District Court
 3
                              Southern District of Texas
                              sean gumm@txs.uscourts.gov
 4
 5 Proceedings recorded by mechanical stenography. Transcript produced by Reporter on computer.
 6
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	INDEX OF WITNESSE	<u>ES</u>		
2				
3				PAGE
4	RYAN DARBY, (For the Government)			
5	Direct Examination By Mr. Magnani	Ĺ:		71
6				
7				
8	INDEX OF EXHIBITS	<u>s</u>		
9	EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF	THE	GOVERNME	NT
10	NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARK	ŒD	RECEIVED	WITHDRAWN
11				
12				
13				
14	INDEX OF EXHIBIT	<u>rs</u>		
15	EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF	TH	E DEFENSE	2
16	NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARK	KED	RECEIVED	WITHDRAWN
17				
18				
19				
20	INDEX OF EXHIBIT	<u>rs</u>		
21	EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF O	F T	HE COURT	
22	NUMBER DESCRIPTION MARK	KED	RECEIVED	WITHDRAWN
23				
24	000			
25				
26				
27				
28				
		PR, C	rr <del></del>	

## **PROCEEDINGS** 1 2 (The following proceedings held in open court.) 3 4 08:36:40 5 MONDAY, NOVEMBER 15, 2021 -- 9:16 A.M. 08:36:40 --000--6 08:36:40 7 THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. 09:15:40 The first case, and the only case on the Court's 8 09:15:41 Docket is Cause 4:21-CR-00009-1, the United States 9 09:15:43 of America versus Robert T. Brockman. Before we get 10 09:15:52 started and the introductions, I just wanted to tell 11 09:15:57 12 the parties sort of my rules with respect to masks. 09:16:00 When you are addressing the Court, please feel free 13 09:16:02 to take your masks off, or when you are 14 09:16:04 cross-examining the witnesses please feel free to 15 09:16:07 take your masks off. 16 09:16:09 17 Members of the audience, I 09:16:10 respectfully request that you keep your masks on at 18 09:16:12 all times if you are not addressing the Court. 19 09:16:15 know it's a little crowded. You can't really social 20 09:16:17 distance in here. We're going to see if there's a 21 09:16:21 lot of people for tomorrow, possibly if the 22 09:16:24 electronics works out maybe getting a bigger 23 09:16:27 courtroom to use, but if everything's the way it is 24 09:16:30 today then I think we're okay. 25 09:16:34

09:16:39	1	If the parties can introduce
09:16:41	2	themselves and state the parties they represent,
09:16:43	3	starting with the Government.
09:16:44	4	MR. COREY SMITH: Good morning, Your
09:16:45	5	Honor. Corey Smith on behalf of the United States.
09:16:47	6	With me are my colleagues Lee Langston, Boris
09:16:50	7	Bourget, and Chris Magnani.
09:16:53	8	THE COURT: Good morning.
09:16:56	9	MR. COREY SMITH: Also have our case
09:16:57	10	agent sitting at counsel table.
09:16:58	11	THE COURT: Welcome, sir.
09:16:59	12	On behalf of Mr. Brockman?
09:17:01	13	MR. VARNADO: Yes, good morning, Your
09:17:02	14	Honor. Jason Varnado on behalf of Mr. Brockman, and
09:17:06	15	with my colleagues James Loonam, Kathy Keneally, and
09:17:09	16	of course Mr. Brockman is here as well.
09:17:10	17	THE COURT: Welcome, Mr. Brockman.
09:17:13	18	MR. VARNADO: We have some other
09:17:14	19	colleagues before openings, but I'll do that for
09:17:16	20	now.
09:17:16	21	THE COURT: Not a problem. First of
09:17:18	22	all, is good to see you all in person after so long.
09:17:22	23	It's been awhile so
09:17:23	24	Before we get started, I know the
09:17:25	25	parties have a couple of issues they wanted to raise

09:17:27	1	with the Court, and then I've got a couple of issues
09:17:30	2	I wanted to talk to you about. But before we get
09:17:33	3	started, first did you get a chance to exchange
09:17:36	4	witness lists and talk about who is going to be
09:17:38	5	called today?
09:17:39	6	MR. COREY SMITH: Yes, we've done that,
09:17:40	7	Your Honor.
09:17:40	8	THE COURT: Okay. Great. Can you all
09:17:42	9	just begin with whatever issues you have and then
09:17:45	10	we'll talk about my issues?
09:17:47	11	MR. COREY SMITH: Sure, Your Honor. We
09:17:49	12	have three items we want to bring up with the Court
09:17:51	13	before we get started. We have, as the Court
09:17:53	14	instructed, stipulated as to a number of exhibits
09:17:56	15	that are going to be pre-admitted, and we can go
09:17:58	16	through the respective parties' pre-admitted
09:18:01	17	exhibits.
09:18:01	18	The second issue is there's this
09:18:03	19	we've discussed sequestration of witnesses, and we
09:18:06	20	need to address that. I believe the Defense wants
09:18:09	21	sequestration rule and details there, and we'd like
09:18:12	22	to address that with the Court.
09:18:13	23	Third issue is a particular witness
09:18:14	24	that was actually a witness for the Government,
09:18:17	25	Dr. Maria Ponisio. The Defense now has subpoenaed

her, and there's some scheduling issues that are 1 09:18:20 kind of urgent and we wanted to bring that up with 2 09:18:22 the Court. 3 09:18:25 THE COURT: Okav. 4 09:18:25 5 MR. VARNADO: Your Honor, there may be 09:18:27 one -- additional information concerning information 6 09:18:28 we received from the Government last night with 7 09:18:31 respect to a witness they intend to call this 8 09:18:33 morning. Mr. Loonam will address that this morning. 9 09:18:35 THE COURT: Okav. Great. Before we 10 09:18:38 get started then, let's go ahead and if you could on 11 09:18:40 the record tell me which exhibits that you would 12 09:18:42 like to pre-admit, and then we'll get those on the 13 09:18:45 record from both sides. Then we'll talk about 14 09:18:48 sequestration next. 15 09:18:51 So let's start with the 16 09:18:53 17 Government's exhibits, all of the exhibits that the 09:18:54 parties agree to admit, from the Government. 18 09:18:57 MR. COREY SMITH: 19 The parties have 09:19:00 agreed that the Government's exhibit list to 20 09:19:01 pre-admit Exhibits 1 through 5, 28 through 27 [SIC], 21 09:19:03 22 and 81 through 96. 09:19:07 THE COURT: Okay. Those exhibits are 23 09:19:11 admitted. 2.4 09:19:14 25 MR. VARNADO: Your Honor, there may be 09:19:15

```
a little...
        1
09:19:16
                         THE COURT:
                                      Okay.
        2
09:19:17
                         MR. COREY SMITH:
                                           Sorry, Your Honor.
        3
09:19:25
           That's right we filed this one. There's a slight
        4
09:19:27
        5
           change, if I can correct the record?
09:19:29
                         THE COURT:
                                      Okay.
        6
09:19:31
                         MR. COREY SMITH: Government's
        7
09:19:31
           Exhibits 1 through 8, 29, 32 through 43, 58 through
        8
09:19:33
           60, 77 through 95 were pre-admitted. Then there's
        9
09:19:41
           additional exhibits that the parties have agreed to
       10
09:19:53
           that -- there's additional exhibits that the parties
       11
09:19:57
       12
           have agreed to that are -- that the parties agree
09:20:03
           are authentic. That's Government's Exhibit 9
       13
09:20:06
           through 27, 44 through 57, 62 through 75 and 97
       14
09:20:09
           through 114.
       15
09:20:19
                         THE COURT:
       16
                                      Okay.
09:20:20
       17
                         MR. VARNADO:
                                       Your Honor, on that last
09:20:22
           batch of exhibits, we did come to an agreement with
       18
09:20:23
           the Government. We're not contesting authenticity.
       19
09:20:25
           We're just preserving our right to object to the
       20
09:20:28
           evidence being not relevant or cumulative, but tried
       21
09:20:30
           to work out everything we could so people aren't
       22
09:20:32
           having to lay a foundation and take the Court's
       23
09:20:34
           time.
       24
09:20:36
       25
                         THE COURT: That's perfect. So those
09:20:37
```

09:20:38	1	exhibits are not admitted, but basically there's no
09:20:42	2	objection to the authenticity of those?
09:20:45	3	MR. VARNADO: That's correct, Your
09:20:46	4	Honor.
09:20:46	5	THE COURT: Those documents.
09:20:47	6	MR. VARNADO: For the pre-admitted for
09:20:49	7	the Defense, Your Honor, it's just Exhibits 1
09:20:50	8	through 48.
09:20:51	9	THE COURT: Okay. Great. Those
09:20:54	10	exhibits 1 through 48, and then the exhibits
09:20:56	11	identified by the Government as pre-admitted are now
09:21:00	12	pre-admitted.
09:21:03	13	Okay. Now, let's talk about the
09:21:04	14	sequestration of the witnesses.
09:21:08	15	MR. VARNADO: Your Honor, I think the
09:21:09	16	Government has asked that we would consent to their
09:21:12	17	three experts remaining in the courtroom for the
09:21:15	18	entirety of the proceedings and observing all of the
09:21:18	19	cross-examinations.
09:21:20	20	In fact, as represented that one of
09:21:21	21	their witnesses they intend to have is a summary
09:21:24	22	expert witness. We object to the Defense I mean
09:21:27	23	the Government's experts remaining in the courtroom
09:21:30	24	for the entirety of the proceeding.
09:21:32	25	First, the Defense experts our

experts are treating physicians. They have 1 09:21:35 patients. They have a very narrow window of time 2 09:21:37 that they're going to be able to attend this time, 3 09:21:40 as opposed to the Government's experts, which are 4 09:21:42 5 expert testifiers and be here this whole time. 09:21:45 6 Second, there is disagreement among 09:21:48 7 the Government's experts. They've got one expert 09:21:51 who says they can't decide if Mr. Brockman is 09:21:53 competent or not. They have another expert that is 9 09:21:56 steadfast and says that Mr. Brockman is malingering. 10 09:21:58 And they have a third expert who 11 09:22:02 12 said Mr. Brockman was competent, and then said he 09:22:03 couldn't decide, and then came back with a different 13 09:22:05 opinion and comes back and says he is competent. 14 09:22:08 We believe because there's daylight 15 09:22:10 between these experts, they should not be allowed to 16 09:22:13 17 remain in the courtroom and observe the 09:22:15 18 cross-examinations of the other experts. We think 09:22:16 19 that's an unfair process, and we ask that the expert 09:22:18 witnesses be sequestered for this particular 20 09:22:22 hearing. 21 09:22:24 22 THE COURT: Okay. That's very unusual. 09:22:25 Experts are always allowed -- I mean, in my 23 09:22:27 experience -- to hear the testimony of other experts 24 09:22:30 and testify -- testify based on what they hear. 25 09:22:33

there any reason why -- I guess you are saying in 1 09:22:37 this case because their testimony differs so much 2 09:22:41 that they shouldn't be allowed to hear other experts 3 09:22:45 I'm not quite sure I understand. items? 4 09:22:48 5 MR. VARNADO: I'll be clear. We don't 09:22:51 object to after they testify if they're going to 6 09:22:52 remain in the courtroom, but we don't think they 7 09:22:54 should be able to observe the expert before them who 8 09:22:58 is testifying to be cross-examined, and educate 09:23:00 themselves so they can address their testimony in a 10 09:23:02 different way. 11 09:23:05 So I do think that is what we're 12 09:23:06 asking, not to prohibit them from after -- you know, 13 09:23:08 leave the witness stand from remaining in the 14 09:23:11 courtroom if that's their choice and what they want 15 09:23:13 to do, but for an expert to sit here and observe all 16 09:23:15 of the testimony and essentially form new opinions 17 09:23:18 18 that are not part of their expert reports, that's 09:23:20 something we're objecting to. 19 09:23:23 THE COURT: But that's typical. 20 09:23:24 Experts can listen to other experts' testimony, and 21 09:23:26 then provide supplemental testimony based on what 22 09:23:29 they hear in Court. So respectfully, the motion is 23 09:23:33 overruled. 2.4 09:23:36 25 MR. VARNADO: Okay. Thank you, Your 09:23:36

Honor. 1 09:23:37 THE COURT: But if you guys do want to 2 09:23:37 invoke the rule, I'll invoke the rule as to fact 3 09:23:39 witnesses, but with respect to experts, experts are 4 09:23:42 5 allowed to stay in the courtroom and hear the 09:23:44 testimony. 6 09:23:46 7 MR. COREY SMITH: We do agree to invoke 09:23:48 the rule with fact witnesses, with one exception. 8 09:23:50 guess that's the attorney for Mr. Brockman, Kathy 9 09:23:54 Keneally. I guess she's going to testify -- since 10 09:23:57 she's lead counsel, we don't object to her staying 11 09:23:59 12 in the courtroom. 09:24:01 THE COURT: Okav. 13 09:24:02 MR. VARNADO: Mr. Smith -- we had 14 09:24:03 talked about Mr. Brockman's caretaker, 15 09:24:04 Mr. Gutierrez. He may very well testify as well and 16 09:24:07 the Government indicated they did not object to him 17 09:24:10 remaining. 18 09:24:12 MR. COREY SMITH: That's correct, Your 19 09:24:13 Honor. We don't object to Mr. Brockman's caretaker 20 09:24:14 staying in the courtroom. 21 09:24:18 22 THE COURT: So the rule has been 09:24:19 invoked. Anyone that's in the courtroom that's 23 09:24:20 going to be providing fact testimony needs to excuse 24 09:24:22 25 themselves, and then we can call them back. 09:24:26

09:24:28	1	Is there anyone you want me to
09:24:30	2	swear in to make sure they appear later on that are
09:24:33	3	appearing by subpoena?
09:24:35	4	MR. VARNADO: No, Your Honor.
09:24:36	5	MR. COREY SMITH: No.
09:24:36	6	THE COURT: Okay. Then if you are in
09:24:38	7	the courtroom, ladies and gentlemen, and you are a
09:24:39	8	fact witness and not an expert, or Mr. Brockman's
09:24:43	9	caretaker or attorney, you need to leave the
09:24:45	10	courtroom and then come back when you are called.
09:24:49	11	Counsel, I just need to ask for
09:24:51	12	your help, because I don't know who all of the fact
09:24:54	13	witnesses are. If you see someone in the courtroom
09:24:56	14	who is a fact witness, let me know and I will ask
09:25:00	15	them to leave.
09:25:01	16	MR. COREY SMITH: Very well, Your
09:25:03	17	Honor.
09:25:04	18	THE COURT: Then the final issue on
09:25:05	19	your list was subpoenas?
09:25:07	20	MR. COREY SMITH: Well, there's one
09:25:08	21	particular witness, Dr. Maria Ponisio. She was a
09:25:11	22	witness an expert that we retained. She's a
09:25:14	23	radiologist, nuclear radiologist. She's never met
09:25:19	24	Mr. Brockman. She was just retained by the
09:25:21	25	Government to review some of the imaging and she

wrote a report, which the Defense has. 1 09:25:23 We were initially going to call 2 09:25:25 her, but in speaking to Dr. Ponisio, she's up in 3 09:25:27 St. Louis and she works at the George Washington 4 09:25:30 Hospital up there, and she has a lot -- her -- there 5 09:25:33 was a scheduling conflict. So we believe that her 09:25:36 7 testimony is going to be -- very much duplicative of 09:25:39 our very first witness, our neurologist, Dr. Darby 09:25:42 so we decided not to call her and waste everyone's 09:25:46 time to call her to hear the same thing again. 10 09:25:50 Since that time Defense has subpoenaed her to 11 09:25:52 12 testify. 09:25:54 She's concerned that she may not be 13 09:25:54 able to come down in the appropriate time to 14 09:25:58 She has to be back -- again, not to take 15 testify. 09:26:00 up the Court's time with these minutiae, but she has 16 09:26:04 17 clinic duties at the children's hospital on Saturday 09:26:07 18 morning, so she has to be out of here Friday night. 09:26:10 She has agreed to testify via video if the Defense 19 09:26:12 really wants to call her. 20 09:26:15 So we would ask if they do really 21 09:26:17 want to call Dr. Ponisio to let her testify via 22 09:26:19 video conferencing. 23 09:26:22 THE COURT: Okay. Was she in the 24 09:26:23 25 jurisdiction of the Court at the time she was 09:26:26

09:26:28	1	served, or how did this happen?
09:26:30	2	MR. COREY SMITH: I'll let Mr. Loomas
09:26:31	3	[SIC] address that.
09:26:32	4	MR. LOONAM: Your Honor, a little
09:26:33	5	background
09:26:37	6	MR. COREY SMITH: I'm sorry, I got your
09:26:39	7	name wrong.
09:26:41	8	MR. LOONAM: Dr. Ponisio is the
09:26:43	9	Government's retained neuroradiologist who has
09:26:47	10	reviewed the imaging in this case. She's issued
09:26:50	11	four reports, some reviewing one scan, some
09:26:55	12	combining scans. Um, and each of those reports has
09:26:59	13	well, the last report said that that the most
09:27:03	14	likely diagnosis to come out of the reports was
09:27:10	15	early Alzheimer's in the correct clinical situation.
09:27:14	16	Um, and that that the, um,
09:27:17	17	imaging most strongly supported early Alzheimer's.
09:27:20	18	That's that's different than what Dr. Denney will
09:27:26	19	testify to, the Government's main witness in this
09:27:29	20	case. So it's just an incredibly important witness.
09:27:31	21	Um, Dr. Ponisio was not on the
09:27:34	22	Government's witness list. Um, we informed the
09:27:37	23	Government on November 8th that we were adding
09:27:41	24	Dr. Ponisio to our witness list, and asked if the
09:27:44	25	Government would make her available. Um, Mr. Smith

responded that Dr. Ponisio had a conflict for the 1 09:27:47 week of November 15th -- the entire week of 2 09:27:53 November 15th. 3 09:27:57 "She's based in St. Louis, but is 4 09:27:57 5 able to testify via video conferencing, and would 09:28:00 you like us to forward you contact information?" 6 09:28:02 We said yes, and Mr. Smith 7 09:28:06 forwarded her contact information. I spoke with 8 09:28:07 Dr. Ponisio on Friday with, um, my colleague, Conor 09:28:11 Maloney on the phone with me. Dr. Ponisio actually 10 09:28:17 had -- she said some things that are a little 11 09:28:21 12 different than what the Government just said. She 09:28:23 said she was told by the Government she would never 13 09:28:25 have to testify when she was retained, and never 14 09:28:28 have to testify. 15 09:28:31 I told her, "Well, I'm sorry to be 16 09:28:32 17 the bearer of bad news, but you might have to 09:28:34 I don't know, and I want to be respectful 18 testify. 09:28:37 19 of your schedule. I want to be respectful of your 09:28:39 conflicts, so what is your conflict for this week, 20 09:28:43 what conflict do you have?" 21 09:28:45 She's, like, "Well" -- and there's 22 09:28:46 no conflict other than what every doctor in this 23 09:28:48 case is dealing with. Maybe, "I have this," or "I'm 24 09:28:52 preparing for a presentation on this day." 25 09:28:55

And very quickly when I said, 1 09:28:57 "Well, you know, this is a really important matter," 2 09:28:59 she said, "It's better to know sooner rather than 3 09:29:01 later if I need to travel." 4 09:29:05 "I don't want to needlessly 5 09:29:06 inconvenience you." 6 09:29:09 7 So depending on how the 09:29:10 Government's witness, Dr. Darby, who they plan on 8 09:29:12 having testify about Dr. Ponisio's expert report, 09:29:14 Dr. Darby, who will be the first witness, is a 10 09:29:18 neurologist. He's not a neuroradiologist. I don't 11 09:29:20 12 know if his opinion is going to be consistent or 09:29:24 different from what Dr. Ponisio -- Dr. Ponisio's 13 09:29:26 reports say. 14 09:29:30 And so, what I told Dr. Ponisio is 15 09:29:31 let me hear from the witnesses on Monday, um, and 16 09:29:35 17 then we will talk, and hopefully I'm better informed 09:29:37 as to whether we need you to testify or not. 18 09:29:41 then we'll let you know as soon as we can. 19 09:29:43 So we're -- and that's the plan for 20 09:29:45 us to call Dr. Ponisio tonight, um, to let her know 21 09:29:48 22 if we indeed need to call her and she needs to 09:29:51 travel, and we'll work with her schedule just like 23 09:29:55 we have for every other witness in this case. 24 09:29:56 25 THE COURT: Okav. 09:29:58

Response, Mr. Smith? 1 09:29:59 MR. COREY SMITH: That sounds 2 09:30:01 reasonable, Judge. If we can address this after 3 09:30:03 Dr. Darby testifies, and if they don't have an issue 4 09:30:05 with Dr. Darby's testimony we can -- well, we don't 5 09:30:10 know what Dr. Darby is going to say, but after he 09:30:13 testifies we can readdress this issue. Sounds like 7 09:30:16 a reasonable solution. 8 09:30:18 THE COURT: Okay. 9 09:30:19 MR. LOONAM: Sorry, Dr. Darby is the 10 09:30:22 main witness. I think if Dr. Denney's testifying 11 09:30:24 12 today, too, we'll want to see what Dr. Denney says 09:30:28 about the scans if he's going to testify with 13 09:30:31 respect to the scans. I don't know, but by the end 14 09:30:33 of the day. 15 09:30:36 We plan on calling -- I think we --16 09:30:36 17 I said a time around six o'clock to speak with 09:30:39 Dr. Ponisio. 18 09:30:43 THE COURT: Okay. Great. Mr. Varnado? 19 09:30:43 MR. VARNADO: On this topic of experts 20 09:30:48 and timing, I did want to note for the Court, and 21 09:30:50 22 we'll confer with the Government as well, that we do 09:30:52 have one expert, Dr. Wisniewski that absolutely has 23 09:30:54 to have a full clinic day on Thursday. You know, we 24 09:30:59 25 had mentioned in a prior hearing we may need to call 09:31:01

```
some doctors out of order.
        1
09:31:04
                              He is one that we would want to put
        2
09:31:05
           on the Wednesday lunch break. Maybe the
        3
09:31:07
           Government's done by that time -- entirely possible,
        4
09:31:09
           but I want to flag for the Court this is somebody
        5
09:31:12
           who is coming in very, very late Tuesday. Has to
09:31:14
        7
           absolutely leave late -- you know, will get the
09:31:17
           latest flight out. But wanted to flag that we may
        8
09:31:20
           need Dr. Wisniewski out of order after the lunch
09:31:23
           break on Wednesday.
       10
09:31:26
                         THE COURT:
                                     Definitely, Mr. Varnado.
       11
09:31:27
           I'm going to accommodate the doctors' schedules to
       12
09:31:31
           the best of the Court's ability. They're taking
       13
09:31:35
           care of people that have lives at stake. Whatever
       14
09:31:37
           we need to do to accommodate them we're doing.
       15
09:31:41
                         MR. COREY SMITH: Absolutely fine for
       16
09:31:45
           the Government, Your Honor.
       17
09:31:47
                         THE COURT: Great.
       18
09:31:47
                                        One issue I added, Judge?
       19
                         MR. VARNADO:
09:31:48
                         THE COURT: Sure.
       20
09:31:50
                         MR. VARNADO: We got some
       21
09:31:51
           demonstratives from the Government last night about
       22
09:31:53
           5:30 --
       23
09:31:55
                         MR. LOONAM:
                                       6:00 --
       24
09:31:56
                         MR. VARNADO: Yeah, six o'clock
      25
09:31:58
```

pertaining to Dr. Darby and Dr. Denney. I'll let 1 09:32:00 Mr. Loonam address the specifics, but to tee it up 2 09:32:03 these demonstratives contain information and 3 09:32:06 references to source material, including academic 09:32:10 5 studies that were never referenced in their prior 09:32:12 expert reports. Each of these experts had two 6 09:32:17 reports, Dr. Denney and Dr. Darby, and they did not 7 09:32:19 refer to this material. 8 09:32:23 So I'll let Mr. Loonam expand 9 09:32:24 further, but it's our position that, you know, this 10 09:32:26 is new information and we object to it being 11 09:32:29 12 included at this very late hour. We don't have 09:32:32 time, you know, to run it past our experts and dig 13 09:32:34 It's highly technical academic studies on these 14 09:32:36 topics. 15 09:32:40 MR. LOONAM: I don't want to take up 16 09:32:41 17 the Court's time, Judge. The only thing I'd add is 09:32:43 we received two sets of demonstratives last night. 18 09:32:46 One was for Dr. Denney, which we're not objecting 19 09:32:49 It's the Dr. Darby slides -- the expert reports 20 to. 09:32:51 were due October 29th in this case. DOJ missed that 21 09:32:55 22 deadline by a day. 09:33:00 Then on November 1st, we appeared 23 09:33:01 before the Court because one of the expert reports 24 09:33:03 seemed to leave wiggle room for changing the 25 09:33:06

opinion. We said, "Wait, we need notice to prepare 1 09:33:08 this case." 2 09:33:13 It's a highly technical case. 3 Lot 09:33:13 of experts. We're talking about the brain and 4 09:33:16 5 neurology. It's tough, technical stuff. And so, 09:33:18 the Court stated, "Absolutely, you need" -- and gave 09:33:22 7 the Government the opportunity to supplement -- by 09:33:27 the way the Government said it wasn't going to 8 09:33:31 supplement, and then did after it represented it 9 09:33:35 wasn't going to. 10 09:33:38 But then Your Honor said, you know, 11 09:33:39 "By Monday, November 8th the Government needs to 12 09:33:40 provide all supplemental reports or show good cause 13 09:33:44 why not." 14 09:33:47 So that's where we are. And then, 15 09:33:48 last night -- I don't know 5:00 or 6:00, because my 16 09:33:51 17 clock is off because of New York time frankly, and I 09:33:54 don't know if my computer switched back. So we'll 18 09:33:57 call it five o'clock on Sunday night we got an 19 09:33:59 e-mail of what they say are demonstratives, but 20 09:34:02 really this is a supplement to the expert report. 21 09:34:05 The first page includes, "Dementia 22 09:34:08 progression with beta-amyloid tau neuronal imagery 23 09:34:12 dysfunction brain structure," with a site to 24 09:34:17 25 Alzheimer's Research and Therapy Vol. II (phonetic). 09:34:19

09:34:23	1	This is a book they're relying on. We're entitled
09:34:26	2	to the opinion of the expert, and the bases for the
09:34:28	3	opinion. This raises a new basis of their opinion
09:34:30	4	right here.
09:34:31	5	Then if you go through this, Judge,
09:34:32	6	some of this is, like, summary slides more for
09:34:36	7	closing. But, you know, that's not what I'm raising
09:34:39	8	the main objection to. Here's a slide with the
09:34:43	9	brain images, but then two articles cited at the
09:34:45	10	bottom, right?
09:34:47	11	One article here says, "Posterior
09:34:50	12	paroccipital hypometabolism may differentiate"
09:35:03	13	"posterior paraoccipital hypometabolism may
09:35:09	14	differentiate mild cognitive impairment from
09:35:12	15	dementia in Parkinson's disease."
09:35:14	16	That's just an example, Judge.
09:35:16	17	It's very important issue in this case, and it's
09:35:19	18	it's a new basis for Dr. Darby's opinion.
09:35:23	19	Dr. Darby's report has been out for quite some time.
09:35:26	20	Our reports cited medical literature so that their
09:35:29	21	experts could review the medical literature, see if
09:35:32	22	there was something for them so they could prepare
09:35:34	23	for cross.
09:35:35	24	I got this five o'clock last night,
09:35:38	25	middle of preparing on a Sunday. It's there's

09:35:41	1	another article here. These are very technical,
09:35:44	2	dense medical literature and research. I should
09:35:49	3	have the opportunity to read this, see if there's
09:35:52	4	conflicting medical research out there, consult with
09:35:56	5	my expert in order to properly cross their expert.
09:36:00	6	I can't do that, um, because of
09:36:03	7	and these slides weren't prepared last night and
09:36:06	8	thrown together. There's been work put in these,
09:36:09	9	Your Honor. To receive this five o'clock before
09:36:11	10	appearing before Your Honor tonight is just
09:36:13	11	inappropriate, so we object. We object to it.
09:36:16	12	We are prepared to go, but they
09:36:19	13	shouldn't be able to use this.
09:36:20	14	THE COURT: Okay.
09:36:21	15	Response?
09:36:23	16	MR. MAGNANI: Your Honor, Christopher
09:36:25	17	Magnani for the United States. I definitely agree
09:36:28	18	with my colleague this is tough, technical stuff. I
09:36:31	19	understand why they would object, because it
09:36:33	20	clarifies the tough technical stuff and puts it in a
09:36:36	21	way that's easy for lay people to understand. So
09:36:39	22	kind of like with sequestration, Your Honor, the
09:36:41	23	goal here is to help the Court as fact finder get
09:36:44	24	through this tough technical stuff.
09:36:46	25	THE COURT: I'm with you, with the

charts, but the references to articles or books or 1 09:36:48 treatises that weren't disclosed as part of the 2 09:36:54 expert opinion. 3 09:36:57 MR. MAGNANI: Basically, Your Honor, 4 09:36:58 5 the way I describe that is they're not necessary for 09:36:59 the demonstratives. We put them as sort of 09:37:01 7 footnotes. If Defense expert is wondering, "Where 09:37:04 did this come from," it gives them the ability to do 09:37:07 that. 9 09:37:09 That way if their experts want to 10 09:37:10 dig in to that, testify in their case when they put 11 09:37:11 12 in the opposite number -- so in other words our 09:37:14 first witness is a neurologist. They call their 13 09:37:16 neurologist, and they'll have the benefit of the 14 09:37:19 pictures and footnotes that ours used as a 15 09:37:20 demonstrative and say, "This is why they're unfair, 16 09:37:24 this is why they're wrong." 17 09:37:26 18 This was prepared a long time ago, 09:37:27 I have no idea what Counsel's basis for saying that 19 09:37:30 If you want to ask Dr. Darby when he's under 20 was. 09:37:33 oath, we were working on these slides last night and 21 09:37:36 we sent them the second they were done. 22 09:37:39 Before you continue, couple THE COURT: 23 09:37:42 of questions. First, the slides with respect to the 24 09:37:43 charts, are those charts based on information that 25 09:37:46

was disclosed in discovery or treatises or journals 1 09:37:51 that were disclosed in discovery? 2 09:37:55 MR. MAGNANI: It's a very good 3 09:37:58 question, Your Honor. All of the information that 4 09:37:59 5 it's portraying is all in discovery. The only new 09:38:01 thing is pictures -- you know, either a 09:38:04 demonstrative chart that shows -- that demonstrates 7 09:38:06 complex concepts, as opposing counsel said summaries 8 09:38:09 of different experts' opinions that just helps put 09:38:13 everything in perspective, and some pictures that 10 09:38:16 are published in, um, you know brain science 11 09:38:19 12 literature that basically says this is a combination 09:38:23 -- this is what a typical brain image looks like in 13 09:38:27 these cases. 14 09:38:30 So the last one is the one that 15 09:38:31 comes from the literature. Basically what we're 16 09:38:33 17 trying to do is say are these scans that we're 09:38:35 18 looking at in this case -- do we have to look at 09:38:38 19 them in isolation or compare them to the body of 09:38:40 science that exists? So that comparison is very 20 09:38:43 helpful to the fact finder to see. 21 09:38:45 Again, the study is cited, and so 22 09:38:49 if there's a problem their experts can talk about it 23 09:38:50 in their case. Frankly, if they want to 24 09:38:52 cross-examine Dr. Darby, and they don't feel 25 09:38:55

prepared today, he can be recalled for that purpose. 1 09:38:58 THE COURT: I guess what my problem and 2 09:39:00 my concern is what Mr. Loonam points out is the 3 09:39:02 articles themselves, or the journals that are being 4 09:39:05 used aren't something that were disclosed to 5 09:39:08 Mr. Loonam or his client timely. 09:39:12 7 I mean, do we need the footnotes? 09:39:14 Can you take the footnotes out and the 8 09:39:19 demonstratives remain the same? 9 09:39:20 One hundred percent, Your MR. MAGNANI: 10 09:39:23 Honor. The footnotes are there only for the Defense 11 09:39:24 12 and their experts to evaluate whether we chose 09:39:27 unfair examples or things since refuted. 13 09:39:30 terms of what's going to help someone who is not a 14 09:39:33 neurologist understand this stuff, you just need to 15 09:39:36 see the pictures of the footnotes are not important. 16 09:39:39 17 THE COURT: Okay. 09:39:41 18 MR. LOONAM: If I heard Mr. Magnani 09:39:42 correctly, the footnotes are there because it's 19 09:39:45 citation to new pictures that could very well be 20 09:39:47 helpful to the Court. I don't know. That's not 21 09:39:51 22 sort of the standard here. The pictures are new, 09:39:53 not disclosed. 23 09:39:56 Pulled them -- I guess last night a 24 09:39:57 treatise they thought would be helpful and put them 25 09:39:59

on a slide and they're -- this is a supplemental 1 09:40:01 expert report. I haven't heard good cause, which 2 09:40:04 was the standard for -- for any supplemental expert 3 09:40:06 report. And to -- to go through direct where I 4 09:40:09 5 can't properly cross and the -- and the -- and the 09:40:12 answer is, um, "Well, have your experts review, and 6 09:40:14 7 they can then testify about it because we're giving 09:40:17 you the basis for the opinion now," that -- in and 8 09:40:20 of itself -- makes very clear this is a supplemental 09:40:23 expert report produced to us 5:00 p.m. on Sunday 10 09:40:26 night before we appeared here after the Court 11 09:40:28 12 admonished the Government to -- to supplement any 09:40:31 expert reports by the 8th. 13 09:40:35 THE COURT: Okay. What I'm trying to 14 09:40:36 figure out is the supplemental report -- is it 15 09:40:37 changing the opinion in any way, or is it -- or is 16 09:40:40 17 it a different opinion? It sounds like -- I'm 09:40:42 18 sorry, I didn't mean to interrupt, but it sounds 09:40:45 like what you are doing -- I want to be clear on, is 19 09:40:47 that you are providing graphs and charts that 20 09:40:50 further explain the position that you are going to 21 09:40:53 be testifying about? 22 09:40:55 MR. MAGNANI: Your Honor, that's right. 23 09:40:56 And I think -- you can understand why counsel wants 24 09:40:57 to describe it as a supplemental expert report, 25 09:41:00

```
because that would require cause. But as I said
        1
09:41:02
           from the beginning, and as opposing counsel said
        2
09:41:04
           from the beginning, it's a demonstrative exhibit.
        3
09:41:07
           So it does not change the opinions in the expert
        4
09:41:09
           reports that were filed, it just does what a
        5
09:41:12
           demonstrative exhibit does.
        6
09:41:14
        7
                              It demonstrates those opinions to a
09:41:15
           lay person who does not have as much experience
        8
09:41:17
           understanding the type of technical stuff we're
        9
09:41:20
           talking about.
       10
09:41:22
                         THE COURT: Is this in opening or part
       11
09:41:23
           of the direct?
       12
09:41:25
                         MR. MAGNANI:
                                        Only as part of
       13
09:41:26
           Dr. Darby's direct. Frankly, Your Honor, if it
       14
09:41:29
           would aid the Court in helping to sort this out, I
       15
09:41:30
           have printed copies of it.
       16
09:41:33
       17
                         THE COURT: Can I take a look at it?
09:41:35
           Give me about five minutes, and I'm going to take a
       18
09:41:37
       19
           look and just take a quick recess.
09:41:39
       20
                         MR. LOONAM:
                                       Judge, to be clear,
09:41:42
           pictures are new.
       21
09:41:43
       22
                         THE COURT: Yeah, you are saying you
09:41:44
           have never seen this before. I want to take a look
       23
09:41:45
           and I'll be right back.
       24
09:41:47
          (Whereupon, off the record.)
       25
09:41:59
```

able to address the Court. I didn't have any nobody objected. I don't see why there isn't a reason to keep him from addressing the Court if he wanted to or she. I'm not sure.  MR. VARNADO: It's Mr. MacDougall, I  believe.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I	09:41:59	1	THE COURT: Is Dr. Yudofsky's counsel
parties. He asked he filed a motion asking to be able to address the Court. I didn't have any nobody objected. I don't see why there isn't a reason to keep him from addressing the Court if he wanted to or she. I'm not sure.  9:42:18 8 Wanted to or she. I'm not sure.  9:42:21 9 WR. VARNADO: It's Mr. MacDougall, I believe.  9:42:22 11 MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  9:42:26 12 Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  9:42:30 17 Osi42:30 17 Jist wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  9:42:40 20 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:01	2	here also? Okay because I understood that was
able to address the Court. I didn't have any nobody objected. I don't see why there isn't a reason to keep him from addressing the Court if he wanted to or she. I'm not sure.  9:42:11 9 MR. VARNADO: It's Mr. MacDougall, I believe.  9:42:22 11 MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  9:42:23 12 Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  9:42:43 19 19:42:43 19 19:42:43 19 19:42:43 19 19:42:44 20 19:42:44 20 19:42:45 21 19:42:46 20 19:42:49 21 19:51:24 22 10 19:51:24 22 20 20 24 Started.	09:42:06	3	one of the things I wanted to take up with the
nobody objected. I don't see why there isn't a reason to keep him from addressing the Court if he wanted to or she. I'm not sure.  MR. VARNADO: It's Mr. MacDougall, I believe.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  Og:42:49 21 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:08	4	parties. He asked he filed a motion asking to be
reason to keep him from addressing the Court if he wanted to or she. I'm not sure.  MR. VARNADO: It's Mr. MacDougall, I believe.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  MRE LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have heard to grant him the court would want and the court would want and the court would want if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  MRE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  MRE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  MRE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  MRE CANGSTON:	09:42:11	5	able to address the Court. I didn't have any
wanted to or she. I'm not sure.  99:42:23 10 believe.  MR. VARNADO: It's Mr. MacDougall, I believe.  99:42:26 11 MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  99:42:29 13 Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  99:42:43 19 just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  99:42:49 21 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:13	6	nobody objected. I don't see why there isn't a
MR. VARNADO: It's Mr. MacDougall, I  believe.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  O9:42:39  O9:42:49  O9:42:49  O9:42:40  OO  OW  OW  ON  OW  OW  OW  OW  OW  OW	09:42:16	7	reason to keep him from addressing the Court if he
believe.  09:42:26 11 MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  09:42:29 13 Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  09:42:39 17 THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  09:42:49 21 (Off the record.)  09:51:24 22 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:18	8	wanted to or she. I'm not sure.
MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't have an objection to the attorney being heard.  Mr. MacDougall objection to the attorney being heard.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't heard.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't anticipated.	09:42:21	9	MR. VARNADO: It's Mr. MacDougall, I
have an objection to the attorney being heard.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was wait if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  Mr. MacDougall had requestions before the court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was sort of the court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would have him here tomorrow.  Mr. MacDougall had requestions we told him it was going to be well had been deal was going to be well had been deal was going to be well had had been deal was going to be well had had been deal was going to be well had had been deal was going to be well had had been deal was going to be well had	09:42:23	10	believe.
Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  O9:42:49 21 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:26	11	MR. LANGSTON: Your Honor, we don't
anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  O9:42:49 21 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:26	12	have an objection to the attorney being heard.
was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  (Off the record.)  O9:51:24 22 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:29	13	Mr. MacDougall had requested, sort of, what day we
want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  Court if he cord.)  Court if he cord.)  Court if he was waiting. We're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:30	14	anticipated calling Dr. Yudofsky, and we told him it
speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.  THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I  just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  (Off the record.)  Os:51:24  22  Okay. Counsel, we're back on the  record. I just have a few questions before we get  started.	09:42:33	15	was going to be Wednesday. But if the Court would
THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I  109:42:43 19 just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  109:42:49 21 (Off the record.)  109:51:24 22 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:36	16	want, I can tell Mr. MacDougall if you want to
just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the O9:42:46 20 Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  O9:42:49 21 (Off the record.)  O9:51:24 22 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:39	17	speak to him we can have him here tomorrow.
Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.  (09:42:49 21 (Off the record.)  (09:51:24 22 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the  (09:51:26 23 record. I just have a few questions before we get  (09:51:28 24 started.	09:42:41	18	THE COURT: No, it's not a problem. I
09:42:49 21 (Off the record.) 09:51:24 22 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the 09:51:26 23 record. I just have a few questions before we get 09:51:28 24 started.	09:42:43	19	just wanted to grant his motion to appear before the
Osisi:24 22 Okay. Counsel, we're back on the record. I just have a few questions before we get started.	09:42:46	20	Court if he was waiting. Let's take five minutes.
09:51:26 23 record. I just have a few questions before we get 09:51:28 24 started.	09:42:49	21	(Off the record.)
09:51:28 24 <b>started.</b>	09:51:24	22	Okay. Counsel, we're back on the
	09:51:26	23	record. I just have a few questions before we get
09:51:29 25 First, I'm looking at what you	09:51:28	24	started.
	09:51:29	25	First, I'm looking at what you

handed me, which was Dr. Darby's demonstrative 1 09:51:30 exhibits -- or demonstrative slides. Page 1, 2 09:51:35 "Dementia Progression," is this a chart created by 3 09:51:41 the expert, or something that came out of 4 09:51:43 5 Alzheimer's Research & Therapy Volumes II, 23 2010? 09:51:45 6 MR. MAGNANI: My understanding is that 09:51:51 it came from that, but I would have to double check 7 09:51:52 with Dr. Darby who is in the courtroom, Your Honor. 8 09:51:55 THE COURT: Please double check. 9 09:51:57 Because if -- if it's not something he created and 10 09:51:58 it came from this book, I'm not going to allow it. 11 09:52:01 12 I think Mr. Loonam is absolutely correct. 09:52:03 problem is if these cites weren't necessary to show 13 09:52:09 where these documents came from, then they wouldn't 14 09:52:14 be there. 15 09:52:18 Since they're there, that means 16 09:52:21 they're pulled from some secondary source. 17 09:52:24 Mr. Loonam, if you're telling me 18 09:52:26 you haven't seen the secondary sources and your 19 09:52:29 experts haven't, then it's not coming in. 20 09:52:31 MR. MAGNANI: Your Honor, the only 21 09:52:33 22 thing I would point out -- again, you could ask this 09:52:34 of Dr. Darby, but I think he would testify these 23 09:52:36 slides are fair and accurate depictions of certain 24 09:52:41 25 things. 09:52:44

On that basis -- in other words, I 1 09:52:44 believe he could lay the foundation to admit these 2 09:52:46 as actual exhibits, which we're not trying to do. 3 09:52:48 We're not trying to say they're evidence, but I'll 4 09:52:51 5 put that out there. 09:52:53 THE COURT: The problem is they weren't 6 09:52:54 disclosed to the other side. It doesn't matter. 7 09:52:55 I'm not disputing the fact it could be evidence. Ιt 8 09:52:58 could be, but what Mr. Loonam's point is that it 09:53:02 wasn't disclosed to him timely in preparation for 10 09:53:05 this hearing, which places him at the disadvantage 11 09:53:09 12 of preparing his case for his client. 09:53:11 I think I'm summarizing that. 13 09:53:13 MR. LOONAM: Absolutely right. 14 09:53:16 it's accurate or not, I don't know. Whether there's 15 09:53:17 other evidence out there, I don't know. So you are 16 09:53:19 right, Your Honor, 100 percent. 17 09:53:21 18 THE COURT: So unless Dr. Darby is 09:53:24 going to testify this is a chart he created and it's 19 09:53:27 not pulled from the reference materials, then I 20 09:53:29 won't allow it as demonstrative evidence in this 21 09:53:34 22 case. Same with -- at least on page numbers, but 09:53:36 the -- it looks like scans of a brain that cite to 23 09:53:41 "Garcia", and "Garcia and Edison". 24 09:53:47 25 If those aren't slides that 09:53:51

09:53:54	1	Dr. Darby created, and these are pulled from those
09:53:58	2	two reference materials, I'm not going to allow
09:54:01	3	those either.
09:54:01	4	So as I said, Mr. Loonam, I think
09:54:05	5	you are absolutely correct Loonam, I'm sorry.
09:54:09	6	You are absolutely correct.
09:54:17	7	Then there's another one from
09:54:18	8	"Garcia" and "Garcia and Edison" as well, but it's
09:54:22	9	later on in the slide presentation. If those aren't
09:54:27	10	slides that were created by Dr. Darby, and they're
09:54:31	11	slides that were pulled from those reference
09:54:33	12	materials, then I'm not going to allow those either.
09:54:40	13	Do you need to ask Dr. Darby those
09:54:42	14	questions?
09:54:42	15	MR. MAGNANI: I would like to double
09:54:43	16	check, Your Honor, and I would also like to double
09:54:45	17	check I know Your Honor didn't mention it, but
09:54:47	18	consistent with that ruling I might also need to
09:54:49	19	check with him about the there's a slide after
09:54:53	20	the first brain scans. There's no footnote, but I'm
09:54:57	21	just not sure. It's the one with the.
09:55:03	22	MR. LOONAM: That comes
09:55:04	23	THE COURT: Looks like "Brockman MRI"
09:55:06	24	
09:55:07	25	MR. LOONAM: That comes from the

Neuroreader® (phonetic). That's been disclosed. 1 09:55:08 THE COURT: Okay. 2 09:55:12 MR. MAGNANI: Your Honor, do you mind 3 09:55:15 if I take a minute? I do -- I would like to double 09:55:16 5 check. 09:55:19 THE COURT: Sure. Not a problem. 6 09:55:19 7 MR. MAGNANI: Apologies, your Honor. 09:55:55 didn't steer you wrong. Dr. Darby confirmed 09:55:57 everything I said was correct. The only caveat is 09:56:00 that the slides with the brain scans -- and if Your 10 09:56:02 Honor is looking at them, there are the orange ones 11 09:56:09 and the blue ones. And I think this is clear, but I 12 09:56:11 want to make it abundantly clear, it's the first 13 09:56:14 The orange ones and the other ones from like, 14 09:56:17 you know, Mr. Brockman's brain scans and were of 15 09:56:20 course, you know, disclosed in this case. 16 09:56:23 17 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to 09:56:25 redact the information that was taken from the --18 09:56:27 from the treatises, that's fine, and leave 19 09:56:34 Mr. Brockman's scan that was disclosed, that's 20 09:56:38 21 great. 09:56:41 22 But the ones that were taken from 09:56:41 the treatises I'm not allowing, so they need to be 23 09:56:43 removed. 24 09:56:47 25 MR. MAGNANI: One qualifying question, 09:56:47

09:56:50	1	Your Honor, is that also if Dr. Darby were to
09:56:52	2	testify the orange scans, which he did pull from the
09:56:55	3	literature, are approximations of what he would
09:56:57	4	expect to see and what he does see in his clinical
09:57:00	5	practice?
09:57:01	6	THE COURT: He can just testify to
09:57:02	7	that.
09:57:02	8	MR. MAGNANI: Okay.
09:57:02	9	THE COURT: The problem is if these
09:57:04	10	scans are from this these reference materials and
09:57:08	11	Mr. Loonam was saying, "I've not seen them," and
09:57:11	12	nobody disputes that, then they're not in.
09:57:15	13	MR. MAGNANI: Very well, Your Honor.
09:57:16	14	THE COURT: Okay. So during opening I
09:57:21	15	guess or I guess during opening you will have an
09:57:24	16	opportunity to modify your demonstratives as
09:57:27	17	necessary. I assume somebody else will be doing
09:57:30	18	opening?
09:57:32	19	MR. MAGNANI: Fortunately for me that's
09:57:34	20	true, Your Honor.
09:57:34	21	THE COURT: Okay. Great. That was
09:57:49	22	issues you raised and the issues I had.
09:57:52	23	Anything else before we get
09:57:53	24	started?
09:57:55	25	MR. LOONAM: I think that's it, Your

09:57:56	1	Honor.
09:57:57	2	MR. COREY SMITH: I think that's it,
09:57:58	3	Your Honor.
09:57:58	4	THE COURT: Okay. Great. We'll
09:57:59	5	proceed with opening statements. As I said, I need
09:58:02	6	a statement, not argument. Provide me a roadmap.
09:58:08	7	Preliminary briefings are excellent, Counsel. Great
09:58:11	8	job sending it out for me, connecting all of the
09:58:13	9	dots. Before now, I've only seen bits and pieces.
09:58:17	10	It was very, very well done.
09:58:18	11	So if you can follow up with a good
09:58:20	12	opening, give me a roadmap of where you are going,
09:58:22	13	and then we'll get started.
09:58:25	14	Government, you may proceed when
09:58:27	15	ready.
09:58:29	16	MR. LANGSTON: Thank you. Lee Langston
09:58:38	17	for the Government. Your Honor, what you are going
09:58:41	18	to see over the next few days is the extraordinary
09:58:43	19	lengths a man is willing to go to evade
09:58:46	20	accountability of the largest tax fraud in US
09:58:49	21	history. The evidence will clearly show the
09:58:53	22	Defendant has been living a double life for years.
09:58:54	23	He's been lying to doctors,
09:58:57	24	exaggerating his symptoms in a desperate attempt to
09:59:00	25	evade prosecution in this case.

He didn't see a doctor about his 1 09:59:02 mental capacity until after the key search warrant 2 09:59:05 in this case, and he didn't resign from his position 3 09:59:07 as CEO of his company until after his indictment was 09:59:11 5 unsealed. 09:59:14 The evidence will show that the 6 09:59:16 7 Defendant had the motivation to malinger, that he 09:59:18 has the capacity to successfully deceive trained 8 09:59:21 doctors, and that he is presently exaggerating and 9 09:59:24 malingering his symptoms. 10 09:59:29 The first part of our case will be 11 09:59:31 12 discussing the motivation for the Defendant to 09:59:32 Our doctors will tell you that's malinger. 13 09:59:34 important, because understanding the strength of the 14 09:59:37 evidence against him and the seriousness of the 15 09:59:39 charges helps you understand the Defendant's 16 09:59:41 motivation to fabricate a serious illness. 17 09:59:43 18 For more than 30 years the 09:59:48 19 Defendant was engaged in a complex scheme to hide 09:59:50 the vast majority -- billions of dollars of assets 20 09:59:52 in offshore trusts. You are going to hear that for 21 09:59:55 30 years he successfully deceived the Government, 22 09:59:59 banks, and those close to him about his true 23 10:00:02 financial condition. 2.4 10:00:05 25 You'll see that he used his same 10:00:06

formidable powers of organization and intellect that 1 10:00:09 he used to build his business to hide that from the 2 10:00:12 The largest and most important part of this is 3 10:00:16 The A. Eugene Brockman Charitable Trust, and I think 10:00:24 5 you will hear people refer to it as The Brockman 10:00:24 Trust. It controlled more than \$10 billion in 10:00:25 7 assets, including the Defendant's software company, 10:00:28 Reynolds and Reynolds. 10:00:30 He controlled this offshore empire 9 10:00:32 through a series of nominees. In 2018, the most 10 10:00:34 important of those nominees was a man named Evatt 11 10:00:37 12 Tamine. You are going to hear from Mr. Tamine 10:00:41 either today or this week. You'll hear that on 13 10:00:44 paper, his role was to be the independent trustee of 14 10:00:47 The Brockman Trust. 15 10:00:52 He's going to testify to you that 16 10:00:52 17 in reality, however, he was paid millions of dollars 10:00:54 a year from the Defendant to hide the Defendant's 18 10:00:56 control and absolute direction over these 19 10:01:00 structures. He's going to tell you that Mr. Tamine 20 10:01:05 and Mr. Brockman communicated using a bespoke, 21 10:01:08 encrypted messaging service. 22 10:01:12 And that because of their 23 10:01:14 confidence in this encryption, the Defendant and 24 10:01:15 25 Mr. Tamine conspired openly -- that they talked in 10:01:17

very clear language about hiding the Defendant's 1 10:01:21 control over the structure from the world. 2 10:01:25 spoke so openly on the server that Mr. Tamine 3 10:01:28 boasted to the Defendant in writing, in a formal 4 10:01:32 5 performance review, he had done such a good job 10:01:36 destroying evidence in this case, an attempt to 10:01:40 search a close associate's house would be fruitless. 7 10:01:42 Now, in contrast to the way they 8 10:01:44 spoke in this encrypted messaging system, you will 9 10:01:47 also hear about something the Defendant refers to as 10 10:01:49 something called open correspondence. This is 11 10:01:52 12 letters or e-mails designed to be seen. And rather 10:01:54 than send these through the encrypted system, they 13 10:01:58 would send these in the open. The reason for that 14 10:02:01 is to create a false paper trail that could one day 15 10:02:03 be pointed to support the Defendant's cover story. 16 10:02:06 17 You'll see that the Defendant was a 10:02:10 18 man careful enough to create a fake document today 10:02:12 that could get him out of hot water years into the 19 10:02:16 You are also going to hear about how this 20 future. 10:02:20 didn't quite work. That beginning in 2016, despite 21 10:02:23 their careful planning, the Defendant and Mr. Tamine 22 10:02:27 began to feel the walls closing in. 23 10:02:30 In 2016, the Defendant learned of a 24 10:02:32 25 US investigation into Robert Smith, the founder of 10:02:35

Vista Equity Partners. 1 10:02:38 The relationship between Vista and 2 10:02:40 the Defendant is important, because they were 3 10:02:43 concerned that an investigation into Vista could 4 10:02:44 5 turn into investigation into them. You'll hear that 10:02:47 the Defendant played a large role in creating Vista. 10:02:52 The Brockman Trust was the sole investor in the 7 10:02:55 first Vista private equity fund. At the creation of 8 10:02:59 that -- at the creation of the Vista equity fund, 10:03:02 the founder, Mr. Smith -- he also created an 10 10:03:04 offshore trust to hide income from the IRS. 11 10:03:07 12 Mr. Smith used the same lawyer, 10:03:10 Carlos Kepke, as had created The Brockman Trust. 13 10:03:13 Mr. Kepke is the architect of the Brockman offshore 14 10:03:17 structure. And the Defendant again worried an 15 10:03:21 investigation into Mr. Smith or Mr. Kepke could turn 16 10:03:24 into investigation into The Brockman Trust. 17 10:03:28 18 That turned out to be a prescient 10:03:30 September of 2016, Vista receives a subpoena 19 10:03:32 from the US Government. As part of that subpoena, 20 10:03:35 it requests records of Vista's investors, including 21 10:03:38 22 The Brockman Trust. Defendant had Mr. Tamine meet 10:03:41 with Mr. Smith -- meet with Mr. Smith's lawyers, and 23 10:03:45

ordered Mr. Tamine to destroy evidence in the US in

an attempt to contain the damage. You'll hear he

24

25

10:03:49

10:03:52

flew sometimes halfway around the world, making 1 10:03:56 trips over and over again to the US to destroy 2 10:03:59 documents. 3 10:04:01 In 2017, they learned that several 4 10:04:02 5 bank accounts associated with The Brockman Trust had 10:04:05 been frozen in Bermuda. You'll see a memo 10:04:07 Mr. Tamine wrote Mr. Brockman about that freezer --7 10:04:11 about that freeze, saying they needed to muddy the 8 10:04:14 waters about Mr. Tamine's physical location. They 10:04:17 needed an escape jurisdiction. 10 10:04:20 Mr. Tamine could never again travel 11 10:04:22 12 to the US, and he -- even if he could, he could 10:04:24 never do it with a phone or computer. Mr. Brockman 13 10:04:28 wrote back to Mr. Tamine saying he agreed with every 14 10:04:31 concern Mr. Tamine raised in that memo. 15 10:04:34 Things got more serious in 2018. 16 10:04:38 In August of 2018, there was a search warrant of 17 10:04:40 Mr. Kepke's law office. Mr. Kepke called 18 10:04:43 Mr. Tamine, and he tells him that Mr. Tamine and the 19 10:04:45 Defendant's names are both listed in the warrant. 20 10:04:48 As soon as he hangs up with Mr. Kepke, Mr. Tamine 21 10:04:52 calls Mr. Brockman and relays that information to 22 10:04:55 He will testify that after the Kepke warrant, 23 him. 10:04:57 he was as rattled as he had ever seen Mr. Brockman 24 10:05:00 in 14 years of working for him. 25 10:05:03

Then, on September 5th, Bermuda 1 10:05:07 authorities execute a search at Mr. Tamine's home. 2 10:05:10 The significance of that is among the items seized 3 10:05:12 is a hard drive containing comprehensive records of 10:05:16 The Brockman Trust, and their conspiracy to hide 5 10:05:20 Mr. Brockman's control over it. They also seized 10:05:22 7 encrypted e-mail servers, which meant the Government 10:05:26 was in possession of 14 years of encrypted, secret 10:05:28 and highly incriminating conversations between 10:05:32 Mr. Brockman and Mr. Tamine. To make matters worse, 10 10:05:36 Mr. Tamine came in and signed an immunity with the 11 10:05:38 12 Government and agreed to testify about his 10:05:42 relationship with Mr. Brockman. 13 10:05:45 During the hearing, you are going 14 10:05:46 to see a sampling of the documents contained on that 15 10:05:47 You are going to see they make this case server. 16 10:05:50 almost impossible to defend on the merits, and 17 10:05:52 18 provide the motive for the Defendant to malinger his 10:05:54 You are going to see Mr. Tamine's formal 19 10:05:57 performance reviews where he trumpets his ability to 20 10:06:01 remain a figurehead of the trust while under the 21 10:06:04 22 constant threat of detention. You will see clear 10:06:06 evidence of the Defendant's control over the 23 10:06:09 structure. You'll even see the Defendant telling 24 10:06:11 Mr. Tamine he keeps old paper in his house to better 25 10:06:14

and more convincingly backdate documents. 1 10:06:17 So as you can see, to understand 2 10:06:21 the Defendant's motivation to malinger, you have to 3 10:06:23 understand the evidence against him. To understand 4 10:06:26 5 the capacity for him to malinger, you also need to 10:06:30 step back and look more broadly. 6 10:06:33 7 That part of the case is going to 10:06:39 take us from basically the search warrant 2018, 8 10:06:42 through his indictment of 2020. You will see the 9 10:06:42 Defendant temporarily succeeds in duping a series of 10 10:06:45 doctors about his mental condition, but that he does 11 10:06:49 12 that while still living a remarkably 10:06:51 high-functioning life. 13 10:06:55 So the search warrant happens on 14 10:06:55 September 5, 2018. The next day while on a remote 15 10:06:58 Alaskan fishing trip, the Defendant sends a detailed 16 10:07:04 17 e-mail to his urologist seeking an appointment. 10:07:08 18 will learn that appointment happens the following 10:07:11 week. At that appointment, the Defendant's 19 10:07:13 urologist is the first doctor to notice anything 20 10:07:16 wrong with the Defendant's mental health. 21 10:07:19 22 Now, in their reports, the Defense 10:07:22 experts try to point to May 3, 2017, e-mail that the 23 10:07:25 Defendant sent to Dr. Stuart Yudofsky. What they 24 10:07:29 say in their reports is that that's evidence the 25 10:07:32

Defendant had symptoms prior to the warrant. 1 10:07:34 And the Defendant has even made 2 10:07:38 that e-mail Defense Exhibit 1. But you are going to 3 10:07:40 hear that despite being the recipient of more than 4 10:07:43 5 \$25 million from the Defendant, after receiving that 10:07:46 e-mail, Dr. Yudofsky did no examination, he ran no 10:07:49 tests, he made no referral. He and the Defendant 7 10:07:52 never even mentioned it to each other again until 8 10:07:56 after the search warrant. 10:07:59 You will also hear from the Defense 10 10:08:00 general practitioner, Dr. Scott Lisse. Dr. Lisse 11 10:08:02 saw the Defendant multiple times between the 12 10:08:07 Yudofsky e-mail and the search warrant. 10:08:09 13 Defendant does not raise any memory issues to 14 10:08:12 Dr. Lisse. You'll hear the Defendant has planted 15 10:08:15 correspondence in the past, including correspondence 16 10:08:19 to Dr. Yudofsky. 17 10:08:21 Now, the urologist's observation of 18 10:08:25 the Defendant sets off of a flurry of tests that 19 10:08:28 bring us on the path we're on today. After he sees 20 10:08:31 the urologist, he starts to be examined by a series 21 10:08:33 22 of doctors all associated with Baylor University. 10:08:36 Based on what he demonstrates in the exam room to 23 10:08:39 those doctors, their diagnosis is very serious. 24 10:08:42 They say it's either Lewy bodies or Parkinsonism 25 10:08:46

That's based on what he tells them in the dementia. 1 10:08:50 exam room. 2 10:08:53 You will hear that on January 30th, 3 10:08:54 Dr. Joseph Jankovic concluded the Defendant was 10:08:55 5 unable to respond accurately or appropriately to 10:09:00 questions. He was unable to recall information. 6 10:09:02 couple months later on March 1, 2019, Defendant is 7 10:09:04 examined by Dr. Michele York. During that exam he 8 10:09:08 claims to not even be able to recognize the word 10:09:12 "T-W-0," two. He doesn't recognize that as a word. 10 10:09:15 He claims he's having a hallucination in the 11 10:09:19 doctor's office with Dr. York. 12 10:09:21 Based on that, Dr. York diagnoses 13 10:09:24 him in March of 2019 with mild to moderate dementia. 14 10:09:26 She says that the Defendant's processing speed is 15 10:09:31 extremely slow, and she warns him to refrain from 16 10:09:34 cooking or driving, because he could be a danger to 17 10:09:39 himself or others. 18 10:09:43 By December, the Defendant and his 19 10:09:46 wife are back in front of Dr. York. They tell that 20 10:09:49 it's gotten worse. In fact, by that point the 21 10:09:51 22 Defendant can't use a remote control. He doesn't 10:09:52 understand how to tie a tie. By the end of 2019, 23 10:09:55 all of these doctors associated with Baylor 24 10:09:59 25 University have concluded that the Defendant has 10:10:01

dementia severe enough that he's not competent to 1 10:10:04 stand trial. 2 10:10:07 Why did they deliver such serious 3 10:10:09 diagnoses? Because they were doing what any 4 10:10:12 5 reasonable doctor would do, they would trust that 10:10:14 their patient was telling them the truth. 6 10:10:18 7 you are going to see over the next few days, sadly 10:10:21 that's not an assumption you can make when it comes 8 10:10:24 to this patient. 10:10:26 9 What you are going to see is that 10 10:10:29 the picture he's painting inside the exam rooms is 11 10:10:30 12 entirely inconsistent with the life he continues to 10:10:33 lead outside the doctor's office. 13 10:10:36 Two weeks before Dr. Jankovic 14 10:10:38 concludes the Defendant is unable to respond 15 10:10:41 appropriately to questions or recall information. 16 10:10:44 17 You are going to hear that he sat for two days of 10:10:45 18 testimony in a complex anti-trust matter. We're 10:10:47 19 going to show you video of that deposition, and you 10:10:52 will hear from the attorney that took it. 20 10:10:54 That attorney will tell you he 21 10:10:57 never got any sign that the Defendant was unable to 22 10:10:59 speak accurately, and that he -- that -- sorry, that 23 10:11:02 24 are there was no sign that he -- any inability to 10:11:07 speak accurately, and he's going to tell you that he 25 10:11:10

viewed the Defendant as among the strongest people 1 10:11:12 he had ever deposed. 2 10:11:15 Now, March 1st again is when 3 10:11:17 Dr. York first diagnoses the Defendant with 10:11:22 5 dementia. Six months after that diagnosis -- six 10:11:24 months after the Defendant can't recognize the word 10:11:28 7 two, and is having hallucinations in the exam room, 10:11:31 he sits for another two-day deposition in an FTC 8 10:11:34 proceeding. You'll hear that at that proceeding, 9 10:11:38 neither the Defendant nor his lawyers raised any 10 10:11:41 concerns with his ability to proceed. 11 10:11:44 12 They did not tell the FTC lawyers 10:11:45 he had been diagnosed with dementia, and that for 13 10:11:48 two days he answered questions, he reviewed e-mails, 14 10:11:50 he gave substantive answers in that deposition. 15 10:11:53 And while the Defendant is telling 16 10:11:57 Dr. York he can't tie a tie or understand how to use 17 10:11:59 18 a remote control, you'll see from his own e-mails 10:12:02 he's continuing to use guns throughout 2019 and 19 10:12:05 2020, including shotguns and assault rifles. 20 10:12:09 Most significantly, the evidence is 21 10:12:13 going to show that despite these diagnoses, despite 22 10:12:15 what he's telling doctors and lawyers, the Defendant 23 10:12:18 is remaining at the helm of his 5,000-person, 24 10:12:21 25 multibillion dollar international software company. 10:12:24

10:12:27	1	You will hear from two of his executives. And
10:12:30	2	they'll tell you, sure, the Defendant was aging.
10:12:33	3	"We noticed signs of that," but he was not showing
10:12:36	4	the serious incapacity that the Defendant was
10:12:40	5	claiming to his doctors.
10:12:41	6	You will also see he doesn't remain
10:12:44	7	at the helm of his company simply out of inertia.
10:12:48	8	In June of 2020, 15 months after Dr. York's
10:12:50	9	diagnosis, two months after the Defendant's
10:12:53	10	attorneys tell the Government he is so incapacitated
10:12:56	11	he shouldn't even be indicted, the Defendant
10:12:59	12	reorganizes the entire executive leadership of the
10:13:01	13	company. He moves certain executives up to
10:13:04	14	president, and moves others around and creates an
10:13:07	15	executive committee. But despite this
10:13:10	16	re-organization, despite what he's telling his
10:13:12	17	doctors and lawyers, the Defendant is remaining as
10:13:15	18	chairman and CEO. He remained in that role until
10:13:19	19	after his indictment was unsealed in this case.
10:13:21	20	It is clear from the Defendant's
10:13:23	21	life outside the exam room that he's deceiving the
10:13:26	22	doctors inside the exam room, and that he has the
10:13:31	23	ability to deceive even trained medical
10:13:33	24	professionals about his true cognitive ability.
10:13:39	25	I think it'll be clear to you by

November of 2020, the Defendant demonstrated both 1 10:13:41 the motivation and capacity to malinger. 2 10:13:44 experts are going to tell you that you have to 3 10:13:46 consider that when evaluating his performance on the 4 10:13:48 5 medical exam that the Court ordered in this case, 10:13:50 and that makes sense. The Defendant wants to show 6 10:13:54 7 you just narrowly what's happening in the exam room. 10:13:56 That's the only thing you should consider. 8 10:13:59 He wants you to narrow your focus, 9 10:14:00 because if you do that you'll find the same thing 10 10:14:02 they did. You'll give the Defendant a diagnosis 11 10:14:05 12 based on his deception. But we're going to show you 10:14:08 imaging of the Defendant's brain. It's one of the 13 10:14:10 only pieces that you can't fake; right? It's like 14 10:14:12 an objective medical test. And our experts will say 15 10:14:15 while they indicate early signs of Alzheimer's 16 10:14:19 17 disease, they're inconsistent with the advanced, 10:14:21 severe dementia the Defendant is trying to portray. 18 10:14:25 19 Our experts are also going to ask 10:14:28 you to look at the timeline in this case. You'll 20 10:14:30 see that the Defendant's cognitive test scores from 21 10:14:33 22 2019 through 2021 are remarkably consistent. 10:14:35 experts are going to tell you that doesn't really 23 10:14:43 24 make a lot of sense. Because if the Defendant truly 10:14:44 has progressive dementia, his test scores should be 25 10:14:47

getting worse. 1 10:14:54 He should be scoring worse on 2 10:14:55 cognitive tests in 2021 than he was scoring in 2019 3 10:14:57 when he's sitting for depositions giving speeches 4 10:15:00 and running his company, but that's not what 5 10:15:02 happened. The test scores remained the same, 10:15:04 7 because the Defendant is faking the results. 10:15:08 You'll hear testimony and see video 8 10:15:11 about the Defendant's May, 2021 exam by the 10:15:13 Government's experts. They'll tell you once again 10 10:15:16 the Defendant's performance on psychiatric tests was 11 10:15:20 12 totally inconsistent with his real-world 10:15:22 performance. Dr. Robert Denney did the psychiatric 13 10:15:26 exams in this case. He tested the Defendant on 14 10:15:29 May 2021. 15 10:15:31 He's going to tell you the 16 10:15:33 17 Defendant's performance was implausibly poor. 10:15:34 on one exam, the Defendant did worse than he would 18 10:15:37 have done 92 times out of a 100 if he had been 19 10:15:40 blindfolded. On another exam, the Defendant scored 20 10:15:43 worse than -- on a a demographic and age-adjusted 21 10:15:47 basis than 99.9 percent of patients. 22 10:15:50 That on a memory test, the 23 10:15:53 Defendant got the lowest score Dr. Denney has ever 24 10:15:56 seen in 21 years of administering the test. You'll 25 10:15:59

hear from the Government's experts that these scores 1 10:16:02 are so low that it's almost impossible the Defendant 2 10:16:06 was not exaggerating his symptoms. 3 10:16:09 We're going to show you video of 4 10:16:11 5 that test. The interview portion that was conducted 10:16:13 the same day. You will see the Defendant is in 10:16:16 control of the facts. He talked about the case. 7 He 10:16:19 talks about the possible defenses. He raises the 10:16:21 potential defenses to the case on his own. 10:16:23 He'll say the cooperators are lying 10 10:16:26 to try to save their necks. He's going to say that 11 10:16:29 Mr. Tamine manufactured the documents that were 12 10:16:30 given to the Government, or that other documents say 13 10:16:32 that the Defendant's not in control of the trust. 14 10:16:34 These are not the statements of a person who is so 15 10:16:37 incapacitated he needs almost constant care. 16 10:16:41 That's what Dr. Denney and 17 10:16:45 Dr. Dietz are going to tell you are what his scores 18 10:16:48 are purportedly showing. His competence in May is 19 10:16:50 going to be nearly impossible to dispute, and our 20 10:16:54 experts will tell you that that's important for two 21 10:16:57 22 reasons. 10:16:59 First, if he's competent in May, 23 10:17:00 that means he managed to fool all of the doctors and 24 10:17:02 25 lawyers that said he was incompetent for years prior 10:17:04

to that exam. 1 10:17:08 Second, his condition in May is 2 10:17:10 instructive of his condition today. These diseases 3 10:17:13 have well-known progressions, and the Defendant --4 10:17:16 what the Defendant is trying to portray to this 5 10:17:21 Court is simply inconsistent with a known path that 10:17:23 7 these diseases take. 10:17:25 Now, following that exam, the 8 10:17:27 Government experts -- they write-up their findings. 10:17:29 They say why they believe the Defendant is faking, 10 10:17:31 and how they intend to demonstrate that he's faking. 11 10:17:34 12 They write it in a report that's given over to the 10:17:37 Defendant. Now, after he gets that report, he's 13 10:17:40 examined again by his doctors in July. 14 10:17:44 You will see the performance on the 15 10:17:46 May exam versus the July exam are 180 degrees 16 10:17:48 17 different. And, Judge, to be clear, the Defendant 10:17:51 18 was hospitalized in June. So what you are going to 10:17:55 19 have to decide by the end of the hearing is whether 10:17:57 his more recent performance is a genuine decline 20 10:18:00 because of that hospitalization, or if the Defendant 21 10:18:03 realized he didn't fool the Government's experts, so 22 10:18:05 he's going to have to step his game up. 23 10:18:09 Government experts will tell you why they believe 24 10:18:12 it's the second one, why they believe the Defendant 25 10:18:14

continues to malinger. 1 10:18:17 First, the Defendant is going to 2 10:18:18 continue to fail what are called internal-validity 3 10:18:20 tests, or malingering tests, throughout October. 4 10:18:23 These tests are designed to determine the amount --5 10:18:25 whether a person is giving a genuine effort on the 10:18:28 7 rest of the psychiatric tests. The Defendant failed 10:18:30 the tests given by Dr. Denney in May and October, 8 10:18:33 and even failed the tests given by his own experts 10:18:36 in July. And one of the things you are going to 10 10:18:39 hear is these are designed to have a low, false 11 10:18:41 12 positive rate. So the fact that he's failing these 10:18:43 tests at all is indicative of the fact that he's not 13 10:18:45 giving his full effort. 14 10:18:47 Second our experts are going to 15 10:18:50 tell you the progression of the Defendant's alleged 16 10:18:51 17 symptoms are inconsistent with genuine illness. 10:18:53 Third, the fact that the Defendant 18 10:18:58 19 continues to exaggerate his symptoms, even today, is 10:19:00 itself evidence of the fact that he is competent to 20 10:19:04 stand trial. Over the course of this week, the 21 10:19:06 Defendant will ask you to focus only on what happens 22 10:19:11 in the exam. 23 10:19:13 We ask you to take a broader view. 24 10:19:14 25 Unlike his doctors, do not take the Defendant's 10:19:17

10:19:20	1	words simply at face value. We're going to ask you
10:19:22	2	to look at the whole picture of his years of
10:19:24	3	deceitful conduct about his medical symptoms. And
10:19:28	4	the evidence is going to show when you do that, the
10:19:32	5	Defendant had the motivation to malinger, the
10:19:34	6	capacity to malinger, and is malingering.
10:19:37	7	Thank you, Your Honor.
10:19:38	8	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
10:19:50	9	MS. KENEALLY: Good morning, Your
10:19:51	10	Honor.
10:19:51	11	THE COURT: Good morning. You may
10:19:52	12	proceed when ready.
10:19:54	13	MS. KENEALLY: Thank you. Before I
10:19:55	14	start, I do want to introduce one more person in the
10:19:57	15	courtroom, Mrs. Dorothy Brockman is in the row
10:20:03	16	behind the well of the Court. Mrs. Brockman will
10:20:07	17	not be able to stay through the proceedings. Her
10:20:09	18	doctor has recommended that she not subject her back
10:20:12	19	to the court seats.
10:20:14	20	Um, but I did want to introduce
10:20:24	21	sorry, I'm Kathy Keneally, and I have represented
10:20:27	22	Mr. Brockman since 2018, along with my firm.
10:20:30	23	THE COURT: Okay.
10:20:31	24	MS. KENEALLY: There's only one issue
10:20:33	25	before the Court at this hearing, can Bob Brockman

today understand the criminal proceedings brought 1 10:20:37 against him and assist his counsel in his defense. 2 10:20:40 The Supreme Court said in Dusky v 3 10:20:46 United States, does Bob have the sufficient 4 10:20:48 5 presentability to consult with his lawyers with a 10:20:52 reasonable degree of rational understanding? 6 10:20:54 Bob today going forward participate in a meaningful 7 10:20:58 way in his defense? 10:21:01 9 I agree with the He cannot. 10:21:02 This is a complicated case. Government. It's the 10 10:21:05 most complicated tax case I've ever seen. 11 10:21:08 12 charges in the indictment cover nearly 40 years. 10:21:11 The allegations, again as the Government says, track 13 10:21:14 back to a trust, The A. Eugene Brockman Charitable 14 10:21:18 Trust -- which I usually hear referred to as the 15 10:21:22 "AEBCT" -- Bob's father settled in 1981. 16 10:21:25 17 There's nothing wrong with setting 10:21:29 up a trust like The A. Eugene Brockman Charitable 18 10:21:30 We talk a lot about offshore trusts, but 19 10:21:33 this trust is of a kind that is used by state 20 10:21:36 players. And they talk about offshore assets, but 21 10:21:39 22 the main asset of this trust is the Reynolds and 10:21:44 Reynolds Company, and this corporate structure above 23 10:21:48 the Reynolds and Reynolds Company which are all US 24 10:21:50 25 companies. This is a company -- they talk about the 10:21:54

thousands of people that work for the company. 1 10:21:56 Those people work in Houston and Dayton, Ohio. 2 10:21:58 Tax crimes are different from most 3 10:22:07 other crimes. The criminal statutes require proof 4 10:22:09 5 of specific intent, voluntary and intentional 10:22:12 violation of a known-legal duty. There are defenses 10:22:14 7 to the charges in the indictment. To some degree, I 10:22:18 felt like I was hearing the opening statement of the 10:22:21 trial itself this morning. Bob can't help us in 9 10:22:23 making those defenses. Bob can't defend himself. 10 10:22:29 Bob Brockman has dementia. 11 10:22:37 doesn't remember what I tell him from one call to 12 10:22:41 I've explained legal issues to Bob and the next. 13 10:22:43 he's agreed to a course of action, and then three 14 10:22:46 days later he didn't remember the discussion or the 15 10:22:50 decision. 16 10:22:53 I've talked with Bob with each 17 10:22:56 conference, before and after the Court while on the 18 10:22:57 I talked to him before and tell him what's 19 10:22:59 going to happen. I speak to him after. He doesn't 20 10:23:01 remember what I told him ahead of the conference. 21 10:23:04 22 He doesn't understand what happened. 10:23:07 The Government talks about the 23 10:23:11 timeline, talks about the events of 2019, the search 24 10:23:14 25 warrant, the phone calls, the trip. We're not in 10:23:20

2019. We're in late 2021. 1 10:23:25 Again, the question is can Bob 2 10:23:28 Brockman assist today going forward through trial in 3 10:23:31 this case? There are some things the medical 4 10:23:34 witnesses agree on, on both sides. They agree that 5 10:23:39 Bob Brockman has Parkinson's disease. Parkinson's 10:23:42 7 is a progressive, neurodegenerative disease. 10:23:46 Parkinson's does not equate to dementia, but experts 8 10:23:52 will tell you dementia is a very common symptom of 9 10:23:54 Parkinson's. 10 10:23:57 In addition to Parkinson's 11 10:23:58 12 dementia, experts will testify this week and tell 10:23:59 the Court there's objective neuroimaging that 13 10:24:02 supports that Bob also has Alzheimer's dementia. 14 10:24:05 Alzheimer's dementia, like Parkinson's, is 15 10:24:08 permanent, progressive and incurable. Experts on 16 10:24:11 both sides agree that Bob has some degree of 17 10:24:17 18 cognitive impairment. 10:24:20 19 The experts agree it's progressive, 10:24:21 and experts on both sides agree it's progressed. Ιf 20 10:24:26 you look at the expert reports, they agree it's 21 10:24:30 gotten worse from the time he was examined in May, 22 10:24:32 to the time he was examined in October. 23 10:24:35 Now, the -- Mr. Langston spoke 24 10:24:38 25 about the tests that were done in July. If the 10:24:42

Court remembers, we were here in July. We said Bob 1 10:24:45 had recently been hospitalized for sepsis, which 2 10:24:48 resulted in delirium. We raised the issues, are 3 10:24:51 those tests going to be affected by that 10:24:56 hospitalization? We're not here talking about the 5 10:24:58 July tests. He's been reexamined by both sides in 10:25:00 October. 7 10:25:04 So there's been progression, and 8 10:25:06 progression from May to October. Nobody's here 9 10:25:07 saying -- happened immediately after the sepsis and 10 10:25:10 delirium. 11 10:25:16 Well, it's happening today. 12 So the 10:25:18 issue is Bob's current cognitive limitations. 13 10:25:24 Government says he's exaggerating. Not that he 14 10:25:26 isn't to some degree impaired, but they contend from 15 10:25:29 an already-impaired state he's faking or 16 10:25:32 17 exaggerating symptoms. Not that Bob Brockman of 10:25:35 18 five or ten years ago, but Bob Brockman who already 10:25:38 has Parkinson's, and some degree of cognitive 19 10:25:42 impairment -- they're saying still he's faking it. 20 10:25:45 One of the Government's experts 21 10:25:48 acknowledges that for Bob to fool multiple and 22 10:25:51 treating physicians, along with friends, family and 23 10:25:54 lawyers, would be a Herculean task. The objective 24 10:25:58 25 evidence refutes this is what's happening. 10:26:03

There are neuroimaging reports. 1 10:26:05 We're going to talk a lot I'm sure over the next 2 10:26:08 week about the neuroimaging reports. 3 10:26:10 neuroimaging reports show results consistent with 4 10:26:13 5 Alzheimer's. In many cases, the cause of dementia 10:26:16 is elusive, and can only be determined postmortem. 10:26:20 That's not this case. 7 In Bob's 10:26:24 case, we have concrete, objective, cannot-be-faked 8 10:26:26 neuroimaging reports. In addition to the 9 10:26:32 neuroradiology experts -- both sides have 10 10:26:37 neuroradiologist experts -- the doctors who analyze 11 10:26:42 the PET scans, those doctors -- both sides plan to 12 10:26:45 call neuropsychiatrists and psychiatrists as 13 10:26:48 witnesses. So I'm going to take a few minutes to 14 10:26:51 talk about other specialists and get back to the 15 10:26:53 neuroradiologists. 16 10:26:56 17 Medical experts that the Defense 10:26:57 will call address the questions each from those 18 10:27:01 Neuropsychology, psychiatry, 19 specialities: 10:27:06 neurology. They come to the same conclusion. 20 10:27:11 Dr. Wisniewski, a neurologist who 21 10:27:17 22 is the director of the Alzheimer's Disease Center 10:27:17 NYU will testify that Bob has Parkinson's disease 23 10:27:21 dementia and co-occurring Alzheimer's disease. 24 10:27:25 25 other words, dementia resulting from Parkinson's 10:27:29

disease, and at the same time dementia resulting 1 10:27:31 from Alzheimer's. 2 10:27:33 Dr. Wisniewski will testify that 3 10:27:34 Bob's dementia is at a level that it significantly 4 10:27:37 5 impairs all activities of daily living, not just 10:27:40 working with us on this case. 6 10:27:44 Dr. Guilmette, a neuropsychologist 7 10:27:48 that we will call, agrees that Bob has Parkinson's 8 10:27:53 He bases his conclusion on his dementia. 9 10:27:55 examination and neuropsychological testing of Bob 10 10:27:58 that's in the exam room. He also relies on the 11 10:28:02 12 concrete evidence of the neuroimaging. 10:28:06 Dr. Guilmette, in his report, 13 10:28:08 stated that structural and functional neurodata --14 10:28:10 neuroimaging data, which cannot be faked, provides 15 10:28:14 additional confirmation Mr. Brockman suffers from 16 10:28:18 17 genuine neurodegenerative disease -- not faking it. 10:28:23 Dr. Agronin, the psychiatrist the 18 10:28:26 Defense will call, specializes in treating geriatric 19 10:28:29 patients with neurocognitive disorders. 20 10:28:33 diagnosed Bob with Parkinson's dementia and possible 21 10:28:37 comorbidity for Alzheimer's dementia. 22 10:28:40 The medical experts rely on their 23 10:28:42 examinations of Bob. They also rely on interviews 24 10:28:45 of Bob's wife, caregiver, friends, business 25 10:28:50

colleagues and lawyers. They rely on objective --1 10:28:53 medical imaging data. 2 10:28:57 So I'll talk for a few minutes 3 10:29:00 about the Government's experts. The Government 10:29:02 5 retained a neurologist, Dr. Ryan Darby. You've 10:29:04 heard about Dr. Darby, and we expect to hear from 10:29:08 him today. Dr. Darby, in his supplemental report, 7 10:29:14 actually stated -- again, Bob was examined in May, 10:29:17 and then again by all of the experts in October. In 10:29:20 May by the Government, and then all of the experts 10 10:29:24 in October. 11 10:29:26 12 He said that even Bob's recurring 10:29:27 history of hospitalization for delirium --13 10:29:31 hospitalization this year for delirium, the natural 14 10:29:34 course of his disease, and the neuroimaging that 15 10:29:37 it's reasonable to conclude Bob has progressed to 16 10:29:40 17 the dementia state. Then in his report we'll see 10:29:45 what he sees here, but in his report he says that 18 10:29:50 19 he's unable to determine whether Bob's cognitive 10:29:53 impairment is severe enough to make him incompetent 20 10:29:55 to assist in his defense. That's the sole issue 21 10:29:59 22 before the Court. 10:30:02 The Government's neurologist in his 23 10:30:06 report has said that it is reasonable to conclude 24 10:30:08 25 Bob has dementia, and that he does not know whether 10:30:11

10:30:14	1	Bob's dementia has reached the stage where he cannot
10:30:16	2	assist in his defense. Dr. Darby also makes it
10:30:21	3	clear we're only going in one direction here. As I
10:30:24	4	said earlier, Parkinson's disease dementia and
10:30:26	5	Alzheimer's dementia are progressive.
10:30:31	6	Dr. Darby concludes Bob is at
10:30:33	7	increased risk of progression over time. It's going
10:30:36	8	to get worse from here, due to his history of
10:30:39	9	delirium. The Government has the burden of proof on
10:30:43	10	the issue of Bob's competency. Dr. Darby's
10:30:46	11	basically saying that in his medical opinion, their
10:30:50	12	expert that that burden can't be met.
10:30:53	13	Again, from the beginning from
10:30:54	14	the very beginning, the Government's position has
10:30:57	15	been that Bob is malingering, faking his symptoms to
10:31:01	16	avoid prosecution in everything they file.
10:31:05	17	The key witness for the Government
10:31:06	18	on this issue is Dr. Robert Denney, a
10:31:09	19	neuropsychologist who spent 20 years conducting
10:31:12	20	examinations and testifying on behalf of the Bureau
10:31:15	21	of Prisons. Dr. Denney's conclusion, and listening
10:31:19	22	we'll all listen to Dr. Denney is based on his
10:31:22	23	subjective interpretation of certain tests that he
10:31:25	24	performed and his personal observations of Bob.
10:31:28	25	He makes reference in his reports

to other testing and to Bob's medical history, but 1 10:31:30 glosses over or dismisses information in favor of 10:31:34 the subjective interpretation of his own testing, 3 10:31:38 and similarly dismisses Bob's life today, including 10:31:40 his wife and his attorneys. 5 10:31:45 Then the Government's next witness, 6 10:31:48 7 the psychiatrist -- right -- neurologist --10:31:50 neuropsychologist is Dr. Dietz, a forensic 8 10:31:54 psychologist. Dr. Dietz -- Dr. Dietz has actually 9 10:32:00 had three different expert reports, three different 10 10:32:04 The first report -- the one filed in June opinions. 11 10:32:07 12 based on the examinations in May found not com --10:32:11 competent. 13 10:32:16 In his supplemental report, 14 10:32:16 Dr. Dietz said he could not -- supplemental report 15 10:32:18 Dr. Dietz said that the brain imaging studies, the 16 10:32:21 PET scans are the most objective evidence, and he 17 10:32:24 18 acknowledged that they are consistent with 10:32:27 Alzheimer's. At that point, he's in agreement with 19 10:32:29 the Defense's experts. He also acknowledged that 20 10:32:33 Bob's recent infections and episodes of delirium --21 10:32:39 again events of this year -- this is a quote, "Throw 22 10:32:43 into question Mr. Brockman's current cognitive 23 10:32:46 abilities, and those aspects of competence to stand 24 10:32:48 trial that require short-term memory." 25 10:32:51

That's their expert. And it's 1 10:32:54 Dr. Dietz -- and we raised this with the Court 2 10:32:56 previously, it's Dr. Dietz who said to be faking it 3 10:32:59 at this level -- for Bob to be faking and his 4 10:33:03 treating doctors, doctors at Baylor, Houston 5 10:33:06 Methodist, family, friends, lawyers, would be a 10:33:11 Herculean task. 7 10:33:15 Dr. Darby -- Dr. Dietz's 8 10:33:18 supplemental report also said he couldn't reach 9 10:33:21 vital conclusion. Five days later, Dr. Dietz 10 10:33:24 changed his mind issuing an opinion that he had 11 10:33:27 12 recently reviewed Dr. Denney's supplemental report, 10:33:31 and essentially adopts its conclusions. 13 10:33:33 words, Dr. Dietz's conclusions result on 14 10:33:36 Dr. Denney's conclusions. 15 10:33:40 Dr. Dietz is a high-profile, 16 10:33:43 17 somewhat what of a celebrity witness. 10:33:46 testified in a number of high-profile insanity 18 10:33:50 It's our submission that he's not a 19 10:33:53 geriatric psychiatrist. This is not his field of 20 10:33:56 expertise. He doesn't reach a conclusion in one 21 10:34:01 report, and the next report he switches to rely on 22 10:34:04 Dr. Denney's conclusion. 23 10:34:07 So as promised, that brings me back 2.4 10:34:08 to the neuroradiologist. Again, that's where I 25 10:34:10

think both sides agree can't be faked to the image 1 10:34:15 of the brain. 2 10:34:19 Dr. Christopher Whitlow, our 3 10:34:20 expert, looked at the neuroimaging studies of Bob's 4 10:34:23 5 Brain. Both parties ordered -- asked for a number 10:34:27 of them. He focused on three. Two of them are 10:34:30 FDG-PET scans that Government asked for in March. 7 10:34:38 One is an amyloid PET scan done in July. 8 10:34:43 They form the basis of his opinion 9 10:34:46 that Bob has Alzheimer's disease, as well as 10 10:34:49 Parkinson's disease dementia, it's objective 11 10:34:51 12 neuroimaging. 10:34:54 Historically -- I think we know 13 10:34:55 this -- the causes of dementia could not be 14 10:35:01 determined in many cases in a living patient, but 15 10:35:03 there's a body of data now available from postmortem 16 10:35:08 17 studies where you can compare the tests done now 10:35:11 with -- with what you can learn from the postmortem 18 10:35:14 studies. 19 10:35:18 There's a recent significant study 20 10:35:19 Dr. Whitlow will discuss that show when the results 21 10:35:21 22 of an amyloid PET scan and FDG-PET for the same 10:35:24 individual in combination show results consistent 23 10:35:29 with Alzheimer's that there's -- those results 24 10:35:31 25 correlate nearly 100 percent with the postmortem 10:35:34

studies of patients with Alzheimer's. 1 10:35:38 Now, I'm going to leave it to 2 10:35:40 Dr. Whitlow to explain what an amyloid PET scan and 3 10:35:43 an FDG-PET scan is, and how if you look at the two 4 10:35:46 of them together the key point is that the results 5 10:35:49 of PET scans done on Bob's brain show objective 10:35:52 results that he has Alzheimer's disease. Again, 7 10:35:56 progressive and incurable. 8 10:36:00 The Government -- we talked about 9 10:36:01 Dr. Ponisio this morning whether she'll be called as 10 10:36:05 a witness or not. The reason there's been 11 10:36:07 12 discussion about Dr. Ponisio, their expert, is that 10:36:10 her report says that the neuroimaging data is also 13 10:36:12 consistent with a finding of Alzheimer's dementia. 14 10:36:18 There's a lot of lining up here on what's going on. 15 10:36:20 That's a lot of medical evidence about how Bob is 16 10:36:25 today. In response, the Government wants us to look 17 10:36:30 backwards. 18 10:36:32 The Government's witness list 19 10:36:33 includes Evatt Tamine -- I've always known it as 20 10:36:36 Tamine, but Evatt Tamine is individual one in the 21 10:36:42 The Government's acknowledged that. 22 indictment. 10:36:47 also has had no communication with Mr. Brockman for 23 10:36:49 over three years. 24 10:36:52 25 Another witness is Craig Moss, the 10:36:53

former CFO of Reynolds and Reynolds, company in 1 10:36:57 Houston and Ohio, a company from which Bob fully 2 10:37:00 retired a year ago. And there's Michael Nemelka and 3 10:37:05 Dana Abrahamsen, two attorneys who deposed Bob in 10:37:09 5 2019. 10:37:12 6 The Government recently did add 10:37:13 7 Dr. Scott Lisse, again a doctor who has not seen Bob 10:37:15 in three years, and apparently doesn't remember. 10:37:19 These witnesses cannot answer the question, how is 10:37:24 Bob today, today and going forward? Can Bob 10 10:37:26 understand the criminal proceedings brought against 11 10:37:30 him and assist us in his defense? 12 10:37:32 The Government talks about the exam 13 10:37:37 It's not just the exam room. The Defense 14 room. 10:37:38 will call testimony from people on Bob's side today. 15 10:37:42 There's Dr. Eugene Lai, director of the 16 10:37:49 17 Neurodegenerative Disease Clinic at Houston 10:37:52 18 Methodist. There's been some question about Bob's 10:37:56 donations to Baylor, and whether that -- whether 19 10:37:59 those donations actually come from Bob or the trust. 20 10:38:03 Put that aside. Dr. Lai is at 21 10:38:07 22 Houston Methodist. He's Bob's treating physician 10:38:09 today for Parkinson's. He was originally on our 23 10:38:12 list and the Government's list as a witness. 24 He 10:38:15 25 examined Bob in early October. He diagnosed 10:38:18

dementia. He came off their witness list. 1 10:38:20 Then the remaining medical expert 2 10:38:24 that will be called is Dr. James Pool. He's on both 3 10:38:26 sides' lists. He's Bob's primary care physician. 4 10:38:32 5 Turning to the people who are in 10:38:37 Bob's life. Dementia is insidious. Steals 6 10:38:40 7 memories. Undercuts ability to reason, and it 10:38:51 pervades a person's life. That's what we're talking 8 10:38:55 about here. 9 10:38:58 Dementia doesn't manifest the same 10 10:38:58 way in every individual. Bob's case -- he may still 11 10:39:01 12 appear able to carry on a conversation, talk about 10:39:05 long ago events, or the company he built and ran for 13 10:39:09 Doctors are going to tell you this week decades. 14 10:39:12 that does not mean he can currently understand, 15 10:39:15 reason, or remember. 16 10:39:18 17 This is something -- the doctor's 10:39:20 are going to explain medical terms, but this is also 18 10:39:22 19 something that the people close to Bob can describe, 10:39:25 people outside the exam room. Defense plans to call 20 10:39:28 Frank Gutierrez. The parties have agreed 21 10:39:34 22 Mr. Gutierrez is here in the courtroom. He's Bob's 10:39:37 caregiver. He sees him on a daily basis. 23 10:39:40 He can speak to how Bob forgets 24 10:39:44 25 where he is, how he thinks the house he lives in is 10:39:46

not his home, but that his real home is some other 1 10:39:49 place people are keeping secret from him. 2 10:39:53 gets up and starts to get ready for work at a job he 3 10:39:56 hasn't held in over a year. How he packs for 4 10:39:59 5 fishing trips that nobody can take him on anymore. 10:40:03 That's what Mr. Gutierrez will tell you about. 6 10:40:08 7 Defense also plans to call Reverend 10:40:10 Jackson. Reverend Jackson has known Bob since 1994. 8 10:40:14 They first met when Bob was a congregate in his 10:40:18 church. Mr. Jackson went to work for Reynolds and 10 10:40:23 Reynolds, the company we talked about, the US 11 10:40:26 12 company owned by this trust. Serving as executive, 10:40:29 he can speak to how he's watched Bob's physical and 13 10:40:35 mental deterioration and the impact on Bob's life 14 10:40:39 today. 15 10:40:42 Defense also plans to call Stephen 16 10:40:42 17 Slade. Not another medical witness, but somebody 10:40:46 who has known Bob for over 30 years. They were 18 10:40:49 fishing buddies. They're friends today. Dr. Slade 19 10:40:53 has watched Bob's dementia progress, and can explain 20 10:40:57 how his friend is becoming lost to him and also to 21 10:41:01 22 himself. 10:41:05 So the people in Bob's life today, 23 10:41:06 not in the exam room -- these are people who see 24 10:41:08 25 him. This is the man who takes care of him. 10:41:11

I need to mention one other 1 10:41:15 potential witness. On Friday, the Government told 2 10:41:17 us for the first time they had a Tommy Barris 3 10:41:19 (phonetic) to their witness list. One fact witness 4 10:41:24 5 on their list who has seen Bob this year. 10:41:26 Government actually calls Tommy Barris, ask the 10:41:31 7 Court to listen to what he has to say about how Bob 10:41:35 is today. 8 10:41:37 I'm going to end where I started. 9 10:41:38 Objective evidence -- neuroimaging report shows that 10 10:41:43 Bob has dementia. The witnesses who have seen Bob 11 10:41:46 12 this year in the last few months -- last few days --10:41:50 treating doctors, friends, caregiver and his lawyers 13 10:41:54 corroborate what the Defense medical experts will 14 10:41:59 Bob's dementia -- again, progressive, 15 tell you. 10:42:02 incurable, has gone beyond the point where he can 16 10:42:07 17 assist in his defense. 10:42:09 The right to defend oneself in a 18 10:42:12 19 criminal case is fundamental to due process and to 10:42:17 other constitutional rights. As attorneys, we need 20 10:42:22 Bob to understand -- to help us understand the 21 10:42:27 complex structures and transactions that spanned 22 10:42:30 nearly 40 years, to assist us in understanding 23 10:42:33 documents and statements of other witnesses, and to 24 10:42:37 put those things in context, to follow the 25 10:42:38

10:42:41	1	proceedings in the court, to recognize discrepancies
10:42:45	2	in witness testimony, and fundamentally be able to
10:42:48	3	provide testimony or to make the decision not to
10:42:51	4	testify.
10:42:54	5	So we as lawyers need from our
10:42:56	6	client and that's what he has a right to offer in
10:42:59	7	his defense. As I described at the beginning, Bob
10:43:06	8	can't follow these proceedings. He's past being
10:43:09	9	able to understand what it means to testify, let
10:43:11	10	alone remember that he made the decision whether to
10:43:14	11	testify or not. Bob Brockman can't defend himself.
10:43:20	12	Dementia has stolen that from him and much more.
10:43:25	13	Thank you.
10:43:25	14	THE COURT: Thank you, Counsel.
10:43:34	15	Government may call its first
10:43:36	16	witness.
10:43:37	17	MR. MAGNANI: Thank you, Your Honor.
10:43:38	18	The United States calls Dr. Ryan Darby.
10:43:40	19	THE COURT: Okay. Dr. Darby, if you
10:43:43	20	could step forward. Good morning, if you could
10:43:51	21	raise your right hand, Dr. Darby.
10:43:53	22	
	23	///
	24	///
	25	///

10:43:53	1	RYAN DARBY,
10:43:53	2	(For the Government)
10:43:53	3	called as a Witness, having been duly
10:43:53	4	and regularly sworn, testified as follows:
10:43:59	5	THE WITNESS: I do.
10:44:00	6	THE COURT: You may take the stand,
10:44:01	7	sir.
10:44:01	8	<u>DIRECT EXAMINATION</u>
10:44:01	9	BY MR. MAGNANI:
10:44:21	10	Q. Thank you, Your Honor.
10:44:22	11	Dr. Darby, what do you do for a
10:44:28	12	living?
10:44:28	13	A. I'm a behavioral neurologist.
10:44:31	14	Q. What is that?
10:44:32	15	A. Well, it's it's two things, actually. So as
10:44:35	16	a neurologist, I see and evaluate patients with
10:44:39	17	diseases of the nervous system. So that includes
10:44:42	18	everything extending from the peripheral nerves in
10:44:45	19	the body, through the spinal cord and into the
10:44:47	20	brain.
10:44:47	21	And then, as a behavioral
10:44:49	22	neurologist I specialize in cognitive disorders, so
10:44:52	23	disorders that affect thinking, memory, language,
10:44:56	24	decision-making.
10:44:58	25	Q. What education qualifies you to be a behavioral

neurologist? 1 10:45:01 Well, I first received degree in psychology and 2 10:45:02 neuroscience from Princeton, and that's where my 3 10:45:06 interests in the nervous system began. Then went to 10:45:09 5 medical school at Vanderbilt, where I received my 10:45:11 training in medical education and specialized in 10:45:14 7 neurology. 10:45:17 So I did a three-year residency 8 10:45:18 program at the Harvard Massachusetts General and 9 10:45:20 Brigham Women's Hospital Program. This is where I 10 10:45:24 really learned how to diagnose and manage patients 11 10:45:26 with diseases that can affect the nervous system, so 12 10:45:29 that includes everything from strokes, tumors, 13 10:45:32 inflammatory disorders, and the disorders that we'll 14 10:45:36 be talking about today, including Parkinson's and 15 10:45:39 dementias. 16 10:45:41 17 I then went on to specialize in 10:45:43 those disorders specifically. So I did a two-year 18 10:45:45 fellowship in behavioral neurology at the Harvard 19 10:45:48 Beth Israel Program, and then after that was hired 20 10:45:53 as an assistant professor of neurology at 21 10:45:54 22 Vanderbilt. So I've been in that role for about 10:46:00 four and a half years now. 23 10:46:02 At Vanderbilt, I am the director of 24 10:46:04 25 the Frontotemporal Dementia Clinic, also a faculty 10:46:06

member in the Memory and Alzheimer's Center in the 1 10:46:11 Bioethics Department and the Vanderbilt Brain 2 10:46:14 Institute. 3 10:46:17 So that's your educational credentials, but 4 0. 10:46:17 what do you actually do at Vanderbilt? 5 10:46:22 I do a number of different things. So I see 6 Α. 10:46:23 patients clinically. So I evaluate patients with 7 10:46:26 clinically presenting memory problems, cognitive 8 10:46:29 disorders. I also do research. 9 10:46:32 So my research focuses on 10 10:46:35 understanding the relationship between brain damage, 11 10:46:38 12 so neurological diseases and behavior, so whatever 10:46:41 symptoms the patient has. And I focus on using 13 10:46:45 different types of MRI scans to do that research. Ι 14 10:46:48 also do research in dementia patients where I 15 10:46:53 evaluate them longitudinally to see how their 16 10:46:56 diseases progress and change over time. 17 10:46:59 I'm involved in teaching at the 18 10:47:02 medical center, so that involves clinical teaching. 19 10:47:03 I'll have medical students, residents, and fellows 20 10:47:05 who will rotate through -- with me in clinic where 21 10:47:08 22 we'll see patients together and discuss the 10:47:11 different diagnoses and tests. 23 10:47:13 It also includes teaching in the 24 10:47:15 research setting where I'll have undergraduate 25 10:47:18

10:47:21 1 students, graduate students, post-doctoral students
10:47:24 2 interested in neuroimaging research, and then giving

10:47:27 3 lectures to kind of students, but also to other

10:47:31 4 neurologists who don't have the same training and

10:47:33 5 background in cognitive disorders.

10:47:36 6 Q. Do you do any -- well, let me ask it this way.

7 Would you describe yourself as a professional

testifier?

10:47:40

10:47:45

10:47:53

10:47:55

10:47:58

10:48:00

10:48:02

10:48:05

10:48:08

10:48:11

10:48:15

10:48:17

10:48:18

10:48:24

10:48:25

10:48:28

10:48:32

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

10:47:46 9 A. No, I've testified once before, but I do some forensic work. So about ten percent of my time is

spent doing forensic cases.

Q. Now, just generally, what's the focus of your clinical work? Can you talk about the types of diseases that you see in your clinical practice?

A. Yeah, and so my clinical practice focuses on patients with cognitive disorders. So by far, the most common reason and the most common thing I'm evaluating for is dementia, mild cognitive impairment, and determining the different types of

dementia that may be present.

Q. And have you -- are you active in any professional organizations in your field?

A. I am. So I'm a member of a number of professional groups, including the American Academy of Neurology, American Neurological Association,

1	Alzheimer's Associations, and the American
2	Neuropsychiatric Association.
3	Q. Have you received honors or awards for your
4	work?
5	A. I have. So I have received awards from the
6	American Academy of Neurology, the American
7	Neuropsychiatric Association, and the Alzheimer's
8	Disease for some of my work in behavioral neurology.
9	MR. MAGNANI: Your Honor, at this time
10	move to qualify Dr. Ryan Darby as an expert in
11	diagnosing and treating cognitive and behavioral
12	dementias.
13	THE COURT: Any objection?
14	MR. LOONAM: No. I don't know if
15	that'll be necessary for the experts going forward,
16	but, yes.
17	THE COURT: Okay. Then he is so
18	qualified.
19	MR. MAGNANI:
20	Q. So Dr. Darby, let me ask you, do you
21	qualified as an expert in this case are you
22	expert on competency-related law?
23	A. No, so that's not something that I have
24	expertise in. And so, I will counsel patients about
25	things like driving, financial decision-making, but
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

I don't have expertise in competency to stand trial. 1 10:49:31 What about specific legal burdens of proof? 2 Q. 10:49:34 No, that's not something that I have background 3 Α. 10:49:38 or expertise in. 4 10:49:40 5 Did you write expert reports in this case? Q. 10:49:42 6 Α. I did. 10:49:44 Your Honor, I do believe 7 MR. MAGNANI: 10:49:47 these have been pre-admitted, but I'll note for the 8 10:49:48 record -- would you like the witness to identify his 9 10:49:51 reports? 10 10:49:54 THE COURT: They've already been 11 10:49:55 pre-admitted. I don't need to have them identified. 12 10:49:57 MR. MAGNANI: Very well. I'll note for 13 10:50:00 the record these are Exhibits 38 and 39. 14 10:50:01 Before we proceed, did you prepare a PowerPoint 15 10:50:04 presentation for your testimony today? 16 10:50:09 17 Α. I did. It's something that I typically find to 10:50:11 be helpful when I'm discussing these types of 18 10:50:13 19 issues. 10:50:17 MR. MAGNANI: And, Your Honor --20 10:50:19 Before this morning, was the PowerPoint 21 0. 10:50:22 presentation something you created entirely on your 22 10:50:25 own? 23 10:50:28 Yes, it is something that I created using some 24 Α. 10:50:29

of the references that were discussed earlier.

25

10:50:32

MR. MAGNANI: And, Your Honor, I'd like 1 10:50:34 to put on this PowerPoint presentation. I'm only 2 10:50:36 flagging that I made the redactions that Your Honor 3 10:50:39 requested this morning. 4 10:50:41 5 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to allow 10:50:42 it as demonstrative evidence -- or demonstrative 6 10:50:43 exhibits, rather. 7 10:50:47 Very well. MR. MAGNANI: Does Your 8 10:50:48 Honor mind if I give the witness the clicker to 9 10:50:50 advance slides? 10 10:50:53 THE COURT: Sure. Not a problem. 11 10:50:53 MR. MAGNANI: 12 10:51:11 Dr. Darby, so as an expert in diagnosing Okay. 13 Q. 10:51:11 and treating behavioral and cognitive dementias, can 14 10:51:14 you share with the Court your top-line conclusions, 15 10:51:17 expert opinions in this case? 16 10:51:22 17 So I have three main opinions in this case. So Α. 10:51:23 the first opinion is regarding Mr. Brockman's 18 10:51:27 diagnosis. So I believe that Mr. Brockman has a 19 10:51:30 diagnosis of Parkinson's disease. 20 10:51:33 I think it is also possible that he 21 10:51:36 has a diagnosis of Alzheimer's disease. 22 10:51:38 second main conclusion I have is regarding the 23 10:51:43 severity of the cognitive problems that Mr. Brockman 24 10:51:45 25 has. 10:51:48

And so, I think he is at the stage 1 10:51:50 of mild cognitive impairment. I think it is 2 10:51:52 possible he could have progressed to the stage of 3 10:51:56 mild dementia, but he does not have moderate or 4 10:51:58 5 severe dementia. 10:52:01 MR. LOONAM: Objection. This is not in 6 10:52:07 7 his expert report, Your Honor. This is different 10:52:09 from his expert report. 8 10:52:10 THE COURT: Okay. 9 10:52:12 His expert report did not MR. LOONAM: 10 10:52:16 exclude moderate dementia, and we can go through the 11 10:52:17 12 expert report, but I object to his testimony as 10:52:20 beyond the scope of his expert testimony disclosed 13 10:52:23 to us. 14 10:52:25 Well, I'm going to hear the THE COURT: 15 10:52:25 testimony, and then you can raise it on 16 10:52:27 17 cross-examination. If you are correct, then I'll 10:52:28 strike the testimony, and since we don't have a 18 10:52:31 19 jury. 10:52:34 MR. LOONAM: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 10:52:34 MR. MAGNANI: Although we don't have a 21 10:52:35 22 jury, to the point he's impugning the witness, I do 10:52:37 have quotes from the report that support what he's 10:52:40 23 saying, and I am prepared to read them if the Court 24 10:52:43 25 would like to hear. 10:52:45

10:52:46	1	No need?
10:52:47	2	THE COURT: Continue.
10:52:48	3	MR. MAGNANI: Okay.
10:52:49	4	Q. Sorry, Dr. Darby, could you just repeat that
10:52:53	5	second opinion again?
10:52:54	6	A. Yes. So my second opinion is regarding the
10:52:57	7	severity of his cognitive problems. And so, I think
10:53:00	8	that he is likely in the stage of mild cognitive
10:53:02	9	impairment. I think it is possible that he could
10:53:06	10	have progressed into the mild dementia stage, but I
10:53:09	11	think that he is not in the moderate or severe
10:53:12	12	dementia stage.
10:53:14	13	Q. What's your third opinion, Dr. Darby?
10:53:17	14	A. The third opinion is regarding the certainty of
10:53:23	15	that, and that is impaired by the fact that he's
10:53:24	16	been exaggerating. And I state that because there
10:53:28	17	have been examples where he clearly has been
10:53:30	18	performing at a higher level than his cognitive
10:53:33	19	testing and reports indicate.
10:53:37	20	I also base that on the objective
10:53:39	21	neuroimaging data that shows that he is at a milder
10:53:42	22	stage than he is presenting with clinically.
10:53:49	23	Q. I'd like to just take these sort of one at a
10:53:51	24	time, and talk about how you get to those
10:53:54	25	conclusions, but I guess before I do let me ask. Do

- 10:53:56 1 you have an opinion on the Defendant's competence?
- 10:54:00 2 A. And so, regarding my -- his competence, I
- 10:54:04 3 don't. So I don't have a clear sense of what his
- 10:54:07 4 actual level of cognitive impairment is, so I can't
- 10:54:10 5 make that determination.
- 10:54:11 6 Q. Do you feel like you have an accurate sense to
- 10:54:14 7 understand, based on your experience, the type of
- 10:54:17 8 assistance that an accused defendant has to provide
- 10:54:20 9 to counsel?
- 10:54:20 10 A. No, I have a general understanding of that, but
- 10:54:23 11 not a specific understanding.
- 10:54:25 12 Q. So who hired you, Dr. Darby?
- 10:54:27 13 A. So I was hired by the Department of Justice
- 10:54:30 14 prosecution team.
- 10:54:31 15 Q. Did you work with the prosecution team in this
- 10:54:33 16 case?
- 10:54:33 17 **A.** I did, yes.
- 10:54:34 18 Q. How did you work with them?
- 10:54:36 19 A. So I reviewed documents that were made
- 10:54:38 20 available to me. I recommended certain tests that
- 10:54:42 21 would be helpful, and help in coordinating those
- 10:54:46 22 tests and interpreting them. I communicated
- 10:54:48 23 findings.
- I collaborated with other experts
- 10:54:52 25 involved in the case. I wrote reports for the case,

- 10:54:56 1 and I prepared for this testimony.
- 2 Q. Did you ever work with Defense counsel in this
- 10:55:01 3 case?
- 10:55:02 4 A. No, not directly. Um, there may have been some
- 10:55:05 5 e-mail exchanges involved in coordinating some of
- 10:55:09 6 the tests with Prosecution and Defense, but I did
- 10:55:12 7 not work directly with them.
- 10:55:13 8 Q. What about the Court? Did you work with any
- 10:55:16 9 members of the judicial branch in this case?
- 10:55:18 10 **A.** Not to my knowledge, no.
- 10:55:19 11 Q. Are you being paid for your work in this case?
- 10:55:22 12 **A.** I am. So I'm paid \$350 an hour for my work.
- 10:55:26 13 Q. And do you know, approximately, how much you've
- 10:55:29 14 been paid for your work in this case?
- 10:55:33 15 A. I think a rough estimate is around \$80,000.
- 10:55:36 16 **Q.** So a lot of hours?
- 10:55:38 17 **A.** Yes, a lot of hours.
- 10:55:39 18 Q. What did you do during all of those hours?
- 10:55:41 19 A. Well, a lot of it was review of documents. And
- 10:55:44 20 so, reviewing the evidence that was available in
- 10:55:48 21 this case. Um, it also included reviewing and
- 10:55:52 22 organizing the diagnostic tests, so the tests that
- 10:55:55 23 we recommended ordering, and interpreting those.
- 10:55:58 24 It involved an interview, and
- 10:56:02 25 evaluation, and examination with Mr. Brockman that

10:56:04	1	happened in May of 2021. I also interviewed his
10:56:07	2	wife, Dorothy, at that time with her attorney
10:56:10	3	present. Um, it involved discussions with the other
10:56:13	4	experts about the evidence that they had obtained.
10:56:16	5	It involved the writing of the
10:56:18	6	reports, and then the preparation of this testimony.
10:56:22	7	Q. Did you do this work in isolation?
10:56:24	8	A. No, I was part of a team of other expert
10:56:27	9	witnesses that were involved in this case.
10:56:29	10	Q. How did well how did this team, as you
10:56:33	11	described it, work together?
10:56:35	12	A. And so, you know, my background and experience
10:56:38	13	is in neurology. So I specifically behavioral
10:56:44	14	neurology, so I was focused on the medical
10:56:46	15	diagnosis, the testing that would be appropriate and
10:56:49	16	helpful in this case, and the knowledge of the
10:56:52	17	diseases and their time course and severity.
10:56:54	18	Dr. Park Dietz was also involved in
10:56:57	19	the case. So he is a forensic psychiatrist who has
10:57:01	20	experience and expertise in medical legal
10:57:04	21	determinations, like competency to stand trial.
10:57:07	22	Dr. Robert Denney was an expert neuropsychologist in
10:57:11	23	this case. So Dr. Denney has experience
10:57:14	24	administering and interpreting the
10:57:17	25	neuropsychological tests, both in dementia patients

- and evaluations of competency. 1 10:57:20 Then I also consulted with 2 10:57:22 Dr. Maria Ponisio. So Dr. Ponisio is a nuclear 3 10:57:24 radiologist. She assisted in evaluating the PET 10:57:28 scans, as well as doing quantitative analysis on 5 10:57:32 those PET scans. 10:57:35 7 Q. So is it fair to say that the team worked 10:57:36 collaboratively with each person bringing their 10:57:39 respective expertise? 10:57:42 We did, yes. We discussed at the beginning the 10 10:57:44 benefits and cons of working together, versus 11 10:57:47 12 entirely independently. The thought was that by 10:57:49 sharing opinions and information that would lead 13 10:57:53 each of us to arrive at the most accurate 14 10:57:55 determination. 15 10:57:59 I want to explore the limits of this 16 Q. 10:57:59 collaboration. Did you read each others' reports 17 10:58:02 before they were filed? 18 10:58:05 No, I did not read any of the other reports 19 10:58:05 before they were filed. 20 10:58:08 Did you share your report or drafts of your 21 Ο. 10:58:09 report with any member of the prosecution team 22 10:58:11 before it was filed? 23 10:58:14
- 10:58:17 25 Q. So I want to start with your first conclusion,

I did not.

24

10:58:16

Α.

Doctor. Well, why don't you just tell us what is 1 10:58:20 Parkinson's disease? 2 10:58:23 Yeah, so Parkinson's disease is a motor 3 10:58:24 disorder of the brain. And so, it is a 4 10:58:28 neurodegenerative disorder, so something that 5 10:58:31 progresses over time that affects movement. 6 10:58:34 7 So when we see patients with 10:58:36 Parkinson's, they have the typical findings of 8 10:58:38 slowness with their movements, slower to initiate 10:58:40 They can have a tremor that occurs at rest. those. 10 10:58:43 They can have stiffness when we try and move them. 11 10:58:46 12 That can lead to findings that we 10:58:49 see when patients are walking where they have a 13 10:58:51 characteristic gait. It can lead to decreased 14 10:58:53 expression in facial expressions. 15 10:58:58 Parkinson's disease can also be 16 10:59:00 17 associated with non-motor symptoms. In some cases, 10:59:01 there are sleep problems or cognitive issues, or 18 10:59:04 19 affection on the autonomic nervous system. 10:59:08 Just to -- why do you think that Mr. Brockman 20 Q. 10:59:11 has Parkinson's disease? 21 10:59:14 Α. Well, I think there's a number of pieces of 22 10:59:16 evidence that really support that. Looking at 23 10:59:19 videos of him giving speeches, in 2018 it appears 24 10:59:22 he's having early signs of that that are more clear 25 10:59:26

by 2019 in those videos. 1 10:59:29 He also had evaluations by medical 2 10:59:31 neurologists with expertise in Parkinson's disease, 3 10:59:33 beginning with Dr. Jankovic in -- in January of 4 10:59:36 2019, that diagnosed him with Parkinson's. When I 5 10:59:41 evaluated him in May, my examination was also 10:59:45 consistent with that. 7 10:59:47 And he also had a special type of 8 10:59:48 brain imaging scan called a DaTscan. And so this 9 10:59:51 looks at the dopamine neurons in the brain. 10 10:59:57 Parkinson's disease, one of the things that happens 11 10:59:58 12 is those dopamine neurons are damaged. His scan 11:00:00 showed evidence there was damage to those dopamine 13 11:00:03 So all of those things support diagnosis neurons. 14 11:00:06 of Parkinson's. 15 11:00:09 If you know, to what extent did the other 16 Q. 11:00:11 17 experts in this case agree with that opinion? 11:00:14 18 Α. I think essentially everything agrees with the 11:00:15 diagnosis of Parkinson's, both his treating 19 11:00:18 physicians and the other experts in this case. 20 11:00:20 Doctor, what's dementia with Lewy bodies or 21 11:00:22 0. 22 Lewy bodies dementia? 11:00:25 So Lewy bodies dementia is caused by the same 23 Α. 11:00:27 biological change as Parkinson's disease. 24 11:00:31 the same underlying protein that accumulates, but it 25 11:00:34

affects different areas of the brain, and that leads 1 11:00:37 to different symptoms. 2 11:00:39 So in addition to Parkinson's motor 3 11:00:40 symptoms, the things that we look for in Lewy body 4 11:00:43 5 disease are visual hallucinations. These are 11:00:48 recurrent. They happen over and over, and they're 11:00:51 7 well formed, so often fully-formed figures, persons, 11:00:53 faces. 8 11:00:57 The second thing that we look for 9 11:00:58 is REM sleep behavior disorder. And so, this is 10 11:01:02 when a patient will actually acts out their dreams 11 11:01:05 12 while they're asleep. 11:01:08 Did you consider this as a potential diagnosis? 13 Q. 11:01:11 Before moving on, I just wanted to say I did. 14 11:01:15 the last thing associated with dementia with Lewy 15 11:01:18 bodies is fluctuations, so changes of arousal or 16 11:01:22 attention such that the patient may not respond. 17 11:01:25 18 This was considered as a diagnosis 11:01:29 19 It was a diagnosis that his treating 11:01:30 clinicians at Baylor raised as a concern. 20 It was 11:01:34 also one of the diagnoses that was initially raised 21 11:01:37 22 by the Defense in their motion for competency. 11:01:40 Were you able to determine from your record 23 11:01:43 review why they suspected this diagnosis? 24 11:01:45 25 I think it was largely based on the fact that Α. 11:01:48

he had Parkinson's. So because Parkinson's and Lewy 1 11:01:50 bodies can be caused by the same protein, that was 2 11:01:54 initially a concern. It was also the fact that 3 11:01:56 Mr. Brockman stated in his neuropsychological 11:01:59 testing that he had seen a bug moving on a table 5 11:02:02 that was interpreted as a hallucination. 6 11:02:05 And because Mr. Brockman had 7 11:02:08 reported movements in his sleep that happened 8 11:02:10 previously where it raised a concern that could have 11:02:14 been dream reenactment consistent with REM sleep 10 11:02:16 behavior disorder. 11 11:02:21 12 You mentioned this was early, clinical 11:02:21 diagnosis. But again, to the extent you know is 13 11:02:24 this a diagnosis any of the experts in this case are 14 11:02:28 advocating for today? 15 11:02:32 No, I don't believe so. So I don't think any 16 Α. 11:02:34 17 of the other experts have mentioned this as a 11:02:37 diagnosis, although they have mentioned a related 18 11:02:39 disease, Parkinson's disease dementia. 19 11:02:42 What's -- you said they're related. What's the 20 11:02:45 relation? 21 11:02:47 And so, really the issue is timing. 22 Α. 11:02:48 bodies disease, the features that I mentioned and 23 11:02:52 24 the cognitive happen early. It happens within the 11:02:55

first year of someone having the motor symptoms of

25

11:02:59

Parkinson's disease. 1 11:03:03 But we know that if we follow 2 11:03:03 3 patients with Parkinson's disease five or ten years 11:03:04 into their disease course, they may also develop 4 11:03:07 5 hallucinations, and some of these other features 11:03:10 that really resemble Lewy bodies dementia. 11:03:13 7 that's just because the disease is spreading into a 11:03:16 different part of the brain and causing those 8 11:03:19 symptoms. 11:03:20 9 Doctor, you said Mr. Brockman might also have 10 11:03:22 Alzheimer's disease. Why do you think that? 11 11:03:25 12 Α. So that was based on a number of things in this 11:03:26 The first is the amyloid PET scan that he case. 13 11:03:30 So just as a bit of background, there are a had. 14 11:03:33 number of different proteins that are involved in 15 11:03:36 Alzheimer's disease. The first one is amyloid. 16 11:03:38 So that is the first thing that we 17 11:03:41 18 think happens in this disease process, but that 11:03:42 happens many years before someone develops symptoms. 19 11:03:47 It can happen a decade before someone has developed 20 11:03:50 any of the other changes or the clinical problems 21 11:03:53 22 associated with that. 11:03:55 And so, there's another protein 23 11:03:56 that is deposited later in the brain and becomes 24 11:03:59 25 abnormal called tau. It's thought that that tau 11:04:02

protein eventually causes brain damage, and so there 1 11:04:07 is neurodegeneration or brain damage. It's the 2 11:04:11 brain damage that causes the clinical symptoms. 3 11:04:14 And so, in Alzheimer's disease, you 4 11:04:17 5 know before the advent of these types of specialized 11:04:20 tests, we didn't have a way of saying there was 11:04:22 7 amyloid in the brain. But the PET scan is actually 11:04:24 able to bind to that through a tracer, and we can 8 11:04:27 visualize and see whether or not there is amyloid in 9 11:04:31 the brain. 10 11:04:33 Mr. Brockman had a positive amyloid 11 11:04:34 12 scan, which indicates he has that first step. 11:04:36 other thing we consider were the locations of brain 13 11:04:40 damage that were evident on his FDG-PET. And so, 14 11:04:43 the FDG-PET is a different type of PET scan. 15 11:04:47 looking at brain energy or metabolism. And that 16 11:04:50 tells us how active a certain area of the brain is. 17 11:04:54 And so if there's a reduction 18 11:04:59 19 there, we think that indicates there's brain damage 11:05:00 in that location. We look for where those locations 20 11:05:02 are to see if it fits the pattern of locations we 21 11:05:06 22 could see in Alzheimer's disease. 11:05:09 And, Doctor, can you just do your best to 23 11:05:11 24 describe the rate of amyloid -- excuse me --11:05:13 25 accumulation and how long it accumulates before it 11:05:20

gets to the maximum level? 1 11:05:22 Yes, so that accumulation happens over the 2 11:05:25 course of years or even a decade. Now that amyloid 3 11:05:28 deposition is happening and increasing, and reaches 11:05:32 its peak even while a patient is in the normal 5 11:05:35 stage, so without any cognitive impairment. 11:05:38 7 essentially at the highest level. 11:05:40 And then as a patient progresses 8 11:05:42 from normal to mild cognitive impairment to 11:05:44 dementia, there's really not a corresponding change 10 11:05:47 in the amyloid. So the amyloid level stays the 11 11:05:50 12 same. If you see a positive amyloid scan, it 11:05:53 doesn't necessarily tell you the degree of cognitive 13 11:05:57 problems someone would be having. 14 11:05:59 It's an indicator that disease and 15 11:06:01 that marker is there, which is necessary part for 16 11:06:05 17 Alzheimer's to occur, but isn't sufficient. 11:06:08 18 other changes, the tau, the brain damage -- those 11:06:10 19 are necessary to get to the clinical symptoms. 11:06:13 So if a person had positive amyloid without any 20 Q. 11:06:15 symptoms, what does amyloid tell us? 21 11:06:20 22 Well, it tells you that those symptoms are more A. 11:06:23 likely related to Alzheimer's disease. So again, 23 11:06:25 it's that first biological change that you see. 24 11:06:28

and so you try and correspond that to the clinical

25

11:06:31

symptoms and brain damage to see if that's more 1 11:06:37 likely than not. 11:06:39 Are you able to estimate just about how common 3 Q. 11:06:39 positive amyloid factor is in people of 11:06:44 Mr. Brockman's age? 5 11:06:47 So amyloid and the disease process, as in 6 Α. 11:06:49 Alzheimer's disease, increase over time. And so, in 11:06:52 someone who is in their 80's, there may be as many 8 11:06:55 as 25 or even 30 or 40 percent of people who would 11:06:58 have a positive amyloid PET scan. The Alzheimer's 10 11:07:02 disease just becomes much, much more common. 11 11:07:05 12 And so, it is certainly possible 11:07:07 that someone could have normal cognition and have a 13 11:07:09 positive amyloid scan. 14 11:07:12 Dr. Darby, can you -- I'm going to ask you to 15 11:07:14 advance two slides, please. Sorry, I'll let you 16 11:07:20 drive, but I just want to -- okay. Can you please 17 11:07:23 just summarize the similarities and differences 18 11:07:25 between the diseases that you have just described 19 11:07:27 for us? 20 11:07:30 Yeah, so this is a chart just describing the 21 11:07:30 22 differences that we see in between these. 11:07:35 each one of these neurodegenerative disorders, we 23 11:07:37 24 see the disease start with the biological changes. 11:07:40

So what are the abnormal proteins that we see?

25

11:07:43

And then, those changes eventually 1 11:07:45 result in brain damage to specific locations. 2 11:07:48 that brain damage, as it becomes significant enough, 3 11:07:52 that leads to the clinical symptoms. So in 4 11:07:55 something like Parkinson's disease and Lewy bodies 5 11:07:58 disease, we actually had that same abnormal protein, 11:08:00 7 that same biological process, but it affects 11:08:04 different parts of the brain. That's what leads to 8 11:08:07 the differences in the symptoms that we see. 9 11:08:09 In the case of Alzheimer's disease, 10 11:08:10 we have the two proteins that we were discussing. 11 11:08:13 12 So the amyloid protein, which is that first change, 11:08:15 and then the tau protein, which is the second 13 11:08:18 change. And it's really as the tau protein 14 11:08:21 progresses and causes brain damage in memory areas 15 11:08:24 that the typical findings of memory impairment 16 11:08:27 17 occur. 11:08:30 Dr. -- Dr. Darby, you just sort of explained 18 11:08:32 the basis of your first opinion related to disease. 19 11:08:37 I'd like to ask you now about your second opinion. 20 11:08:40 Yes, so my second opinion was regarding the 21 Α. 11:08:44 22 severity of the cognitive problems. And so, my 11:08:46 opinion was that Mr. Brockman was at the stage of 23 11:08:50 mild cognitive impairment. It's possible he could 24 11:08:54 have progressed into the mild dementia stage, but 25 11:08:56

that he isn't in the moderate or severe dementia 1 11:08:59 2 stage. 11:09:03 And can you define these terms? 3 Q. 11:09:03 talking mild cognitive impairment, dementia -- can 4 11:09:07 5 you please define these terms? 11:09:08 Α. Sure. So I have another slide that goes 6 11:09:10 7 through some of the different stages. These are 11:09:13 really terms that we're using to describe the 8 11:09:15 severity of the cognitive impairment. So it's the 11:09:17 level of cognitive impairment. And the 10 11:09:21 differentiation point is whether that is severe 11 11:09:24 12 enough to impact Defendant's ability to function 11:09:26 independently. 13 11:09:32 So if we have reports of cognitive 14 11:09:32 dysfunction prior to the loss of independence, we 15 11:09:34 would call that mild cognitive impairment or an MCI. 16 11:09:36 17 And examples of problems that a patient with MCI 11:09:39 18 might have might be memory lapses, so losing track 11:09:43 19 of names, appointments, or conversations. 11:09:48 slower to complete tasks. It's harder to make 20 11:09:51 decisions, but even with challenges being able to do 21 11:09:53 these things independently. 22 11:09:57 When a patient reaches a stage of 23 11:09:58 mild dementia, there is the loss of some of this 24 11:10:00 25 functional independence. So complex activities like 11:10:03

11:10:07	1	being able to work may be challenging. Financial
11:10:10	2	decision-making may be challenging, and there may be
11:10:13	3	more difficulty in organizing thoughts.
11:10:16	4	By the time someone is in the
11:10:18	5	moderate stage of dementia, they really need more
11:10:20	6	assistance. And so, this is a case where in the
11:10:22	7	moderate stage, you wouldn't feel comfortable with a
11:10:26	8	patient being left alone for long period of time.
11:10:28	9	They may be disoriented and lose track of where they
11:10:32	10	are, having difficulty recognizing friends, and may
11:10:35	11	lose memory of certain aspects of personal
11:10:37	12	information like their telephone number or address.
11:10:42	13	Q. You are describing dementia?
11:10:43	14	A. Yeah.
11:10:44	15	Q. What causes dementia?
11:10:46	16	A. Dementia is caused by a number of different
11:10:50	17	diseases. It's an umbrella term. And so,
11:10:52	18	Alzheimer's disease, Lewy bodies, Parkinson's
11:10:54	19	those are all causes of neurodegenerative disorders
11:10:57	20	which progress over time to get to these stages, but
11:11:00	21	one could have a more acute change like a stroke or
11:11:02	22	brain trauma that would cause a similar result.
11:11:05	23	Q. So if it could be caused I mean, do we care
11:11:11	24	does it matter what causes the dementia?
11:11:13	25	A. Well, for determining the level of the

severity, no. So the cause doesn't contribute to 1 11:11:16 that level of severity. Um, but in thinking about 2 11:11:19 the time course it does. And so, you know, diseases 3 11:11:22 like Alzheimer's and Parkinson's progress over time. 11:11:25 Other things like an acute brain trauma wouldn't be 5 11:11:28 expected to progress over time. 11:11:30 7 So in coming to a forensic opinion, would it be Q. 11:11:32 relevant whether a person got dementia in 2017 11:11:38 versus 2021? 9 11:11:42 And so, again we know that this It would. 10 11:11:43 diseases progress. As a ballpark figure, someone 11 11:11:49 12 who is diagnosed at the early mild dementia stage, 11:11:52 it may be five or ten years as that progresses 13 11:11:56 towards death. And so, if someone was diagnosed 14 11:12:00 with dementia in 2017 for instance, we would expect 15 11:12:01 that progression to have occurred, and for them to 16 11:12:04 be at a very advanced stage now. 17 11:12:07 18 But someone who is diagnosed more 11:12:09 19 recently in that stage, you know, with only a year 11:12:11 to progress or smaller amount of time, we wouldn't 20 11:12:13 expect that severe progression to happen. 21 11:12:16 Dr. Darby, in your clinical practice, how do 22 Q. 11:12:19 you diagnose your patients on this dementia severity 23 11:12:22 24 scale you just described? 11:12:28

It starts with an interview with the patient

25

11:12:30

Α.

and their family. This is where I see a patient in 1 11:12:32 clinic and ask them about their concerns about the 11:12:35 I would ask for examples of that. memory problems. 3 11:12:38 I would interview a family member, 4 11:12:40 5 someone close to the patient to know about the 11:12:42 observations that they're noting as well. 11:12:44 do an examination. So I would do a neurological 7 11:12:48 examination, and do cognitive testing, both myself 11:12:50 with a bedside form of cognitive testing, as well as 11:12:54 referring to a patient to a neuropsychologist to do 10 11:12:58 more thorough testing. 11 11:13:00 12 Then I get brain imaging to see if 11:13:02 there's evidence of brain damage that would go along 13 11:13:05 with these diseases that we're concerned about. 14 11:13:08 And so, is that fair to say in your clinical 15 11:13:11 practice your sources of information are images or 16 11:13:14 17 just what comes from the patient? 11:13:17 18 Α. Exactly, yes. 11:13:18 If you take Mr. Brockman's presentation at face 19 Q. 11:13:21 value, where would you diagnose him on this scale? 20 11:13:24 So based on the reports that he's giving 21 A. 11:13:29 currently, he would be at the stage of moderate to 22 11:13:32 severe dementia. So he is reporting, and his family 23 11:13:34 is reporting, that he cannot use a knife, that he 24 11:13:39 has difficulty -- at times -- recognizing his 25 11:13:42

grandson, that he gets confused as to where he is, 1 11:13:44 that he has confusion while putting clothes on, and 2 11:13:48 may put his pants on his arms or vice versa. 3 11:13:51 so, these are all examples of a patient that would 11:13:55 be at an advanced stage of dementia. 5 11:13:57 If you saw him in your normal, clinical 6 Q. 11:14:02 7 practice, might you have diagnosed him that way? 11:14:05 Yes, if -- you know, if these were the symptoms 8 Α. 11:14:07 a patient was reporting to me, you know, those would 11:14:11 be consistent with moderate or severe dementia 10 11:14:13 11 stage. 11:14:16 12 Q. So why do you think that he is in the mild 11:14:16 cognitive impairment to potentially mild dementia 13 11:14:21 range? 14 11:14:23 Well, I think in this case in the forensic role 15 11:14:23 I examined the evidence more critically than I would 16 11:14:27 17 have, so I had a lot more information to base that 11:14:30 opinion on. And so, for instance I had videos of 18 11:14:33 19 him giving depositions or speeches, and can compare 11:14:38 those to the way he was reporting the symptoms and 20 11:14:42 the cognitive testing results and see that there 21 11:14:45 22 were discrepancies. 11:14:47 I had his work performance, so his 23 11:14:49 ability to continue working and even deposition 24 11:14:53 testimony from some of his work associates who were 25 11:14:55

able to comment on his cognitive abilities in the 1 11:14:59 work setting. 2 11:15:02 And then, I had the interview with 3 11:15:03 him himself. So when I saw him in May, and the 4 11:15:06 5 comparison of those interview findings with his 11:15:10 cognitive testing and reporting. And then the 6 11:15:14 7 imaging itself to compare. 11:15:17 So you gave some examples of 8 Q. 11:15:19 demonstration of higher-cognitive function. 11:15:25 just wondering besides those, what else makes you 10 11:15:28 think he has just mild cognitive impairment or mild 11 11:15:31 12 cognitive impairment dementia? 11:15:35 Yeah, well I think the other things -- one is Α. 13 11:15:36 the neuroimaging. So we have the neuro as objective 14 11:15:39 demonstration of the brain severity we're seeing, 15 11:15:47 and correspondences more closely to the symptoms we 16 11:15:50 expect to see. We also have the expected disease 17 11:15:53 18 course, so how should this progress over time? 11:15:55 My evaluation of him in May he was 19 11:15:58 at the mild cognitive impairment stage. And so we 20 11:16:01 have a sense of how that should progress, absent 21 11:16:03 extenuating circumstances. 22 11:16:07 So let's start with the imaging. What -- just 23 Q. 11:16:08 generally, what does neuroradiological imaging show? 24 11:16:12 25 And so, in this case I think the important Α. 11:16:18

things that we're looking for in the neuroimaging 1 11:16:20 are evidence for brain damage. So the evidence for 2 11:16:23 brain damage, how severe it is, and what parts of 3 11:16:26 the brain it's happening in. 11:16:29 5 There are two ways that we can look 11:16:30 at that. One is using the FDG-PET. And so, this is 6 11:16:32 a PET scan that looks at brain metabolism or brain 7 11:16:37 function. So it's actually binding to sugar in the 8 11:16:42 blood, which is the body's source of energy. 11:16:45 looks at where that sugar is going in the brain. Ιf 10 11:16:49 there's less sugar going to certain parts of the 11 11:16:52 12 brain, that indicates that there could be underlying 11:16:55 damage to that area. 13 11:16:58 The other tests that we can use is 14 11:17:00 the brain MRI scan. So the brain MRI looks at the 15 11:17:02 brain's size or the brain volume. And so, we can 16 11:17:07 get a sense of that brain volume and compare that to 17 11:17:10 18 what we would expect normal -- cognitively normal 11:17:14 19 80-year-old to have to get a sense of -- again if 11:17:18 there's a lower brain volume, that can indicate 20 11:17:21 there's been brain damage. 21 11:17:23 Of the two tests that you just mentioned, the 22 11:17:24 FDG-PET and the MRI, which one is more, informative 23 11:17:27 of a patient's neurodegeneration? 24 11:17:35 25 So the FDG-PET tends to be more sensitive. So Α. 11:17:37

we can see changes on the FDG-PET earlier than we 1 11:17:40 can appreciate them on the MRI scan. 11:17:44 And so, if you can measure metabolic uptake or 3 Q. 11:17:47 just brain activity in the brain, why can't you 11:17:55 entirely base your diagnosis off an FDG-PET? 5 11:17:58 Yeah, so dementia can't be diagnosed just by 6 Α. 11:18:02 7 the imaging. And the reason for that is although 11:18:05 there's a strong correlation between the amount of 11:18:08 brain damage in a patient's clinical symptoms, it's 11:18:12 not one-to-one. So the same amount of damage in two 10 11:18:15 different patients could lead to very different 11 11:18:18 12 levels of cognitive impairment, because they're 11:18:21 starting from different points. 13 11:18:24 And so, in a patient who has a high 14 11:18:25 level of intelligence, they're able to compensate 15 11:18:28 for the same amount of brain damage, more than a 16 11:18:31 17 person that would not have that level of 11:18:34 18 intelligence. So it's really the rest of the brain 11:18:36 19 being able to compensate for that, that can change 11:18:39 that. So it's a correlation, but not a one-to-one 20 11:18:41 association. 21 11:18:44 So do you -- is that sometimes referred to as a 22 11:18:45 patient's baseline? 23 11:18:47 Sometimes we'll refer that as the Yes. 24 11:18:49 25 patient's baseline, or as their cognitive reserve, 11:18:52

- so their ability to compensate for these cognitive lissues.
- 21:18:59 3 Q. And how do you estimate the cognitive reserves 4 of Mr. Brockman?
- 11:19:04 5 **A.** I think by all indications, Mr. Brockman is a person of superior intelligence. He's been very successful in running his company.
- 11:19:11 8 Q. How does that help inform your view of the 11:19:14 9 FDG-PET images?
- A. Well, all other things being equal, you would expect again someone who is at a higher level of intelligence to be able to compensate for those problems more than the average person.

11:19:26

11:19:29

11:19:32

11:19:34

11:19:37

11:19:40

11:19:43

11:19:46

11:19:50

11:19:53

11:19:56

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- Q. Actually, I realized I -- I missed -- I skipped something. There are more than one types of PET scans in this case; isn't that right?
  - A. There are, yes. So we've been referring to the FDG-PET that looks at metabolism or the brain activity, and that looks at brain damage. The other PET scan that was obtained in this case is the amyloid PET scan. So rather than binding to glucose in the body, it binds to amyloid, and that test for that first biological protein associated with Alzheimer's disease.
- 11:20:00 25 Q. Without saying the word that FDG stands for --

```
we want to be on the court reporter's good side --
        1
11:20:05
           what does the FDG mean in FDG-PET; what is that
        2
11:20:07
           referring to?
        3
11:20:10
                So it refers to binding to glucose or sugar.
           Α.
11:20:11
           So it is essentially the energy source for the
        5
11:20:14
           brain, and how much of that glucose is going to
11:20:19
        7
           certain parts of the brain indicates how active
11:20:22
           those brain areas are.
        8
11:20:24
                         THE COURT: Counsel, we're going to
        9
11:20:29
           take our break at this time. About every hour and a
       10
11:20:30
           half we'll be taking a break. So we'll take a break
       11
11:20:33
           at this time for ten minutes, and then we'll start
       12
11:20:36
           at 11:30 and push through to lunchtime.
       13
11:20:38
          (Whereupon, a recess was held.)
       14
11:20:53
                         Counsel, you may continue when ready.
       15
11:41:24
                         MR. MAGNANI:
                                        Thank you, Your Honor.
       16
11:41:28
                Dr. Darby, we left off talking about imaging
       17
           0.
11:41:31
           and you were describing the FDG-PET. Were any
       18
11:41:33
           FDG-PETs done of Mr. Brockman's brain?
       19
11:41:37
                Yes, Mr. Brockman had two FDG-PETs done.
       20
           Α.
11:41:40
           he had the first one done in March of 2021, and then
       21
11:41:44
       22
           he had a second FDG-PET performed in August of 2021.
11:41:47
                And who asked for these FDG-PET scans to be
       23
           Q.
11:41:52
           done?
       24
11:41:57
                So they were -- it was me that asked for the
       25
           Α.
11:41:58
```

```
11:42:00 1 FDG-PET scans, and I assisted to order the first one
```

- 11:42:04 2 in March. I believe the recommendation for the
- 11:42:06 3 second one was communicated that it wasn't actually
- 11:42:10 4 ordered by me in August.
- 11:42:11 5 Q. But is it the case that you recommended
- ordering both of these scans?
- 11:42:14 7 A. Yes, I did. I thought it would be helpful in
- 11:42:17 8 this case.
- 11:42:18 9 **Q.** Okay. Were --
- MR. MAGNANI: And this is a
- 11:42:22 11 pre-admitted exhibit, Your Honor.
- 11:42:25 12 Q. Dr. Darby, can you please -- there's some
- 11:42:26 13 binders behind you. Can you please flip to
- 11:42:29 14 Exhibit 43, and just tell the Court what those are?
- You know, Dr. Darby, are they on the
- 11:42:52 16 screen in front of you?
- 11:42:54 17 **A.** Yes, they are.
- 11:42:54 18 **Q.** Okay. So what is Exhibit 43?
- 11:42:57 19 **A.** So these are the FDG-PET scan reports from
- 11:43:01 20 Houston Methodist Hospital.
- 11:43:04 21 Q. Is there a part of the report written in
- 11:43:06 22 English that anyone can understand?
- 11:43:08 23 A. Yes, the impression section gives the overall
- 11:43:16 24 impression of the radiologist.
- 11:43:17 25 Q. Let me ask you a different question. When you

- say the radiologist, who are you talking about?
- 11:43:23 2 **A.** So this is the clinical radiologist working at
- 11:43:25 3 Houston Methodist Hospital. So normally a
- 11:43:28 4 radiologist would be the person reviewing the images
- 11:43:30 5 and interpreting them.
- 11:43:32 6 Q. So what are the impressions of that
- 11:43:36 7 radiologist?
- 11:43:37 8 **A.** So the impression of that radiologist from the
- 9 March 2021 FDG-PET are that, "The findings are very
- 11:43:45 10 mild, but suggestive of early neurodegenerative
- 11:43:48 11 disease, either Alzheimer's disease or dementia with
- 11:43:51 12 Lewy bodies, in parentheses Parkinson's disease with
- 11:43:53 13 dementia.
- "Findings unlikely to represent
- 11:43:57 15 frontotemporal dementia."
- 11:44:06 16 Q. Can you go to the last page of the exhibit,
- 11:44:08 17 Mr. Bourget?
- What's this one, Dr. Darby?
- 11:44:10 19 **A.** So this is the FDG-PET scan report from August
- 11:44:14 20 of 2021.
- 11:44:15 21 Q. And so, what -- and who was the interpreting
- 11:44:18 22 radiologist in this case?
- 11:44:19 23 A. Again, it was the clinical radiologist at
- 11:44:22 24 Houston Methodist Hospital.
- 25 Q. What were the impressions for this second

FDG-PET? 1 11:44:26 And so, the findings -- the impression were 2 Α. 11:44:27 that, "The findings are mild, but very suggestive of 3 11:44:30 neurodegenerative disease, particularly Alzheimer's 11:44:35 5 disease, although statistically less likely dementia 11:44:38 with Lewy bodies or Parkinson's disease with 11:44:39 dementia can have a similar scan pattern. 7 11:44:41 markedly abnormal uptake and prior PET scan also 8 11:44:44 somewhat favors Alzheimer's disease over DLB/PDD." 9 11:44:48 Ask you to break out those initialisms. 10 11:44:52 what's PDD? 11 11:44:56 So PDD is Parkinson's disease dementia. 12 A. DLB is 11:44:57 dementia with Lewy bodies. 13 11:45:02 Do you agree with the findings of this 14 0. 11:45:04 15 radiologist? 11:45:07 Yes, I largely agree with these findings that A. 16 11:45:09 17 they show abnormalities that are mild, but are 11:45:11 suggestive of the diseases mentioned. 18 11:45:14 19 And did you have the opportunity to read 11:45:16 Defense expert reports that also interpret these 20 11:45:18 images? 21 11:45:21 22 A. I did, yes. 11:45:21

A: 45:21 ZZ A: 1 UIU, yes

23

24

25

11:45:23

11:45:26

11:45:29

Q. Did you have the opportunity to read Dr. Maria Ponisio's report that also interprets these images?

A. Yes, and I have a graph that represents the

different opinions in this case regarding the PET 1 11:45:32 scan. 2 11:45:34 Apologize, Your Honor. MR. MAGNANI: 3 11:45:42 Just bringing this up now. 11:45:43 5 While we're doing that, Dr. Darby, can you just 11:45:46 sort of describe what, if any, are the disagreements 11:45:48 7 between the different interpreting doctors? 11:45:50 And so -- so as it's up here, there are 8 A. 11:45:52 three main things that we're looking for in the PET 11:45:56 So one is the pattern of where we're seeing 10 11:45:59 abnormalities. So what's the pattern of the damage? 11 11:46:03 Does that match the diseases that we're interested 12 11:46:06 in knowing about? 13 11:46:10 So in regards to that pattern, 14 11:46:11 there's largely agreement across the different 15 11:46:13 experts. So this graph shows the clinical 16 11:46:15 radiologist, Dr. Ponisio's opinion, who is the 17 11:46:18 nuclear radiologist hired by the Government; 11:46:22 18 Dr. Whitlow's interpretation as Defense expert; and 19 11:46:25 my own impressions. 20 11:46:28 We essentially all agree these 21 11:46:29 findings could be in a pattern one could see in 22 11:46:32 Alzheimer's disease or the Parkinson's disease with 23 11:46:34 24 cognitive impairment. 11:46:36 25 And what are -- what about the severity of the 0. 11:46:41

disease; is there agreement on that? 1 11:46:43 There's largely agreement in the severity as 2 Α. 11:46:45 And so the clinical radiologist described well. 3 11:46:48 these changes as mild. Dr. Ponisio described them 4 11:46:51 5 as early Alzheimer's dementia. I agree that they 11:46:54 look mild. 6 11:46:59 Dr. Whitlow did not comment on the 7 11:47:01 severity regarding the August PET scan. 8 He did say 11:47:03 that it looked similar to the March 2021 PET scan, 11:47:05 at which time he agreed with the clinical 10 11:47:09 radiologist that the findings were mild. 11 11:47:11 12 And so, what are the disagreements, if any, Q. 11:47:13 between the experts? 13 11:47:16 And so, the major disagreement is with the 14 11:47:18 clinical correlation. So this is what I do as a 15 11:47:21 neurologist is I evaluate the patient and relate 16 11:47:24 17 symptoms and the severity to the information from 11:47:28 the PET scan and see do those correspond with each 18 11:47:29 This is where Dr. Whitlow stated he felt 19 11:47:35 these findings were consistent with the demonstrated 20 11:47:40 dementia and the neuropsychological testing, and I 21 11:47:40 disagree with that. 22 11:47:43 So the findings are showing mild 23 11:47:44 changes in terms of the severity that are far 24 11:47:46 25 different than the severe -- moderate to severe 11:47:48

dementia symptoms that Mr. Brockman is presenting 1 11:47:52 So I there's a disconnect there. 11:47:54 And so, is that disconnect -- is it related to 3 Q. 11:47:56 the proportionality of these -- of the different 4 11:48:01 5 signs that you are seeing? How would you describe 11:48:04 that disconnect? 6 11:48:06 7 Α. It is. So as these diseases progress over 11:48:07 time, the amount of brain damage increases. So it 8 11:48:10 spreads to new areas of the brain, and that's what 11:48:13 leads to the clinical progression. So as more and 10 11:48:16 more damage occurs, there are more and more 11 11:48:19 12 symptoms. 11:48:21 So we're trying to make that 13 11:48:22 correspondence between the amount of brain damage 14 11:48:23 and the clinical symptoms. And that is where I 15 11:48:25 think the disconnect is. 16 11:48:27 17 And so you said that the images everyone agrees 0. 11:48:28 18 are mild, but how do you estimate the -- what you 11:48:30 are describing as clinical symptoms or clinical 19 11:48:35 presentation? 20 11:48:37 So that's based on my review of the records of 21 Α. 11:48:38 22 the reports that Mr. Brockman and his wife and 11:48:41 others are giving regarding his functional 23 11:48:43 impairments with the neurocognitive testing results 24 11:48:46

where he's scoring at low levels, really, taking all

25

11:48:48

of those clinical observations regarding the 1 11:48:53 severity of the problems that he's demonstrating and 2 11:48:54 comparing that to the imaging findings. 3 11:48:57 In your clinical practice -- I guess or your 4 0. 11:48:59 research, do you ever have occasion to compare 5 11:49:04 images to what you see in a clinic? 11:49:06 7 MR. LOONAM: Objection. Compound. 11:49:09 MR. MAGNANI: We can take it one at a 8 11:49:12 time, Dr. Darby. 11:49:13 9 THE COURT: One second. Okay. Just 10 11:49:16 break it up. That'll be fine. 11 11:49:18 MR. MAGNANI: 12 11:49:20 In your clinical practice, do you ever have the 13 Q. 11:49:20 occasion to see patients in person and compare their 14 11:49:23 presentation with images? 15 11:49:25 Yes, so essentially every patient that I 16 11:49:27 evaluate in clinic, if there's a concern for 17 11:49:30 cognitive progress, I'm going to order neuroimaging. 18 11:49:32 And so I relate the neuroimaging findings to the 19 11:49:35 patient in every case. 20 11:49:42 Do you ever in your research compare what 21 0. 11:49:43 patients are showing in an exam room with images? 22 11:49:45 Yes, so my research also deals with taking 23 11:49:48 brain imaging findings, and relating that to the 24 11:49:51 25 patient's symptoms and their severity. 11:49:53

And so, how would you describe the relationship 1 Q. 11:49:55 between the symptoms Mr. Brockman shows in the exam 2 11:49:58 room and the imaging in this case? 3 11:50:01 They're clearly out of proportion. So the Α. 4 11:50:04 5 imaging findings on the FDG-PET scan are again at a 11:50:06 mild stage of severity. So there is brain damage, 11:50:10 7 but it's at the mild stage. 11:50:12 Whereas, he's presenting with very 8 11:50:14 significant and severe problems. And one might 9 11:50:17 expect that given his level of intelligence, he 10 11:50:20 would be able to compensate more than the average 11 11:50:22 12 person. So I think there's a disagreement between 11:50:25 the imaging findings, which are mild, and his 13 11:50:27 clinical symptoms, which are now severe. 14 11:50:30 Dr. Darby, I'd like to point you, under the 15 11:50:33 Dr. Ponisio section of your slide it says, "Early 16 11:50:36 Alzheimer's dementia." 17 11:50:41 18 Is early -- well, is that oxymoron? 11:50:43 I don't know exactly what Dr. Ponisio meant 19 11:50:47 when she was writing this, but there is often 20 11:50:51 confusion in the terminology between Alzheimer's 21 11:50:54 22 disease and Alzheimer's dementia. 11:50:56 Before we didn't have these ways of 23 11:51:00 evaluating for brain damage or amyloid, and 24 11:51:02 25 Alzheimer's disease was Alzheimer's dementia. But 11:51:06

now we have other ways of evaluating to show those 1 11:51:09 signs earlier and earlier. 2 11:51:12 I would still interpret as finding 3 11:51:14 of early abnormality. 11:51:17 5 Is that to say in the past you couldn't detect Q. 11:51:19 Alzheimer's disease without seeing the dementia? 11:51:22 7 Α. Correct. 11:51:24 But now that we can measure amyloid, you can 8 Q. 11:51:25 see the disease before the dementia? 11:51:28 We know the disease process starts earlier and 10 11:51:29 that we can -- the amyloid PET scan and other PET 11 11:51:32 12 scans can detect signs of brain damage earlier as 11:51:36 well. 13 11:51:39 Now, you know, you mentioned in your practice 14 11:51:39 in your research you often compare clinical 15 11:51:43 presentation with neuroradiological images. Did you 16 11:51:46 17 do that in this case? 11:51:50 I did, yes. I compared the severity of the 18 Α. 11:51:51 findings in the FDG-PET with the severity of his 19 11:51:55 actual symptoms. 20 11:51:58 And so, before getting to the next slide let me 21 0. 11:51:59 just ask you. When you -- do you have a sense from 22 11:52:04 seeing your own patients of what dementia, FDG-PET 23 11:52:06 looks like? 24 11:52:10

Yes, so I have, you know, an image in my mind

25

11:52:11

Α.

```
of what the typical Alzheimer's dementia patient --
        1
11:52:14
           what their FDG-PET would look like, and similarly
11:52:17
           for some of the other disorders being described.
        3
11:52:20
                And has literature and research on this subject
        4
           0.
11:52:22
        5
           provided representative exemplars of what those
11:52:26
           scans look like?
11:52:30
                Yes, so there are a number of research studies
        7
           Α.
11:52:31
           that have looked at groups of patients with
11:52:34
           Alzheimer's disease or other types of dementias, and
11:52:37
           shown the areas of abnormality that they have on
       10
11:52:40
           their PET scans at the group level -- so kind of
       11
11:52:43
       12
           average Alzheimer's disease patient, and looks
11:52:47
           similar to my own mental image of what that looks
       13
11:52:50
           like.
       14
11:52:53
                Can we go to the next slide, please?
       15
           Q.
11:52:53
                           Dr. Darby, did you make a PowerPoint
       16
11:52:58
       17
           presentation for this case?
11:52:59
       18
           Α.
                I did, yes.
11:53:00
                        Now, this looks a little different than
       19
           Q.
11:53:01
           the slide you created; right?
       20
11:53:03
                Yes.
       21
           Α.
11:53:04
       22
                         MR. LOONAM: Your Honor, I object on
11:53:05
           the basis that the witness is now testifying as to
       23
11:53:08
           his opinion and a new basis for the opinion.
       24
11:53:13
       25
           removed the slides. He's removed the citation, but
11:53:17
```

the substance is exactly the same that, um, he is 1 11:53:20 sharing a new basis for opinion that was not 2 11:53:25 disclosed for which I now can't cross him because it 3 11:53:28 was disclosed last night, so I object. 4 11:53:30 5 THE COURT: Okay. So this scan --11:53:33 everybody's seen this scan; right? 6 11:53:37 7 MR. LOONAM: I don't object to this 11:53:39 scan, Your Honor. What I object to is Dr. Darby is 8 11:53:40 now saying this scan -- "I am comparing this scan to 9 11:53:44 these images that were up there, but now we've taken 10 11:53:49 them down, but I'm still going to testify about the 11 11:53:52 12 images that were up there that weren't disclosed to 11:53:53 the Defense, and tell you how they compare to one 13 11:53:56 another based on my memory of what they looked 14 11:53:58 like." 15 11:54:00 I can't cross on that. 16 11:54:01 17 THE COURT: Okay. But I didn't hear --11:54:02 that was only one of the things he said. 18 11:54:03 19 said that based on his experience and training 11:54:06 looking at these types of -- this type of injury 20 11:54:09 that this is the pattern he would expect to see in 21 11:54:14 -- in a patient that's presenting like Mr. Brockman. 22 11:54:20 MR. LOONAM: My objection, Your Honor, 23 11:54:26 goes to the comparison of the -- what would be up 24 11:54:27 25 there and with the citation and -- and -- and what 11:54:30

```
Dr. Darby I believe was just testifying to -- to --
        1
11:54:34
           to what we don't object to.
        2
11:54:38
                              That comparison is what hasn't been
        3
11:54:40
           disclosed and the basis of which I -- I don't have.
11:54:42
        5
           And so -- so that I object to. If it's -- based on
11:54:45
           my experience, I'm looking at these brains and I see
11:54:49
           that it's not what I would expect, that's different.
        7
11:54:51
           So -- so I agree with Your Honor.
        8
11:54:54
                         THE COURT:
                                      Okay. So re-ask the
        9
11:54:55
           question, and then I'll see whether or not to
       10
11:54:59
           sustain Mr. Loonam's objection.
       11
11:55:01
                         MR. MAGNANI:
       12
11:55:06
                You testified that you -- well, first, what are
       13
           Q.
11:55:06
           we looking at on this screen, Dr. Darby?
       14
11:55:10
                In the bottom we're looking at images of
       15
           Α.
11:55:12
           Mr. Brockman's brain FDG-PET scan. So this is an
       16
11:55:15
           image where his levels of blood sugar in these areas
       17
11:55:20
           of the brain has been compared to a group of
       18
11:55:24
           patients of similar age where they're not having any
11:55:27
       19
           cognitive problems.
       20
11:55:31
                         THE COURT:
                                      That's objectionable.
       21
                                                                Ι
11:55:31
           get it, Mr. Loonam.
       22
11:55:33
                                       Thank you, Your Honor.
                         MR. LOONAM:
       23
11:55:35
           Yeah.
       24
11:55:35
                         THE COURT: That objection will be
       25
11:55:36
```

```
sustained.
        1
11:55:36
                              You can testify -- you can ask the
        2
11:55:37
           witness to testify about what he sees in that image
        3
11:55:39
           and how it's consistent or not consistent with the
        4
11:55:41
        5
           types of diseases that he's testifying about, but he
11:55:44
           can't compare what he's seeing to the slides that
11:55:46
           aren't there, basically.
        7
11:55:51
                         THE WITNESS: Is it okay if I make a
        8
11:55:54
           comment?
        9
11:55:56
                         THE COURT:
                                     Oh, yes, sir.
       10
11:55:56
                                        So I wasn't comparing it
                         THE WITNESS:
       11
11:55:57
       12
           to slides that aren't there. This is actually how
11:55:58
           the image was generated.
       13
11:56:01
                         THE COURT: That's what I thought.
       14
11:56:02
           That's why I was going to allow to you testify to
       15
11:56:04
           that.
       16
11:56:05
       17
                         THE WITNESS:
                                         Sorry.
11:56:06
                         THE COURT:
                                     No, no, no.
       18
                                                     No problem.
11:56:06
           Please, ask questions.
       19
11:56:08
                         MR. MAGNANI:
       20
11:56:15
                I think we can move to the next slide now.
       21
           Ο.
11:56:15
                           Dr. Darby. As we're doing that,
       22
11:56:18
           besides this FDG-PET, you mentioned another type of
       23
11:56:19
           brain study that was conducted. Can you tell us
       24
11:56:23
       25
           about that one?
11:56:26
```

Yes, so Mr. Brockman also had a brain MRI scan. 1 Α. 11:56:27 And so again, that's looking at the size of his 2 11:56:31 different brain areas. So how large they are. 3 11:56:33 scan was ordered and was performed in July of 2021, 4 11:56:38 so July of this year. 5 11:56:43 In addition to having the 6 11:56:45 7 radiologist look at it, that scan was sent for 11:56:46 quantitative analysis. So what that means is that 8 11:56:50 is Mr. Brockman's brain volumes were compared to a 11:56:53 group of subjects who were his same age, but don't 10 11:56:57 have any neurological problems. They don't have 11 11:56:59 dementia. 12 They don't have cognitive impairment. So 11:57:03 what we're really trying to determine is does Mr. 13 11:57:05 Brockman have a lower brain volume in those areas 14 11:57:08 that might go along with having brain damage. 15 11:57:10 And so, in these graphs we see from 16 11:57:13 17 the output of the Neuroreader® report, that 11:57:16 quantitative analysis, how large Mr. Brockman's 18 11:57:20 brain areas are. And so, it's a number of different 19 11:57:24 areas in the brain. The black dot is actually 20 11:57:26 Mr. Brockman's brain volume, so where he falls on 21 11:57:30 22 there. 11:57:32 On the side of the graph, you see 23 11:57:33 the percentile that it falls in, so the percentile 24 11:57:34 of patients or subjects who are same age, 80 years 25 11:57:38

old, but do not have dementia, do not have cognitive 1 11:57:42 impairment. 2 11:57:46 And we can see that Mr. Brockman's 3 11:57:46 brain volumes fall within the normal range of what 4 11:57:49 5 we expect to see. The lowest brain volume that was 11:57:52 reported was in the temporal lobe, and that was at a 6 11:57:55 percentile of 23.8 percent, so approximately the 7 11:58:00 25th percentile. That's typically considered to be 8 11:58:03 in the normal range. 11:58:07 So as an example, the adult male 10 11:58:08 height at the 25th percentile would be 11 11:58:11 That's my height. For the most 12 five-foot-seven. 11:58:16 part, we wouldn't consider that to be abnormal. 13 11:58:18 And just to break that down, this is a 14 11:58:22 volumetric analysis of the volume of Mr. Brockman's 15 11:58:25 brain? 16 11:58:28 Correct. So it is the volumes of different 17 Α. 11:58:28 areas of Mr. Brockman's brain. 18 11:58:30 Is this -- are these percentiles -- does this 19 Q. 11:58:32 compare Mr. Brockman to all 80-year-olds, or just 20 11:58:36 healthy 80-year-olds? 21 11:58:39 22 Α. No, it's specifically to healthy 80-year-olds 11:58:40 without neurological or cognitive impairments. 23 11:58:45 So how does Mr. Brockman's, from a volumetric 24 Q. 11:58:47 25 standpoint stand up with normal, healthy 11:58:50

```
80-year-olds?
        1
11:58:54
                This shows that he's in the normal range on all
        2
           Α.
11:58:54
           of the brain volumes depicted here.
        3
11:58:57
                We can look at the dot, but you mentioned
        4
           0.
11:59:04
           23.8 percent being the lowest area. Can you just
11:59:07
           describe what his -- what his percentile is in the
11:59:11
        7
           other areas of the brain?
11:59:14
                Yes, so the other areas in the occipital lobe
        8
           A.
11:59:15
           is close to the 50th percentile. The front lobe is
11:59:18
           about the 30th percentile. The hippocampus --
       10
11:59:22
           another area important for memory -- is about in the
       11
11:59:26
       12
           45th percentile.
11:59:29
                So what do the other experts say about this
11:59:30
       13
           Q.
           Neuroreader® analysis of the MRI study?
11:59:35
                So again, I have a slide comparing the
       15
11:59:39
           different impressions and interpretations.
                                                           And so,
       16
11:59:42
       17
           we have both the qualitative interpretation from the
11:59:45
       18
           clinical radiologist showing volume loss.
11:59:49
       19
           the question is that related to his age, or is that
11:59:53
           related to an underlying disease?
       20
11:59:56
                              And that's where the quantitative
       21
11:59:59
           MRI is helpful in saying that that falls in the
       22
12:00:01
           23.8 percentile, for instance, in the temporal lobe.
       23
12:00:03
       24
           I don't think there's any disagreement in that
12:00:07
           number, but there is disagreement in the
       25
12:00:09
```

interpretation of that. 1 12:00:11 So Dr. Whitlow stated this brain 2 12:00:12 volume loss is profound in the temporal lobes, and I 3 12:00:15 would disagree. So that brain volume falls within 4 12:00:17 the normal range, and is not significantly different 5 12:00:20 than the population of 80-year-olds without 12:00:22 7 cognitive problems. 12:00:25 I think the second area of 8 12:00:27 disagreement is again on that clinical correlation. 9 12:00:29 So how does the brain imaging findings -- how do 10 12:00:32 those relate to his actual symptoms? Dr. Whitlow 11 12:00:35 12 mentioned in his reports that it was beyond what 12:00:38 would be expected for mild cognitive impairment, and 13 12:00:40 that it explained the significant findings noted in 14 12:00:43 the clinical interviewing and the psychological 15 12:00:45 testing. 16 12:00:48 17 And I would disagree with those 12:00:48 So I do not believe that being at the 18 statements. 12:00:50 23.8th percentile would be evidence of significant 19 12:00:53 disease, and it does not explain the severe problems 20 12:00:57 he's now experiencing. 21 12:01:01 And I just -- just to not be confusing, when 22 Q. 12:01:02 you say to be at the 23.8th percentile, you are 23 12:01:04 talking about cognitively healthy people? 24 12:01:08 25 Correct. Α. 12:01:11

So -- okay. I'd like to move on to the Amyvid 1 Q. 12:01:17 or amyloid PET scan. 2 12:01:29 Counsel, I wanted to make 3 THE COURT: 12:01:30 clear with respect to my ruling, with the slide 4 12:01:31 5 showing the different scans of the brain I'm not 12:01:33 preventing the witness from being able to testify 6 12:01:38 about what he sees in Mr. Brockman's scan, and what 7 12:01:41 that tells him about what's going on. 8 12:01:43 ruling that he can't compare it to the two slides 12:01:47 that were before -- that were previously on the 10 12:01:49 slide. 11 12:01:52 So if he wants to testify about 12 12:01:53 what he is seeing and how it relates to what he 13 12:01:55 expects the symptoms to be or functionality to be, 14 12:01:58 that's fine. He just can't compare it to what was 15 12:02:03 previously on the slide, just so that you are clear. 16 12:02:06 17 MR. MAGNANI: I think I understand the 12:02:09 18 boundaries. I apologize if I overstepped them. 12:02:11 think the witness testified, and I want to make sure 19 12:02:14 this is in bounds, is that he has own sort of gut 20 12:02:15 sense looking at patients, but also there's a body 21 12:02:19 of other images out there that other people write 22 12:02:21 academic research papers in. 23 12:02:24 So to sort of confirm that it's not 24 12:02:26 just his bias, he did compare it. So it's my 25 12:02:28

understanding he can talk about that, but that we 1 12:02:31 can't show images from that literature. 2 12:02:33 THE COURT: That's perfect. 3 I wanted 12:02:35 to make sure that you understood. You haven't 4 12:02:36 5 crossed a line at all. I just wanted to make sure 12:02:38 that you understood that I'm not stopping you from 12:02:40 7 doing that. 12:02:42 MR. MAGNANI: Okay. 8 12:02:43 MR. LOONAM: I just want to clarify. 9 12:02:44 don't object to part one, right, of talking about, 10 12:02:45 "I'm looking at these images, and based on training 11 12:02:50 12 and experience this is what I would see and what I 12:02:53 would expect." 13 12:02:55 I do -- my objection does include 14 12:02:56 talking about part two, which is I looked at 15 12:02:58 research, um, and -- and this is what I would expect 16 12:03:02 from the research and this is what I would see. 17 12:03:05 18 THE COURT: Second part only comes into 12:03:11 19 play based on cross-examination if you get into 12:03:13 challenging his viewpoint as to what he's seeing and 20 12:03:15 said that it's not supported, then you probably will 21 12:03:19 22 open the door up to those other two slides. 12:03:21 have to wait to see what -- what the 23 12:03:24 cross-examination is. 2.4 12:03:27 25 MR. LOONAM: Okav. 12:03:29

THE COURT: So you may continue. 1 12:03:30 MR. MAGNANI: Sorry on the second part, 2 12:03:31 I understand Defense Counsel has an objection, but 3 12:03:35 I'm not -- frankly, Your Honor, I think we're beyond 4 12:03:37 that, and I don't think I need to talk about this 5 12:03:39 anymore. I think the record's been --6 12:03:42 7 And so -- okay. 12:03:48 And so, Dr. Darby, I wanted to move on to that 8 Q. 12:03:50 other kind of PET scan you were talking about, which 12:03:55 is the amyloid PET scan. Again, can you sort of --10 12:03:57 I know you talked about positive amyloid before, but 11 12:04:01 12 can you help us all understand is there any 12:04:04 disagreement on what this PET scan reveals? 13 12:04:06 So I think everyone who has looked at it 14 12:04:09 agrees that it's a positive amyloid scan -- positive 15 12:04:12 for amyloid in the brain. I think that everyone 16 12:04:15 largely agrees with what that means. It's the first 17 12:04:18 18 biological process that occurs in Alzheimer's 12:04:21 19 disease. 12:04:23 It's necessary, but not sufficient, 20 12:04:23 if are the diagnosis and that it doesn't correspond 21 12:04:25 to the degree of cognitive impairment. 22 12:04:29 You said it was the first -- I don't know --23 0. 12:04:34 24 did the first biological change, the first protein 12:04:36 we see accumulated, but what's the second one? 25 12:04:40

0.

12:05:44

types of brain studies that were done in this case. 1 12:05:47 Can you advance the in next slide, please and tell 2 12:05:49 us what do these brain studies reveal? 3 12:05:55 So this is just a summary of what we've been 4 Α. 12:05:57 5 talking about. So first the FDG-PET scan shows mild 12:06:00 brain damage, so mild neurodegeneration. 6 12:06:05 7 This is really consistent with the 12:06:09 mild cognitive impairment stage, or possibly the 12:06:12 mild dementia stage. The brain MRI does not show 12:06:15 any clear abnormalities, so the brain volumes from 10 12:06:18 the MRI scan are within the normal range of what we 11 12:06:20 12 expect from healthy, non-cognitively impaired 12:06:25 80-year-olds. 13 12:06:32 And the third thing is that the 14 12:06:32 amyloid PET scan was positive. So again it shows 15 12:06:32 that first biological change in Alzheimer's, which 16 12:06:33 is necessary but not sufficient. So we don't have 17 12:06:36 18 the information on the second biological change. 12:06:38 19 Um, and the amyloid itself does not correspond with 12:06:42 the degree of cognitive impairment. 20 12:06:45 So someone may be cognitively 21 12:06:48 normal with a positive amyloid scan, versus a severe 22 12:06:50 dementia patient with an amyloid scan and wouldn't 23 12:06:53 24 be able to likely tell the difference. 12:06:55 25 And so, just on that MRI I understand you are Ο. 12:06:57

saying it's normal compared to cognitively normal 1 12:06:59 In your work -- break it down. 80-year-olds. 2 12:07:04 your work, what do the MRI's look like with patients 3 12:07:07 of mild to moderate or severe dementia? 12:07:14 5 When patients progress to mild or moderate Α. 12:07:17 severe dementia, there are typically findings on the 12:07:20 7 MRI scan that go along with that. So the PET scan 12:07:23 is more sensitive, so earlier on in the disease 8 12:07:25 course that can be more present. 12:07:27 As patients progress, there is more 10 12:07:28 and more evidence of neurodegeneration or volume 11 12:07:30 loss on the brain MRI's. Certain areas like the 12 12:07:34 hippocampus where we look for in Alzheimer's 13 12:07:37 disease, typically older patients with Alzheimer's 14 12:07:40 disease as they advance would show changes. 15 12:07:43 So you -- you mentioned how the imaging 16 Okay. Q. 12:07:47 we're all looking at forms your opinion. Can you 17 12:07:53 talk about how the natural course of these diseases 18 12:07:57 also forms your opinion? You mentioned that 19 12:08:00 earlier. 20 12:08:02 Yes, so I -- when I evaluated Mr. Brockman in 21 Α. 12:08:03 May of 2021, I diagnosed him at the level of mild 22 12:08:06 cognitive impairment. These are diseases that do 23 12:08:11 24 progress over time. That change happens over a 12:08:13 number of years. So in general, from the time of

25

12:08:18

diagnosis of dementia to death that would be on the 1 12:08:21 order of five to ten years. 2 12:08:24 In patients with mild cognitive 3 12:08:25 impairment, there is some rate of progression to 12:08:28 5 dementia over a year that might be -- as a ballpark 12:08:30 15/20 percent. 6 12:08:35 And I'm sorry, just to -- so moving from MCI or 7 Q. 12:08:38 mild cognitive impairment to dementia, you're saying 12:08:43 there's a 15 percent chance per year of that 9 12:08:47 happening? 10 12:08:49 Approximately, yes. 11 Α. 12:08:50 To dementia to death is about five to ten 12 Q. 12:08:50 years? 13 12:08:53 Five to ten years is a good, rough estimate. 14 12:08:53 Okav. So -- sorry, you were saying you 15 12:08:56 diagnosed him with MCI in May. So taking that, what 16 12:08:59 17 does the natural disease course tell us? 12:09:02 So from May to now, over the course of six 18 Α. 12:09:05 19 months, I would expect that there could be some mild 12:09:07 progression changes. I would expect him to have a 20 12:09:11 little bit more trouble with the things he was 21 12:09:14 22 having trouble with before, and to likely still be 12:09:16 at the stage of mild cognitive impairment, although 23 12:09:18 there is that risk he could have progressed to the 24 12:09:21 25 stage of mild dementia, absent other extenuating 12:09:23

circumstances that could have interfered with that. 1 12:09:28 So your starting point is May 2021. I know you 2 12:09:30 wrote two expert reports in this case, so I'm going 3 12:09:36 to take you back to the first one. What -- what 4 12:09:37 5 gives you confidence in your May 2021 diagnosis of 12:09:40 6 MCI? 12:09:46 7 Α. Well, I examined a great deal of evidence from 12:09:46 the initial report. And so, what I looked at were 8 12:09:50 his videos of depositions and speeches in 2019, 12:09:54 where he appeared to be performing at the normal 10 12:09:58 range. 11 12:10:00 I looked at his work history. 12 And 12:10:01 so, he continued to work at his job in a leadership 13 12:10:03 position at Reynolds and Reynolds through the time 14 12:10:09 he retired in November of 2020. 15 12:10:11 There was deposition testimony 16 12:10:14 17 available for me to review from Tommy Barris, the 12:10:16 successor of Mr. Brockman at the company, somebody 18 12:10:20 who worked very closely with him. He did not note 19 12:10:23 any significant cognitive problems that he felt 20 12:10:26 would have interfered with Mr. Brockman's ability to 21 12:10:29 22 continue functioning in that high role. 12:10:31 I also looked at the medical 23 12:10:34 records that were available. And so, Mr. Brockman 24 12:10:36 saw his treating neurologist, Dr. Lai, in February 25 12:10:39

```
of 2021, at which point he was diagnosed as being in
        1
12:10:43
           the MCI stage, mild cognitive impairment stage.
        2
12:10:47
           There are also medical records from March
        3
12:10:52
           hospitalization where he was hospitalized for an
        4
12:10:54
        5
           infection and delirium where they noted
12:10:56
           Mrs. Brockman stated that he was functionally
12:11:00
           independent prior to that time.
        7
12:11:02
                              And then I also based it on my
        8
12:11:04
           interview with him and my examination.
12:11:06
        9
                         MR. MAGNANI:
                                        Um, and I want to show a
       10
12:11:10
           clip from that examination, if I may, Your Honor?
       11
12:11:12
                         THE COURT:
                                      Sure.
       12
12:11:15
                         MR. MAGNANI:
                                         This is about two and a
       13
12:11:16
           half minutes long.
       14
12:11:17
                         THE COURT:
                                      Not a problem.
       15
12:11:18
                         MR. MAGNANI:
                                         This is Exhibit 40, which
       16
12:11:19
       17
           is admitted.
                          I'd like to start the video at
12:11:21
           18 minutes and 47 seconds.
       18
12:11:25
                                     Counsel, stipulate that --
                         THE COURT:
       19
12:11:37
       20
           sorry.
12:11:39
          (Whereupon, audio played and not reported.)
12:11:56
       22
                         MR. LOONAM:
                                       Could we mark for the
12:14:22
           record where he stopped?
       23
12:14:24
                                         I was just going to do
                         MR. MAGNANI:
       24
12:14:25
      25
           that.
                   It's unclear. So this is from Exhibit 40,
12:14:26
```

```
and it's from 18 minutes and 47 seconds to
        1
12:14:30
           21 minutes and 15 seconds. Actually, I just want to
        2
12:14:33
           raise now -- actually, what we played was a clip
        3
12:14:36
           pulled from that video. So we don't have to fidget.
        4
12:14:40
           I hope that's okay.
        5
12:14:43
                         THE COURT:
                                     Not a problem. Counsel,
        6
12:14:45
           it's 12:15. We have to take our break right now.
        7
12:14:46
           So I hate to get you in the middle of a thought, but
12:14:52
           hold on and we'll take a recess until 1:15.
        9
12:14:54
           another matter to handle at 12:45 to about one
       10
12:14:57
           o'clock. Don't worry, being in here won't interfere
       11
12:15:03
       12
           with that.
12:15:06
                              So if we'd all be back at 1:15, get
       13
12:15:06
           started then.
       14
12:15:10
                         MR. VARNADO: Your Honor, okay to leave
       15
12:15:11
           our materials?
       16
12:15:13
                         THE COURT: You can leave everything
       17
12:15:14
       18
           the way it is.
12:15:15
           (WHEREUPON, THE PROCEEDINGS WERE RECESSED AT 12:15
       19
                                    P.M.)
       20
                                  ---000---
       21
       22
       23
       24
       25
```

CERTIFICATE I hereby certify that pursuant to Title 28, 6 Section 753 United States Code, the foregoing is a 7 true and correct transcript of the stenographically 8 reported proceedings in the above matter. Certified on 11/16/2021. RPR, 

-				
<b>^</b>	<b>23</b> [1] - 30:5	0	abundantly [1] - 33:13	27:12
\$		8	-	
440 07.0	<b>23.8</b> [3] - 117:7, 118:5,	•	academic [3] - 20:4,	adopts [1] - 63:13
<b>\$10</b> [1] <b>-</b> 37:6	118:23	<b>8</b> [1] - 8:8	20:14, 120:23	adult [1] - 117:10
<b>\$25</b> [1] <b>-</b> 43:5	<b>23.8th</b> [2] - 119:19,	<b>80</b> [1] - 116:25	Academy [2] - 74:24,	advance [4] - 77:10,
<b>\$350</b> [1] - 81:12	119:23	<b>80's</b> [1] - 91:8	75:6	91:16, 124:2, 125:15
<b>\$80,000</b> [1] - 81:15	<b>25</b> [1] - 91:9	<b>80-year-old</b> [1] - 99:19	accommodate [2] -	advanced [3] - 48:17,
	25th [2] - 117:8,	80-year-olds [7] -	19:12, 19:15	95:17, 97:5
1	117:11	117:20, 117:21,	accountability [1] -	advent [1] - 89:5
-	<b>27</b> [2] - 7:21, 8:14	117:22, 118:1,	35:20	advocating [1] - 87:15
<b>1</b> [8] <b>-</b> 1:9, 7:21, 8:8,	<b>28</b> [2] - 7:21, 130:5	119:6, 124:13, 125:2	accounts [1] - 40:5	<b>AEBCT</b> [1] - 54:16
9:7, 9:10, 30:2, 43:3,	<b>29</b> [1] - 8:8	<b>81</b> [1] - 7:22	accumulated [1] -	affect [2] - 71:23,
44:7	<b>29th</b> [1] - 20:21	8th [3] - 15:23, 21:12,	122:25	72:12
<b>100</b> [3] - 31:17, 49:19,	2011[1] 20.21	27:13	accumulates [2] -	affected [1] - 57:4
64:25	3	27.10	85:25, 89:25	affection [1] - 84:19
<b>11/16/2021</b> [1] - 130:9	3	0	accumulation [3] -	affects [3] - 84:6,
<b>114</b> [1] - 8:15	<b>3</b> [1] - 42:23	9	89:25, 90:2, 123:6	• • •
	<b>30</b> [4] <b>-</b> 36:18, 36:22,	<b>9</b> [1] - 8:13		86:1, 92:7
<b>11:30</b> [1] - 102:13			accurate [4] - 30:24,	<b>age</b> [6] - 49:21, 91:5,
<b>12:15</b> [2] - 129:7,	68:18, 91:9	<b>92</b> [1] - 49:19	31:15, 80:6, 83:14	114:19, 116:10,
129:19	<b>30th</b> [2] - 44:3, 118:10	<b>95</b> [1] - 8:9	accurately [3] - 44:5,	116:25, 118:19
<b>12:45</b> [1] - 129:10	<b>32</b> [1] <b>-</b> 8:8	<b>96</b> [1] <b>-</b> 7:22	45:23, 45:25	age-adjusted [1] -
<b>14</b> [2] <b>-</b> 40:25, 41:8	<b>38</b> [1] <b>-</b> 76:14	<b>97</b> [1] - 8:14	accused [1] - 80:8	49:21
<b>15</b> [5] <b>-</b> 1:12, 4:5, 47:8,	<b>39</b> [1] - 76:14	<b>99.9</b> [1] - 49:22	acknowledged [3] -	agent [1] - 5:10
126:9, 129:2		<b>9:16</b> [1] - 4:5	62:18, 62:20, 65:22	aging [1] - 47:2
<b>15/20</b> [1] - 126:6	4		acknowledges [1] -	<b>ago</b> [4] - 24:18, 57:18,
<b>15th</b> [2] - 16:2, 16:3	•	Α	57:22	66:3, 67:13
<b>18</b> [2] <b>-</b> 128:18, 129:1	<b>40</b> [5] - 54:12, 69:23,	7.	action [1] - 55:14	agree [18] - 7:18, 8:12,
<b>180</b> [1] - 51:16	91:9, 128:16, 128:25	<b>A.M</b> [1] - 4:5	active [3] - 74:21,	12:7, 23:17, 54:9,
<b>1981</b> [1] - 54:16	<b>43</b> [3] - 8:8, 103:14,	abilities [2] - 62:24,	89:17, 102:7	56:5, 56:17, 56:19,
<b>1994</b> [1] - 68:8	103:18	98:1	activities [2] - 59:5,	56:20, 56:21, 64:1,
• •	<b>44</b> [1] - 8:14	ability [11] - 19:13,	• • • •	
<b>1:15</b> [2] - 129:9,	<b>45th</b> [1] - 118:12	24:8, 41:20, 46:11,	93:25	85:17, 105:14,
129:13			activity [2] - 100:4,	105:16, 106:21,
<b>1st</b> [2] <b>-</b> 20:23, 46:3	<b>47</b> [2] - 128:18, 129:1	47:23, 47:24, 67:7,	101:19	107:5, 114:8
	<b>48</b> [2] <b>-</b> 9:8, 9:10	93:12, 97:24, 101:1,	acts [1] - 86:11	agreed [9] - 7:20,
2	4:21-CR-00009-1 [2] -	127:21	actual [4] - 31:3, 80:4,	8:10, 8:12, 14:19,
	1:4, 4:9	<b>able</b> [24] - 10:3, 11:8,	111:20, 119:11	40:14, 41:12, 55:14,
<b>20</b> [1] - 61:19		14:14, 16:5, 23:13,	acute [2] - 94:21, 95:5	67:21, 107:10
<b>2010</b> [1] - 30:5	5	29:5, 44:9, 53:17,	add [2] - 20:17, 66:6	agreement [5] - 8:18,
<b>2016</b> [3] - 38:21,		67:12, 70:2, 70:9,	added [1] - 19:19	62:19, 106:15,
38:24, 39:19	<b>5</b> [2] - 7:21, 42:15	86:23, 89:8, 91:3,	adding [1] - 15:23	107:1, 107:2
<b>2017</b> [4] - 40:4, 42:23,	5,000-person [1] -	93:21, 94:1, 98:1,	addition [4] - 56:11,	agrees [5] - 59:8,
95:8, 95:15	46:24	100:15, 100:19,	58:9, 86:3, 116:6	85:18, 108:17,
<b>2018</b> [7] <b>-</b> 37:10,	<b>50th</b> [1] - 118:9	101:12, 110:11,	additional [4] - 7:6,	122:15, 122:17
40:16, 40:17, 42:8,	<b>57</b> [1] - 8:14	120:6, 123:5, 124:24	8:10, 8:11, 59:16	Agronin [1] - 59:18
42:15, 53:22, 84:24	<b>58</b> [1] <b>-</b> 8:8	abnormal [5] - 88:25,		ahead [2] - 7:11, 55:21
<b>2019</b> [12] - 44:7, 44:14,	<b>5:00</b> [2] <b>-</b> 21:16, 27:10	91:25, 92:6, 105:8,	address [10] - 6:20,	aid [1] - 28:15
	<b>5:30</b> [1] - 19:23	117:13	6:22, 7:9, 11:10,	
44:23, 46:19, 48:22,	5.50 [1] - 19.25 5th [1] - 41:1	abnormalities [3] -	15:3, 18:3, 20:2,	Alaskan [1] - 42:16
49:3, 55:24, 56:1,	Jul[1] - 4 1. 1	105:17, 106:11,	29:5, 58:18, 94:12	allegations [1] - 54:13
66:5, 85:1, 85:5,	^	124:10	addressing [3] - 4:13,	alleged [1] - 52:16
127:9	6		4:19, 29:7	<b>allow</b> [6] - 30:11,
<b>2020</b> [5] - 42:9, 46:20,	60 (4) 0.0	abnormality [2] -	adjusted [1] - 49:21	31:21, 32:2, 32:12,
47:8, 48:1, 127:15	<b>60</b> [1] - 8:9	111:4, 112:10	administering [2] -	77:5, 115:15
<b>2021</b> [19] - 1:12, 4:5,	<b>62</b> [1] - 8:14	Abrahamsen [1] -	49:25, 82:24	allowed [4] - 10:16,
48:22, 49:3, 49:9,	<b>6:00</b> [2] - 19:24, 21:16	66:4	admit [4] - 7:13, 7:18,	10:23, 11:3, 12:5
49:15, 56:1, 82:1,	_	absent [2] - 98:21,	7:21, 31:2	allowing [1] - 33:23
95:9, 102:21,	7	126:25	admitted [12] - 6:15,	almost [3] - 41:17,
102:22, 104:9,		absolute [1] - 37:19	6:16, 7:24, 8:9, 9:1,	50:2, 50:16
104:20, 107:9,	<b>71</b> [1] <b>-</b> 3:5	absolutely [8] - 18:23,	9:6, 9:11, 9:12, 76:8,	<b>alone</b> [2] - 70:10, 94:8
116:4, 125:22,	<b>75</b> [1] - 8:14	19:7, 19:16, 21:6,	76:12, 103:11,	already-impaired [1] -
127:2, 127:5, 128:1	<b>753</b> [1] - 130:6	30:12, 31:14, 32:5,	128:17	57:16
<b>21</b> [2] - 49:25, 129:2	<b>77</b> [1] <b>-</b> 8:9	32:6	admonished [1] -	Alzheimer's [56] -
,			admomonicu[i] -	AIZHGIHIGI 3 [30] -

15:15, 15:17, 21:25, 30:5, 48:16, 56:14, 56:15, 58:5, 58:22, 58:24, 59:2, 59:22, 61:5, 62:19, 64:10, 64:24, 65:1, 65:7, 65:14, 73:1, 75:1, 75:7, 77:22, 88:11, 88:16, 89:4, 89:22, 90:17, 90:23, 91:7, 91:10, 92:10, 94:18, 95:4, 101:24, 104:11, 105:4, 105:9, 106:23, 107:5, 110:17, 110:21, 110:22, 110:25, 111:6, 112:1, 112:9, 112:12, 122:18, 123:13, 123:15, 123:21, 124:16, 125:13, 125:14  AM [1] - 1:9  American [5] - 74:24, 74:25, 75:1, 75:6  amount [8] - 52:5, 95:20, 100:8, 100:10, 100:16, 108:8, 108:14, 123:22  amyloid [36] - 21:23, 64:8, 64:22, 65:3, 88:13, 88:16, 89:7, 89:9, 89:11, 89:24, 90:3, 90:11, 90:12, 90:20, 90:21, 91:4, 91:6, 91:10, 91:14, 92:12, 101:21, 101:22, 110:24, 111:8, 111:11, 120:2, 122:10, 122:11, 122:15, 122:16, 123:11, 124:15, 124:19, 124:22, 124:23  Amyvid [1] - 120:1  analysis [5] - 83:5, 116:8, 117:15, 118:14
122:16, 123:11, 124:15, 124:19,
124:22, 124:23  Amyvid <sub>[1]</sub> - 120:1  analysis <sub>[5]</sub> - 83:5,  116:8, 116:18,

appear [3] - 13:2, 29:19, 67:12 APPEARANCES[1] appeared [3] - 20:23, 27:11, 127:10 appearing [2] - 13:3, 23:10 appointment [3] -42:17, 42:18, 42:19 appointments [1] -93:19 appreciate [1] - 100:2 appropriate [2] -14:14, 82:15 appropriately [2] -44:5, 45:16 approximations [1] -34:3 architect [1] - 39:14 area [5] - 89:17, 99:13, 118:5, 118:11, 119:8 areas [14] - 86:1, 92:15, 102:8, 108:9, 112:10, 114:17, 116:3, 116:14, 116:19, 116:20, 117:18, 118:7, 118:8, 125:12 argument [1] - 35:6 arms [1] - 97:3 arousal [1] - 86:16 arrive [1] - 83:14 article [2] - 22:11, 23:1 articles [3] - 22:9, 24:1, 26:4 aside [1] - 66:21 asleep [1] - 86:12 aspects [2] - 62:24, 94:11 assault [1] - 46:20 asset [1] - 54:22 assets [3] - 36:20, 37:7, 54:21 assist [7] - 54:2, 56:3, 60:21, 61:2, 66:12, 69:17, 69:23 assistance [2] - 80:8, 94:6 assistant [1] - 72:21 assisted [2] - 83:4, 103:1 associate's [1] - 38:7 associated [7] - 40:5, 43:22, 44:24, 84:17, 86:15, 88:22, 101:23

associates [1] - 97:25

74:25, 75:2, 75:7

Association [3] -

association [1] -100:21 Associations [1] -75:1 assume [1] - 34:17 assumption [1] - 45:8 AT [1] - 129:19 attempt [3] - 35:24, 38:6, 39:25 attend [1] - 10:3 attention [1] - 86:17 Attorney [4] - 1:19, 1:21, 1:22, 1:23 attorney [6] - 12:9, 13:9, 29:12, 45:20, 45:21, 82:2 attorneys [4] - 47:10, 62:5, 66:4, 69:20 audience [1] - 4:17 **audio** [1] - 128:21 August [5] - 40:17, 102:22, 103:4, 104:19, 107:8 authentic [1] - 8:13 authenticity [2] - 8:19, 9:2 authorities [1] - 41:2 autonomic [1] - 84:19 available [6] - 15:25, 64:16, 80:20, 81:20, 127:17, 127:24 average [3] - 101:13, 110:11, 112:12 avoid [1] - 61:16 awards [2] - 75:3, 75:5 awhile [1] - 5:23 В

backdate [1] - 42:1 background [5] -15:5, 74:5, 76:3, 82:12, 88:14 backwards [1] - 65:18 bad [1] - 16:17 ballpark [2] - 95:11, 126:5 bank [1] - 40:5 banks [1] - 36:23 Barris [3] - 69:3, 69:6, 127:17 base [3] - 79:20, 97:17, 100:5 based [21] - 10:25, 11:22, 16:4, 24:25, 43:23. 44:1. 44:13. 48:12. 61:22. 62:12. 80:7, 86:25, 88:12, 96:21, 108:21, 113:14, 113:19,

114:5, 121:11, 121:19, 128:8 baseline [2] - 100:23, 100:25 bases [2] - 22:2, 59:9 basis [13] - 22:3, 22:18, 24:19, 27:8, 31:1, 49:22, 64:9, 67:23, 92:19, 112:23, 112:24, 113:2, 114:4 batch [1] - 8:18 Baylor [5] - 43:22, 44:24, 63:5, 66:19, 86:20 bearer [1] - 16:17 becomes [3] - 88:24, 91:11, 92:3 becoming [1] - 68:21 **bedside** [1] - 96:9 began [2] - 38:23, 72:4 begin [1] - 6:9 **beginning** [8] - 28:2, 28:3, 38:21, 61:13, 61:14, 70:7, 83:10, 85:4 **BEHALF** [3] - 3:9, 3:15, 3:21 behalf [4] - 5:5, 5:12, 5:14, 61:20 behavior [3] - 73:12, 86:10. 87:11 behavioral [8] - 71:13, 71:21, 71:25, 72:19, 75:8, 75:11, 77:14, 82:13 behind [2] - 53:16, 103:13 benefit [1] - 24:14 benefits [1] - 83:11 Bermuda [2] - 40:6, 41:1 bespoke [1] - 37:21 best [2] - 19:13, 89:23 beta [1] - 21:23 beta-amyloid [1] -21:23 Beth [1] - 72:20 better [3] - 17:3, 17:17, 41:25 between [14] - 10:16, 39:2, 41:9, 43:12, 73:11, 91:19, 91:22, 100:8, 106:7, 107:13, 108:14, 110:2, 110:12, 110:21 beyond [4] - 69:16, 78:13, 119:12, 122:4 bias [1] - 120:25 bigger [1] - 4:23 billion [1] - 37:6 **billions** [1] - 36:20 bind [1] - 89:8 binders [1] - 103:13 binding [3] - 99:8, 101:21, 102:4 binds [1] - 101:22 Bioethics [1] - 73:2 biological [9] - 85:24, 90:24, 91:24, 92:7, 101:23, 122:18, 122:24, 124:16, 124:18 bit [2] - 88:14, 126:21 **bits** [1] - 35:9 black [1] - 116:20 **blindfolded** [1] - 49:20 blood [2] - 99:9, 114:17 **blue** [1] - 33:12 boasted [1] - 38:4 **Bob** [48] - 53:25, 54:4, 54:7, 55:11, 55:13, 55:17, 56:2, 56:6, 56:14, 56:17, 57:1, 57:17, 57:18, 57:22, 58:23, 59:8, 59:10, 59:21, 59:24, 60:8, 60:16, 60:25, 61:6, 61:15, 61:24, 63:4, 64:10, 65:16, 66:2, 66:4, 66:7, 66:10, 66:20, 66:25, 67:19, 67:24, 68:8, 68:9, 68:18, 69:5, 69:7, 69:11, 69:21, 70:7, 70:11 **bob** [2] - 55:9, 55:10 Bob's [26] - 54:16, 57:13, 58:7, 59:4, 59:25, 60:12, 60:19, 61:1, 61:10, 62:1, 62:4, 62:21, 64:4, 65:6, 66:15, 66:18, 66:22, 67:4, 67:6, 67:11, 67:22, 68:13, 68:14, 68:20, 68:23, 69:15 bodies [13] - 43:25, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:16, 87:2, 87:23, 88:6, 92:5, 94:18, 104:12, 105:6, 105:13 body [6] - 25:19, 64:16, 71:19, 86:4,

101:22, 120:21

body's [1] - 99:9

book [2] - 22:1, 30:11 books [1] - 24:1 Boris [1] - 5:6 **BORIS** [1] - 1:18 bottom [2] - 22:10, 114:15 boundaries [1] -120:18 bounds [1] - 120:20 **BOURGET** [1] - 1:18 Bourget [2] - 5:7, 104:17 Brain [2] - 64:5, 73:2 brain [104] - 21:4, 21:24, 22:9, 25:11, 25:13, 31:23, 32:20, 33:10, 33:15, 48:13, 62:16, 64:2, 65:6, 71:20, 73:11, 84:4, 85:9, 85:10, 86:1, 88:8, 88:24, 89:1, 89:2, 89:3, 89:7, 89:10, 89:13, 89:16, 89:17, 89:19, 90:18, 91:1, 92:2, 92:3, 92:8, 92:15, 94:22, 95:5, 96:12, 96:13, 98:15, 99:2, 99:3, 99:4, 99:7, 99:10, 99:12, 99:15, 99:16, 99:17, 99:20, 99:21, 100:4, 100:9, 100:16, 100:18, 101:18, 101:19, 102:6, 102:7, 102:8, 102:19, 108:8, 108:9, 108:14, 109:24, 110:6, 110:24, 111:12, 114:16, 114:18, 115:24, 116:1, 116:3, 116:9, 116:14, 116:15, 116:19, 116:20, 116:21, 117:4, 117:5, 117:16, 117:18, 118:3, 118:7, 119:2, 119:4, 119:10, 120:5, 122:16, 123:3, 123:7, 123:19, 123:22, 124:1, 124:3, 124:6, 124:9, 124:10, 125:12 brain's [1] - 99:16 brains [1] - 114:6 branch [1] - 81:9 break [10] - 19:3, 19:10, 102:10, 102:11, 105:10,

109:11, 117:14, 125:2, 129:7 briefings [1] - 35:7 Brigham [1] - 72:10 bring [3] - 6:12, 7:2, 43:20 bringing [2] - 83:8, 106:4 brings [1] - 63:24 broader [1] - 52:24 broadly [1] - 42:6 Brockman [66] - 4:10, 5:12, 5:14, 5:16, 5:17, 10:8, 10:10, 10:12, 12:9, 13:24, 32:23, 37:4, 37:5, 37:15, 37:21, 39:7, 39:13, 39:14, 39:17, 39:22, 40:5, 40:7, 40:13, 40:22, 40:24, 41:5, 41:10, 41:13, 53:15, 53:16, 53:22, 53:25, 54:14, 54:18, 55:11, 56:3, 56:6, 57:17. 57:18. 59:16. 65:23, 70:11, 77:19, 77:24, 81:25, 84:20, 87:4, 87:7, 88:10, 89:11, 92:23, 101:4, 101:5, 102:20, 108:1, 108:22, 110:2, 113:22, 116:1, 116:14, 117:20, 123:14, 125:21, 127:18, 127:24, 128:6 BROCKMAN [1] - 1:7 Brockman's [22] -12:15, 12:20, 13:8, 33:15, 33:20, 41:6, 62:23, 77:18, 91:5, 96:19, 102:19, 114:16, 116:9, 116:18, 116:21, 117:3, 117:15, 117:18, 117:24, 120:7, 123:6, 127:21 brought [2] - 54:1, 66:11 buddies [1] - 68:19 **bug** [1] - 87:5 **build** [1] - 37:2 built [1] - 67:13 burden [2] - 61:9, 61:12 burdens [1] - 76:2 Bureau [1] - 61:20 business [2] - 37:2, 59:25

BY [1] - 71:9

C cannot [6] - 54:9, 58:8, 59:15, 61:1, 66:9, 96:24 cannot-be-faked [1] -58:8 capacity [5] - 36:2, 36:8, 42:5, 48:2, 53.6 care [6] - 19:14, 50:16, 67:4, 68:25, 94:23, 123:17 careful [2] - 38:18, 38:22 caregiver [3] - 59:25, 67:23, 69:13 caretaker [3] - 12:15, 12:20, 13:9 Carlos [1] - 39:13 carry [1] - 67:12 case [75] - 4:8, 5:9, 11:2, 15:10, 15:20, 16:24, 17:24, 20:21, 21:2, 21:3, 22:17, 24:11, 25:18, 25:24, 31:12, 31:22, 33:16, 35:25, 36:3, 36:11, 38:6, 41:16, 42:7, 47:19, 48:5, 48:20, 49:14, 50:7, 50:9, 54:10, 54:11, 56:4, 58:7, 58:8, 59:6, 67:11, 69:19, 75:21, 76:5, 77:16, 77:17, 80:16, 80:25, 81:3, 81:9, 81:11, 81:14, 81:21, 82:9, 82:16, 82:19, 82:23, 85:17, 85:20, 87:14, 88:13, 92:10, 94:6, 97:15, 98:25, 101:16, 101:20, 103:5, 103:8, 104:22, 106:1, 109:20, 110:3, 111:17, 112:17, 123:8, 124:1, 127:3 cases [6] - 25:14, 58:5, 63:19, 64:15, 74:11, 84:17 caused [4] - 85:23, 87:2, 94:16, 94:23 causes [7] - 64:14, 89:1, 89:3, 92:15, 94:15, 94:19, 94:24 causing [1] - 88:8 caveat [1] - 33:9

celebrity [1] - 63:17

Center [2] - 58:22,

73:1 center [1] - 73:19 CEO [2] - 36:4, 47:18 certain [9] - 30:24, 47:13, 61:23, 80:20, 89:17, 94:11, 99:11, 102:7, 125:12 certainly [1] - 91:12 certainty [1] - 79:14 **Certified** [1] - 130:9 certify [1] - 130:5 CFO [1] - 66:1 chairman [1] - 47:18 challenges [1] - 93:21 challenging [3] - 94:1, 94:2. 121:20 chance [2] - 6:3, 126:9 change [14] - 8:5, 28:4, 73:17, 85:24, 90:10, 90:24, 92:12, 92:14, 94:21, 100:19, 122:24, 124:16, 124:18, 125:24 changed [1] - 63:11 changes [10] - 86:16, 88:21, 90:18, 91:24, 92:1, 100:1, 107:4, 107:24, 125:15, 126:20 changing [2] - 20:25, 27:16 characteristic [1] -84:14 charges [3] - 36:16, 54:12, 55:7 Charitable [3] - 37:4, 54:14, 54:18 chart [4] - 25:7, 30:3, 31:19, 91:21 charts [4] - 24:1, 24:25, 27:20 check [6] - 30:7, 30:9, 32:16, 32:17, 32:19, 33:5 children's [1] - 14:17 choice [1] - 11:15 chose [1] - 26:12 Chris [1] - 5:7 CHRISTOPHER [1] -1:16 Christopher [2] -23:16, 64:3 church [1] - 68:10 circumstances [2] -98:22. 127:1 citation [3] - 26:20, 112:25, 113:25

cite [1] - 31:23

cited [3] - 22:9, 22:20,

25:22 cites [1] - 30:13 claiming [1] - 47:5 claims [2] - 44:9, 44.11 **clarifies** [1] - 23:20 clarify [1] - 121:9 clear [17] - 11:5, 27:9, 27:19, 28:20, 33:12, 33:13, 38:1, 41:22, 47:20, 47:25, 51:17, 61:3, 80:3, 84:25, 120:4, 120:16, 124:10 clearly [3] - 35:21, 79:17, 110:4 clicker [1] - 77:9 client [3] - 26:6, 31:12, 70:6 Clinic [2] - 66:17, 72:25 clinic [6] - 14:17, 18:24, 73:21, 96:2, 109:6, 109:17 clinical [35] - 15:15, 34:4, 73:19, 74:13, 74:14, 74:15, 87:12, 88:21, 89:3, 90:19, 90:25, 92:4, 95:22, 96:15, 97:6, 100:9, 104:2, 104:23, 106:16, 107:3, 107:10, 107:15, 108:10, 108:15, 108:19, 109:1, 109:4, 109:13, 110:14, 111:15, 118:18, 119:9, 119:15, 123:3 clinically [3] - 73:7, 73:8, 79:22 clinicians [1] - 86:20 clip [2] - 128:11, 129:3 clock [1] - 21:17 close [5] - 36:23, 38:7, 67:19, 96:5, 118:9 closely [3] - 98:16, 123:3, 127:19 closing [2] - 22:7, 38:23 clothes [1] - 97:2 co [1] - 58:24 co-occurring [1] -58:24 Code [1] - 130:6 **cognition** [1] - 91:13 cognitive [67] - 22:14, 47:24, 48:21, 49:3, 56:18, 57:13, 57:19, 60:19, 62:23, 71:22,

47:13, 49:5, 54:25,

55:1, 66:1, 66:2, 67:13, 68:11, 68:12, 101:7, 127:18 Company [2] - 54:23, 54:24 compare [15] - 25:19, 64:17. 97:19. 98:7. 99:17, 109:5, 109:14, 109:21, 111:15, 113:13, 115:6, 117:20, 120:9, 120:15, 120:25 compared [4] -111:18, 114:18, 116:9, 125:1 comparing [4] - 109:3, 113:9, 115:11, 118:15 comparison [4] -25:20, 98:5, 113:24, 114:3 compensate [5] -100:15, 100:19, 101:1, 101:12, 110:11 competence [4] -50:19, 62:24, 80:1, 80.2 COMPETENCY [1] -1:9 competency [6] -61:10, 75:22, 76:1, 82:21, 83:1, 86:22 competency-related [1] - 75:22 competent [7] - 10:9, 10:12, 10:14, 45:1, 50:23, 52:20, 62:13 complete [1] - 93:20 complex [5] - 25:8, 36:19, 45:18, 69:22, 93:25 complicated [2] -54:10, 54:11 compound [1] - 109:7 comprehensive [1] -41:4 computer [3] - 2:5, 21:18, 40:13 concepts [1] - 25:8 concern [6] - 26:3, 40:15, 86:20, 87:3, 87:9, 109:17 concerned [3] - 14:13, 39:4, 96:14 concerning [1] - 7:6 concerns [2] - 46:11,

96.2

conclude [2] - 60:16,

60:24 concluded [2] - 44:4, 44:25 concludes [2] - 45:15, 61:6 conclusion [8] -58:20, 59:9, 61:21, 63:10, 63:21, 63:23, 77:23, 83:25 conclusions [5] -63:13, 63:14, 63:15, 77:15, 79:25 concrete [2] - 58:8, 59:12 condition [4] - 36:24, 42:11, 51:2, 51:3 conduct [1] - 53:3 conducted [2] - 50:5, 115:24 conducting [1] - 61:19 confer [1] - 18:22 conference [2] -55:18, 55:21 conferencing [2] -14:23, 16:5 confidence [2] -37:24, 127:5 confirm [1] - 120:24 confirmation [1] -59:16 confirmed [1] - 33:8 conflict [5] - 14:6, 16:1, 16:20, 16:21, 16:23 conflicting [1] - 23:4 conflicts [1] - 16:20 confused [1] - 97:1 confusing [1] - 119:22 confusion [2] - 97:2, 110:21 congregate [1] - 68:9 connecting [1] - 35:8 **CONNOR** [1] - 1:20 **Conor** [1] - 16:9 cons [1] - 83:11 consent [1] - 9:16 consider [5] - 48:4, 48:8, 86:13, 89:13, 117:13 considered [2] -86:18, 117:8 consistent [14] -17:12, 32:18, 48:22, 58:4, 62:18, 64:23, 65:14, 85:7, 87:10, 97:10, 107:20, 115:4, 124:7 conspiracy [1] - 41:5 conspired [1] - 37:25 constant [2] - 41:22,

50:16 constitutional [1] -69:20 consult [2] - 23:4, 54:5 consulted [1] - 83:2 contact [2] - 16:6, 16.8 contain [2] - 20:3, 39:25 contained [1] - 41:15 containing [1] - 41:4 contend [1] - 57:15 contesting [1] - 8:19 context [2] - 69:25, 123:13 continue [7] - 24:23, 52:3, 79:2, 97:24, 102:15, 122:1, 127:22 continued [1] - 127:13 continues [3] - 45:12, 52:1, 52:19 continuing [1] - 46:19 contrast [1] - 38:8 contribute [1] - 95:1 control [8] - 37:19, 38:2, 41:6, 41:23, 44:22, 46:18, 50:7, 50:14 controlled [2] - 37:6, 37:9 conversation [1] -67:12 conversations [2] -41:9, 93:19 convincingly [1] -42:1 cooking [1] - 44:17 cooperators [1] -50:10 coordinating [2] -80:21, 81:5 copies [1] - 28:16 cord [1] - 71:19 Corey [1] - 5:5 **COREY** [18] - 1:15, 5:4, 5:9, 6:6, 6:11, 7:19, 8:3, 8:7, 12:7, 12:19, 13:5, 13:16, 13:20, 15:2, 15:6, 18:2, 19:16, 35:2 corporate [1] - 54:23 correct [13] - 8:5, 9:3, 12:19, 15:15, 30:12, 32:5, 32:6, 33:9, 78:17. 111:7. 117:17, 119:25, 130:7 correctly [1] - 26:19

correlation [4] -100:8, 100:20, 107:15, 119:9 correspond [5] -90:25, 107:18, 122:21, 123:2, 124:19 correspondence [4] -38:11, 43:16, 108:14 correspondences [1] - 98:16 corresponding [2] -90:10, 123:19 corroborate [1] -69:14 counsel [17] - 5:10, 12:11, 13:11, 25:8, 27:24, 28:2, 29:1, 29:22, 54:2, 75:24, 80:9, 81:2, 102:9, 102:15, 120:3, 128:19, 129:6 Counsel [4] - 35:7, 53:8, 70:14, 122:3 Counsel's [1] - 24:19 couple [4] - 5:25, 6:1, 24:23, 44:7 course [14] - 5:16, 33:16, 52:21, 55:14, 60:15, 82:17, 88:4, 90:3, 95:3, 98:18, 125:9, 125:18, 126:17, 126:18 Court [38] - 2:2, 2:2, 4:13, 4:19, 6:1, 6:12, 6:13, 6:22, 7:3, 11:23, 14:25, 18:21, 19:5, 20:24, 21:6, 23:23, 26:21, 27:11, 28:15, 29:5, 29:7, 29:15, 29:20, 48:5, 51:6, 53:16, 53:25, 54:3, 55:18, 56:13, 57:1, 60:22, 63:2, 69:7, 77:15, 78:24, 81:8, 103:14 COURT [87] - 1:1, 3:21, 4:7, 5:8, 5:11, 5:17, 5:21, 6:8, 7:4, 7:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:6, 8:16, 8:25, 9:5, 9:9, 10:22, 11:20, 12:2, 12:13, 12:22, 13:6, 13:18, 14:24, 17:25, 18:9, 18:19, 19:11, 19:18, 19:20, 23:14, 23:25, 24:23, 26:2, 26:17, 27:14, 28:11, 28:17, 28:22, 29:1,

correlate [1] - 64:25

daylight [1] - 10:15

29:18, 30:9, 31:6, 31:18, 32:23, 33:2, 33:6, 33:17, 34:6, 34:9, 34:14, 34:21, 35:4, 53:8, 53:11, 53:23, 70:14, 70:19, 71:6, 75:13, 75:17, 76:11, 77:5, 77:11, 78:9, 78:15, 79:2, 102:9, 109:10, 113:5, 113:17, 114:9, 114:21, 114:25, 115:10, 115:14, 115:18, 120:3, 121:3, 121:18, 122:1, 128:12, 128:15, 128:19, 129:6, 129.17 court [4] - 4:3, 53:19, 70:1, 102:1 Court's [5] - 4:8, 8:23, 14:16, 19:13, 20:17 courtroom [16] - 4:24, 9:17, 9:23, 10:17, 11:7, 11:15, 12:5, 12:12, 12:21, 12:23, 13:7, 13:10, 13:13, 30:8, 53:15, 67:22 cover [2] - 38:16, 54:12 Craig [1] - 65:25 create [2] - 38:15, 38:18 created [10] - 30:3, 30:10, 31:19, 32:1, 32:10, 39:10, 39:13, 76:22, 76:24, 112:20 creates [1] - 47:14 creating [1] - 39:6 creation [2] - 39:8, 39:9 credentials [1] - 73:4 crimes [2] - 55:3, 55:4 criminal [4] - 54:1, 55:4, 66:11, 69:19 critically [1] - 97:16 cross [13] - 4:15, 9:19, 10:18, 11:9, 22:23, 23:5, 25:25, 27:5, 78:17, 113:3, 113:16, 121:19, 121:24 cross-examination [3] - 78:17, 121:19, 121:24 cross-examinations [2] - 9:19, 10:18 cross-examine [1] -25:25

cross-examined [1] -11:9 cross-examining [1] -4.15 crossed [1] - 121:5 crowded [1] - 4:20 **CRR** [2] - 2:1, 130:12 cumulative [1] - 8:21 current [3] - 57:13, 62:23, 123:16

#### D

daily [2] - 59:5, 67:23 damage [34] - 39:25, 73:11, 85:13, 89:1, 89:2, 89:3, 89:14, 89:19, 90:18, 91:1, 92:2, 92:3, 92:15, 96:13, 99:2, 99:3, 99:13, 99:21, 100:9, 100:10, 100:16, 101:19, 106:11, 108:8, 108:11, 108:14, 110:6, 110:24, 111:12, 116:15, 123:3, 123:19, 123:22, 124:6 damaged [1] - 85:12 **Dana** [1] - 66:4 danger [1] - 44:17 Darby [51] - 14:8, 17:8, 17:10, 18:4, 18:6, 18:10, 20:1, 20:7, 20:20, 24:20, 25:25, 30:8, 30:23, 31:18, 32:1, 32:10, 32:13, 33:8, 34:1, 60:5, 60:6, 60:7, 61:2, 61:6, 63:8, 70:18, 70:19, 70:21, 71:11, 75:10, 75:20, 77:13, 79:4, 79:13, 80:12, 91:15, 92:18, 95:22, 102:17, 103:12, 103:15, 104:18, 106:5, 109:9, 110:15, 112:16, 113:8, 114:1, 114:14, 115:22, 122:8 DARBY [2] - 3:4, 71:1 Darby's [6] - 18:5, 22:18, 22:19, 28:14, 30:1, 61:10 data [5] - 59:15, 60:2, 64:16, 65:13, 79:21 DaTscan [1] - 85:9 **DAY**[1] - 1:9

days [7] - 35:18, 45:7, 45:17, 46:14, 55:15, 63:10, 69:12 Dayton [1] - 55:2 deadline [1] - 20:22 deal [1] - 127:7 dealing [1] - 16:24 deals [1] - 109:23 death [3] - 95:14, 126:1, 126:12 decade [2] - 88:20, 90:3 decades [1] - 67:14 deceitful [1] - 53:3 deceive [2] - 36:8, 47:23 deceived [1] - 36:22 deceiving [1] - 47:21 **December** [1] - 44:19 deception [1] - 48:12 decide [3] - 10:8, 10:13, 51:19 decided [1] - 14:9 decision [6] - 55:16, 70:3, 70:10, 71:24, 75:25, 94:2 decision-making [3] -71:24, 75:25, 94:2 decisions [1] - 93:21 decline [1] - 51:20 decreased [1] - 84:14 defend [4] - 41:17, 55:10, 69:18, 70:11 defendant [1] - 80:8 Defendant [71] - 1:8, 1:19, 35:22, 36:7, 36:12, 36:19, 37:18, 37:24, 38:4, 38:10, 38:17, 38:22, 38:24, 39:3, 39:6, 39:15, 39:22, 41:18, 41:24, 42:10, 42:16, 42:24, 43:1, 43:2, 43:5, 43:7, 43:12, 43:14, 43:15, 43:19, 44:4, 44:7, 44:19, 44:22, 44:25, 45:15, 45:22, 46:1, 46:4, 46:6, 46:10, 46:16, 46:23, 47:2, 47:4, 47:11, 47:17, 48:1, 48:6, 48:11, 48:18, 48:24, 49:7, 49:14, 49:18, 49:20, 49:24, 50:2, 50:6, 51:4, 51:5, 51:10, 51:13, 51:17, 51:21, 51:25, 52:2, 52:7, 52:18, 52:22, 53:5

Defendant's [23] -36:16, 37:7, 37:18, 38:1, 38:16, 40:20, 41:23, 42:3, 42:19, 42:21, 44:15, 47:9, 47:20, 48:13, 48:21, 49:9. 49:11. 49:17. 50:14. 52:16. 52:25. 80:1, 93:12 defense [7] - 54:2, 54:8, 60:21, 61:2, 66:12, 69:17, 70:7 Defense [27] - 6:20, 6:25, 9:7, 9:22, 9:25, 14:1, 14:11, 14:19, 24:7, 26:11, 42:22, 43:3, 43:10, 58:17, 59:19, 66:14, 67:20, 68:7, 68:16, 69:14, 81:2, 81:6, 86:22, 105:20, 106:19, 113:13, 122:3 **DEFENSE** [1] - 3:15 Defense's [1] - 62:20 defenses [4] - 50:8, 50:9, 55:6, 55:10 define [2] - 93:3, 93:5 definitely [2] - 19:11, 23:17 degree [9] - 54:6, 55:7, 56:17, 57:15, 57:19, 72:2, 90:13, 122:22, 124:20 degrees [1] - 51:16 delirium [7] - 57:3, 57:11, 60:13, 60:14, 61:9, 62:21, 128:5 deliver [1] - 45:3 Dementia [3] - 21:22, 30:3, 72:25 dementia [100] -22:15, 44:1, 44:14, 45:1, 46:5, 46:13, 48:18, 48:25, 55:11, 56:8, 56:9, 56:12, 56:14, 56:15, 58:5, 58:24, 58:25, 59:1, 59:4, 59:9, 59:21, 59:22, 60:17, 60:25, 61:1, 61:4, 61:5, 64:11, 64:14, 65:14, 67:1, 67:6, 67:10, 68:20, 69:11, 69:15, 70:12, 73:15, 74:18, 74:20, 78:4, 78:5, 78:11, 79:10, 79:12, 82:25, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:15, 87:19, 88:6, 90:10, 92:25, 93:1, 93:4, 93:24,

94:5, 94:13, 94:15, 94:16, 94:24, 95:8, 95:12, 95:15, 95:23, 96:23, 97:5, 97:10, 97:13, 98:12, 100:6, 104:11, 104:13, 104:15, 105:5, 105:7, 105:12, 105:13, 107:5, 107:21, 108:1, 110:17, 110:22, 110:25, 111:6, 111:9, 111:23, 112:1, 116:12, 117:1, 124:9, 124:23, 125:4, 125:6, 126:1, 126:5, 126:8, 126:12, 126:25 dementias [4] - 72:16, 75:12, 77:14, 112:9 demographic [1] -49:21 demonstrate [1] -51:11 demonstrated [2] -48:1, 107:20 demonstrates [3] -25:7, 28:7, 43:23 demonstrating [1] -109:2 demonstration [2] -98:9, 98:15 demonstrative [9] -24:16, 25:7, 28:3, 28:6, 30:1, 30:2, 31:21, 77:6 demonstratives [7] -19:22, 20:3, 20:18, 21:20, 24:6, 26:9, 34:16 **Denney** [13] - 15:18, 18:12, 20:1, 20:7, 20:19, 49:13, 49:24, 50:17, 52:8, 61:18, 61:22, 82:22, 82:23 Denney's [5] - 18:11, 61:21, 63:12, 63:15, 63:23 dense [1] - 23:2 **Department** [2] - 73:2, 80:13 depicted [1] - 118:3 depictions [1] - 30:24 deposed [2] - 46:2, 66:4 deposited [1] - 88:24 deposition [7] - 45:19, 46:8, 46:15, 90:4, 97:24, 123:2, 127:16

depositions [3] - 49:4, 97:19, 127:9 describe [10] - 24:5, 27:25, 67:19, 74:7, 89:24, 93:8, 106:6, 108:5, 110:1, 118:6 described [7] - 70:7, 82:11, 91:19, 95:24, 107:3, 107:4, 112:3 describing [4] - 91:21, 94:13, 102:18, 108:19 DESCRIPTION [3] -3:10, 3:16, 3:22 designed [3] - 38:12, 52:5, 52:11 desperate [1] - 35:24 despite [6] - 38:21, 43:4, 46:22, 47:15, 47:16 destroy [2] - 39:24, 40.2 destroying [1] - 38:6 detailed [1] - 42:16 details [1] - 6:21 detect [2] - 111:5, 111:12 detention [1] - 41:22 deterioration [1] -68:14 determination [2] -80:5, 83:15 determinations [1] -82:21 **determine** [4] - 52:5, 60:19, 86:23, 116:13 **determined** [2] - 58:6, 64:15 determining [2] -74:19, 94:25 develop [1] - 88:4 developed [1] - 88:20 develops [1] - 88:19 diagnose [3] - 72:11, 95:23, 96:20 diagnosed [12] -46:13, 59:21, 66:25, 85:5, 95:12, 95:14, 95:18, 97:7, 100:6, 125:22, 126:16, 128:1 diagnoses [6] - 44:13, 45:4, 46:4, 46:22, 73:23, 86:21 diagnosing [2] -75:11, 77:13 diagnosis [22] - 15:14, 43:24, 46:5, 47:9, 48:11, 77:19, 77:20, 77:22, 82:15, 85:14,

85:19, 86:13, 86:18, disclosed [15] - 24:2, 86:19, 86:24, 87:13, 25:1, 25:2, 26:5, 87:14, 87:18, 100:5, 26:23, 31:7, 31:10, 122:21, 126:1, 127:5 33:1, 33:16, 33:20, diagnostic [1] - 81:22 78:13, 113:3, 113:4, Dietz [11] - 50:18. 113:12, 114:4 62:8, 62:9, 62:15, disconnect [4] -62:16, 63:2, 63:3, 108:2, 108:3, 108:6, 63:10, 63:16, 82:18 108:16 Dietz's [2] - 63:8, discovery [3] - 25:1, 25:2, 25:5 63:14 difference [1] - 124:24 discrepancies [2] differences [3] -70:1, 97:22 discuss [2] - 64:21, 91:18, 91:22, 92:9 different [42] - 10:13, 73:22 11:11, 15:18, 16:12, discussed [3] - 6:19, 17:13, 25:9, 27:17, 76:25, 83:10 51:17, 55:3, 62:10, discussing [3] -73:6, 73:14, 73:23, 36:12, 76:18, 92:11 74:19, 78:7, 86:1, discussion [2] -86:2, 88:8, 88:15, 55:15, 65:12 89:15, 92:8, 93:7, discussions [1] - 82:3 94:16, 100:11, disease [74] - 22:15, 100:13, 103:25, 48:17, 56:6, 56:7, 106:1, 106:7, 58:23, 58:24, 59:1, 106:15, 107:25, 59:17, 60:15, 61:4, 108:4, 112:19, 64:10, 64:11, 65:7, 114:7, 116:3, 77:20, 77:22, 84:2, 116:19, 117:17, 84:3, 84:16, 84:21, 118:16, 119:5, 85:3, 85:11, 85:24, 120:5, 123:25 86:5, 87:19, 87:23, differentiate [2] -88:1, 88:3, 88:4, 22:12, 22:14 88:7, 88:11, 88:16, differentiation [1] -88:18, 89:4, 89:22, 93:11 90:15, 90:23, 91:6, differs [1] - 11:2 91:7, 91:11, 91:24, difficulty [3] - 94:3, 92:5, 92:6, 92:10, 94:10, 96:25 92:19, 94:18, 98:17, dig [2] - 20:13, 24:11 101:24, 104:11, direct [3] - 27:4, 104:12, 105:4, 105:5, 105:6, 105:9, 28:12, 28:14 Direct [1] - 3:5 105:12, 106:23, 107:1, 110:22, **DIRECT** [1] - 71:8 110:25, 111:6, direction [2] - 37:19, 111:9, 111:10, 61:3 112:9, 112:12, directly [3] - 81:4, 118:20, 119:20, 81:7, 123:18 122:19, 123:21, director [3] - 58:22, 125:8, 125:14, 66:16, 72:24 125:15, 126:17 disadvantage [1] -Disease [3] - 58:22, 31:11 66:17, 75:8 disagree [3] - 107:22, diseases [19] - 51:3, 119:4, 119:17 51:7, 71:17, 72:12, disagreement [7] -73:12, 73:17, 74:14, 10:6, 107:14, 82:17, 91:19, 94:17, 110:12, 118:24, 95:3, 95:11, 96:14, 118:25, 119:9, 105:18. 106:12. 122:13 108:7, 115:5, disagreements [2] -

125:18, 125:23

106:6, 107:12

dismisses [2] - 62:2, 62:4 disorder [4] - 84:4, 84:5, 86:10, 87:11 disorders [12] - 59:20, 71:22, 71:23, 72:14, 72:18, 73:9, 74:5, 74:16, 91:23, 94:19, 112:3 disoriented [1] - 94:9 dispute [1] - 50:20 disputes [1] - 34:12 disputing [1] - 31:8 distance [1] - 4:21 District [2] - 2:2, 2:3 **DISTRICT** [2] - 1:1, 1:2 **DLB** [1] - 105:12 **DLB/PDD** [1] - 105:9 **Docket** [1] - 4:9 Doctor [2] - 84:1, 89:23 doctor [8] - 16:23, 36:1, 42:20, 45:5, 53:18, 66:7, 85:21, 88:10 doctor's [3] - 44:12, 45:13, 67:17 doctoral [1] - 74:1 doctors [22] - 19:1, 35:23, 36:9, 36:13, 42:11, 43:22, 43:24, 44:24, 46:23, 47:5, 47:17, 47:22, 50:24, 51:14, 52:25, 58:11, 58:12, 63:5, 67:14, 69:13, 106:7 doctors' [1] - 19:12 document [1] - 38:18 documents [10] - 9:5, 30:14, 40:3, 41:15, 42:1, 50:12, 50:13, 69:24, 80:19, 81:19 **DOJ** [5] - 1:15, 1:16, 1:17, 1:18, 20:21 dollar [1] - 46:25 dollars [2] - 36:20, 37:17 donations [2] - 66:19, 66:20 done [16] - 6:6, 19:4, 24:22, 35:10, 38:5, 49:19, 56:25, 64:8, 64:17, 65:6, 102:19, 102:20, 102:21, 102:24, 123:8, 124:1 door [1] - 121:22 dopamine [3] - 85:10, 85:12, 85:13 Dorothy [2] - 53:15, 82:2

dot [2] - 116:20, 118:4 dots [1] - 35:9 double [6] - 30:7, 30:9, 32:15, 32:16, 33:4, 35:22 down [4] - 14:14, 113:11, 117:14, 125:2 **Dr** [153] - 6:25, 13:21, 14:3, 14:8, 14:22, 15:8, 15:18, 15:21, 15:24, 16:1, 16:9, 16:10, 17:8, 17:9, 17:10, 17:13, 17:15, 17:21, 18:4, 18:5, 18:6, 18:10, 18:11, 18:12, 18:18, 18:23, 19:9, 20:1, 20:7, 20:19, 20:20, 22:18, 22:19, 24:20, 25:25, 28:14, 29:1, 29:14, 30:1, 30:8, 30:23, 31:18, 32:1, 32:10, 32:13, 33:8, 34:1, 42:24, 43:6, 43:11, 43:15, 43:17, 44:4, 44:8, 44:12, 44:13, 44:20, 45:14, 46:4, 46:17, 47:8, 49:13, 49:24, 50:17, 50:18, 52:8, 58:21, 59:3, 59:7, 59:13, 59:18, 60:5, 60:6, 60:7, 61:2, 61:6, 61:10, 61:18, 61:21, 61:22, 62:8, 62:9, 62:15, 62:16, 63:2, 63:3, 63:8, 63:10, 63:12, 63:14, 63:15, 63:16, 63:23, 64:3, 64:21, 65:3, 65:10, 65:12, 66:7, 66:16, 66:21, 67:3, 68:19, 70:18, 70:19, 70:21, 71:11, 75:10, 75:20, 77:13, 79:4, 79:13, 80:12, 82:18, 82:22, 82:23, 83:3, 85:4, 91:15, 92:18, 95:22, 102:17, 103:12, 103:15, 104:18, 105:23, 106:5, 106:17, 106:19, 107:4, 107:7, 107:19, 109:9, 110:15, 110:16, 110:19, 112:16, 113:8, 114:1, 114:14, 115:22, 119:2, 119:11,

122:8, 127:25 drafts [1] - 83:21 dream [1] - 87:10 dreams [1] - 86:11 drive [2] - 41:4, 91:17 driving [2] - 44:17, 75:25 due [4] - 20:21, 61:8, 69:19, 123:20 duly [1] - 71:3 duping [1] - 42:10 duplicative [1] - 14:7 during [5] - 34:14, 34:15, 41:14, 44:8, 81:18 **Dusky** [1] - 54:3 duties [1] - 14:17 duty [1] - 55:6 dysfunction [2] -21:24, 93:15

#### Ε

e-mail [8] - 21:20, 41:7, 42:17, 42:23, 43:3, 43:6, 43:13, 81:5 e-mails [3] - 38:12, 46:14, 46:18 early [14] - 15:15, 15:17, 48:16, 66:25, 84:25, 86:19, 87:12, 87:24, 95:12, 104:10, 107:5, 110:16, 110:18, 111:4 easy [1] - 23:21 Edison [1] - 32:8 Edison" [1] - 31:24 educate [1] - 11:9 education [2] - 71:25, 72:6 educational [1] - 73:4 effort [2] - 52:6, 52:14 either [6] - 25:6, 32:3, 32:12, 37:13, 43:25, 104:11 electronics [1] - 4:23 elusive [1] - 58:6 empire [1] - 37:9 encrypted [5] - 37:22, 38:9, 38:13, 41:7, 41.8 encryption [1] - 37:24 end [4] - 18:14, 44:23, 51:19, 69:9 energy [3] - 89:16, 99:9, 102:5 engaged [1] - 36:19 English [1] - 103:22

entire [2] - 16:2, 47:12 entirely [5] - 19:4, 45:12, 76:22, 83:12, 100:5 entirety [2] - 9:18, 9.24 entitled [1] - 22:1 episodes [1] - 62:21 equal [1] - 101:10 equate [1] - 56:8 Equity [1] - 39:1 equity [2] - 39:8, 39:9 escape [1] - 40:10 **ESQ** [4] - 1:19, 1:20, 1:22, 1:23 essentially [7] - 11:17, 63:13, 85:18, 90:7, 102:5, 106:21, 109:16 estimate [5] - 81:15, 91:3, 101:3, 108:18, 126:14 Eugene [4] - 37:4, 54:14, 54:18, 66:16 evade [2] - 35:19, 35:25 evaluate [6] - 26:12, 71:16, 73:7, 73:16, 107:16, 109:17 evaluated [2] - 85:6, 125:21 evaluating [5] - 48:4, 74:18, 83:4, 110:24, 111:1 evaluation [2] - 81:25, 98:19 evaluations [2] - 83:1, 85:2 Evatt [3] - 37:11, 65:20, 65:21 events [3] - 55:24, 62:22, 67:13 eventually [2] - 89:1, 92:1 evidence [33] - 8:21, 31:4, 31:8, 31:16, 31:21, 35:21, 36:6, 36:15, 38:6, 39:24, 41:23, 42:4, 42:25, 46:21, 52:20, 53:4, 57:25, 59:12, 62:17, 65:16, 69:10, 77:6, 81:20, 82:4, 84:23, 85:13, 96:13, 97:16, 99:2, 119:19, 125:11, 127:7 evident [1] - 89:14 exactly [3] - 96:18, 110:19, 113:1

**exaggerate** [1] - 52:19

exaggerating [6] -35:24, 36:9, 50:3, 57:14, 57:17, 79:16 exam [24] - 43:23, 44:2. 44:8. 45:11. 46:7. 47:21. 47:22. 48:5, 48:7, 49:9, 49:18, 49:20, 51:1, 51:8, 51:16, 52:23, 59:11, 66:13, 66:14, 67:20, 68:24, 109:22, 110:2 Examination [1] - 3:5 **EXAMINATION**[1] -71:8 examination [11] -43:6, 59:10, 78:17, 81:25, 85:6, 96:7, 96:8, 121:19, 121:24, 128:9, 128:11 examinations [5] -9:19, 10:18, 59:24, 61:20, 62:12 **examine** [1] - 25:25 examined [10] - 11:9, 43:21, 44:8, 51:14, 56:22, 56:23, 60:8, 66:25, 97:16, 127:7 **examining** [1] - 4:15 example [2] - 22:16, 117:10 examples [6] - 26:13, 79:17, 93:17, 96:3, 97:4, 98:8 exams [1] - 49:14 excellent [1] - 35:7 exception [1] - 12:8 **exchange** [1] - 6:3 exchanges [1] - 81:5 exclude [1] - 78:11 excuse [2] - 12:24, 89:24 execute [1] - 41:2 executive [3] - 47:12, 47:15, 68:12 executives [2] - 47:1, 47:13 **exemplars** [1] - 112:5 exhibit [5] - 7:20, 28:3, 28:6, 103:11, 104:16 Exhibit [6] - 8:13, 43:3, 103:14, 103:18, 128:16, 128:25 **EXHIBITS** [6] - 3:8, 3:9, 3:14, 3:15, 3:20, 3:21 exhibits [15] - 6:14,

Exhibits [4] - 7:21, 8:8, 9:7, 76:14 exists [1] - 25:20 expand [1] - 20:9 expect [16] - 34:4, 60:6, 95:15, 95:21, 98:17, 99:18, 101:11, 110:10, 113:21, 114:7, 117:5, 121:13, 121:16, 124:12, 126:19, 126:20 expected [3] - 95:6, 98:17, 119:13 expects [1] - 120:14 experience [9] -10:24, 28:8, 80:7, 82:12, 82:20, 82:23, 113:19, 114:6, 121:12 experiencing [1] -119:21 expert [52] - 9:22, 10:5, 10:7, 10:9, 10:11, 10:19, 11:8, 11:16, 11:18, 13:8, 13:22, 17:9, 18:23, 20:6, 20:20, 20:24, 21:21, 22:2, 23:5, 24:3, 24:7, 27:2, 27:3, 27:10, 27:13, 27:25, 28:4, 30:4, 56:21, 61:12, 62:10, 63:1, 64:4, 65:12, 67:2, 75:10, 75:21, 75:22, 76:5, 77:13, 77:16, 78:7, 78:8, 78:10, 78:12, 78:13, 82:8, 82:22, 105:20, 106:19, 127:3 expertise [7] - 63:21, 75:24, 76:1, 76:4, 82:20, 83:9, 85:3 experts [62] - 9:17, 9:23, 9:25, 10:1, 10:4, 10:7, 10:16, 10:18, 10:23, 10:24, 11:3, 11:21, 12:4, 18:20, 20:6, 20:13, 21:4, 22:21, 24:10, 25:23, 26:12, 27:6, 30:20, 42:23, 48:3, 48:15, 48:19, 48:23, 49:10, 50:1, 50:21, 51:9, 51:22, 51:24, 52:9, 52:15, 56:8,

6:17, 7:12, 7:17,

7:23, 8:10, 8:11,

31:3, 77:7

8:18, 9:1, 9:10, 30:2,

56:12, 56:16, 56:19, 56:20, 57:21, 58:10, 58:11, 58:17, 59:23, 60:4, 60:9, 60:10, 62:20, 69:14, 75:15, 80:24, 82:4, 85:17, 85:20, 87:14, 87:17, 106:16, 107:13, 118:13 experts' [2] - 11:21, 25:9 explain [5] - 27:21, 65:3, 67:18, 68:20, 119:20 explained [3] - 55:13, 92:18, 119:14 explore [1] - 83:16 **expression** [1] - 84:15 expressions [1] -84:15 extending [1] - 71:18 extent [2] - 85:16, 87:13 extenuating [2] -98:22, 126:25 extraordinary [1] -35:18 **extremely** [1] - 44:16

### F

fabricate [1] - 36:17 face [2] - 53:1, 96:19 faces [1] - 86:8 facial [1] - 84:15 fact [19] - 9:20, 12:3, 12:8, 12:24, 13:8, 13:12, 13:14, 23:23, 25:21, 31:8, 44:21, 52:12, 52:13, 52:18, 52:20, 69:4, 79:15, 86:25, 87:3 factor [1] - 91:4 facts [1] - 50:7 faculty [1] - 72:25 fail [1] - 52:3 failed [2] - 52:7, 52:9 failing [1] - 52:12 fair [3] - 30:24, 83:7, 96:15 fake [2] - 38:18, 48:14 faked [3] - 58:8, 59:15, 64:1 faking [9] - 49:7, 51:10, 51:11, 57:16, 57:20, 59:17, 61:15, 63:3, 63:4 fall [1] - 117:4 falls [4] - 116:21, 116:24, 118:22,

follow [4] - 35:11,

69:25, 70:8, 88:2

**following** [3] - 4:3,

-
119:4
false [2] - 38:15, 52:11
family [5] - 57:23,
63:6, 96:1, 96:4,
96:23
far [2] - 74:16, 107:24
father [1] - 54:16
favor [1] - 62:2
favors [1] - 105:9
<b>FDG</b> [32] - 64:7, 64:22,
65:4, 89:14, 89:15,
00.0, 00.00, 00.05
99:6, 99:23, 99:25,
100:1, 100:5, 101:9,
101:18, 101:25,
102:2, 102:18,
102:19, 102:20, 102:22, 102:23,
102:22. 102:23.
103:1, 103:19,
104:9, 104:19,
105:1, 110:5,
111:19, 111:23,
112:2, 114:16,
115:23, 124:5
FDG-PET [28] - 64:7,
64:22, 65:4, 89:14,
89:15, 99:6, 99:23,
99:25, 100:1, 100:5,
101.0 101.10
101:9, 101:18,
102:2, 102:18,
102:22, 102:23,
103:1, 103:19,
104:9, 104:19,
105:1, 110:5,
111:19, 111:23,
112:2, 114:16,
115:23, 124:5
FDG-PETs [2] -
102:19, 102:20
features [2] - 87:23,
88:5
February [1] - 127:25
fellows [1] - 73:20
fellowship [1] - 72:19
felt [3] - 55:8, 107:19,
127:20
few [7] - 29:23, 35:18,
45:7, 58:14, 60:3,
69:12
fidget [1] - 129:4
field [2] - 63:20, 74:22
figure [2] - 27:15,
95:11
figurehead [1] - 41:21
figures [1] - 86:7
file [1] - 61:16
filed [7] - 8:4, 28:5,
29:4, 62:11, 83:18,
83:20, 83:23
final [1] - 13:18
financial [3] - 36:24,

```
75:25, 94:1
finder [2] - 23:23,
 25:21
findings [25] - 51:9,
 80:23. 84:8. 84:12.
 92:16, 98:5, 104:9,
 104:14, 105:2,
 105:3, 105:14,
 105:16, 106:22,
 107:11, 107:20,
 107:23, 109:3,
 109:19, 109:24,
 110:5, 110:13,
 111:19, 119:10,
 119:14, 125:6
fine [4] - 19:16, 33:19,
 109:11, 120:15
firm [1] - 53:22
first [44] - 4:8, 5:21,
 6:3, 9:25, 14:8,
 17:10, 21:22, 24:13,
 24:24, 29:25, 32:20,
 33:13, 36:11, 39:8,
 42:20, 46:4, 50:23,
 52:2, 62:11, 68:9,
 69:3, 70:15, 72:2,
 77:18, 83:25, 87:25,
 88:13, 88:16, 88:17,
 89:12, 90:24, 92:12,
 92:19, 101:23,
 102:21, 103:1,
 114:13, 122:17,
 122:23, 122:24,
 124:5, 124:16, 127:4
fishing [3] - 42:16,
 68:5, 68:19
fits [1] - 89:21
five [13] - 21:19,
 22:24, 23:9, 28:18,
 29:20, 57:18, 63:10,
 88:3, 95:13, 117:12,
 126:2, 126:12,
 126:14
five-foot-seven [1] -
 117:12
flag [2] - 19:5, 19:8
flagging [1] - 77:3
flew [1] - 40:1
flight [1] - 19:8
flip [1] - 103:13
fluctuations [1] -
 86:16
fluid [1] - 123:10
flurry [1] - 43:19
focus [4] - 48:9,
 52:22, 73:13, 74:12
focused [2] - 64:6,
 82.14
focuses [2] - 73:10,
 74:15
```

```
42:18. 51:8
follows [1] - 71:4
fool [3] - 50:24, 51:22,
 57:22
foot [1] - 117:12
footnote [1] - 32:20
footnotes [7] - 24:7,
 24:15, 26:7, 26:8,
 26:11, 26:16, 26:19
foregoing [1] - 130:6
forensic [6] - 62:8.
 74:10, 74:11, 82:19,
 95:7, 97:15
forgets [1] - 67:24
form [3] - 11:17, 64:9,
 96:9
formal [2] - 38:4,
 41:19
formed [2] - 86:7
former [1] - 66:1
formidable [1] - 37:1
forms [2] - 125:17,
 125:19
fortunately [1] - 34:19
forward [6] - 16:6,
 54:7, 56:3, 66:10,
 70:20, 75:15
forwarded [1] - 16:8
foundation [2] - 8:23,
 31.2
founder [2] - 38:25,
 39:10
four [2] - 15:11, 72:23
Frank [1] - 67:21
frankly [4] - 21:17,
 25:24, 28:14, 122:4
fraud [1] - 35:20
free [2] - 4:13, 4:15
freeze [1] - 40:8
freezer [1] - 40:7
Friday [3] - 14:18,
 16:9, 69:2
friend [1] - 68:21
friends [6] - 57:23,
 59:25, 63:6, 68:19,
 69:13, 94:10
front [3] - 44:20,
 103:16, 118:9
frontotemporal [1] -
 104:15
Frontotemporal [1] -
 72:25
frozen [1] - 40:6
fruitless [1] - 38:7
FTC [2] - 46:8, 46:12
full [2] - 18:24, 52:14
fully [2] - 66:2, 86:7
```

fully-formed [1] - 86:7 function [3] - 93:12, 98:9, 99:8 functional [3] - 59:14, 93:25, 108:23 functionality [1] -120:14 functionally [1] -128:6 functioning [2] -42:13, 127:22 fund [2] - 39:8, 39:9 fundamental [1] -69:19 fundamentally [1] -70:2 future [1] - 38:20

## G

gait [1] - 84:14

game [1] - 51:23

Garcia [4] - 31:24, 32:8 General [1] - 72:9 general [3] - 43:11, 80:10, 125:25 generally [2] - 74:12, 98:24 generated [1] - 115:13 **gentlemen** [1] - 13:7 genuine [4] - 51:20. 52:6, 52:17, 59:17 **GEORGE** [1] - 1:3 George [1] - 14:4 geriatric [2] - 59:19, 63:20 given [5] - 50:13, 51:12, 52:8, 52:9, 110:10 glosses [1] - 62:2 glucose [3] - 101:21, 102:4, 102:6 goal [1] - 23:23 Government [49] -3:4, 5:3, 6:24, 7:7, 7:18, 8:19, 9:11, 9:16, 12:17, 13:25, 15:23, 15:25, 16:12, 16:13, 18:22, 19:17, 19:22, 21:7, 21:8, 21:12, 27:12, 35:14, 35:17, 36:22, 39:20, 41:7, 41:12, 47:10, 50:13, 51:9, 51:24, 54:10, 54:13, 55:23, 57:14, 60:4, 60:10, 61:9, 61:17, 64:7, 65:9, 65:17, 66:6, 66:13, 69:2, 69:6,

GOVERNMENT [1] -Government's [23] -7:17. 7:20. 8:7. 8:13. 9:23. 10:4. 10:7. 15:9, 15:19, 15:22, 17:8, 19:4, 49:10, 50:1, 51:22, 57:21, 60:4, 60:23, 61:14, 62:6, 65:19, 65:22, 66:24 graduate [1] - 74:1 grandson [1] - 97:1 grant [1] - 29:19 graph [3] - 105:25, 106:16, 116:23 graphs [2] - 27:20, 116:16 great [10] - 6:8, 7:10, 9:9, 18:19, 19:18, 33:21, 34:21, 35:4, 35:7, 127:7 group [3] - 112:11, 114:18, 116:10 groups [2] - 74:24, 112.8 guess [9] - 11:1, 12:9, 12:10, 26:2, 26:24, 34:15, 79:25, 109:4 Guilmette [2] - 59:7, 59:13 Gumm [2] - 2:1, 130:12 guns [1] - 46:19 gut [1] - 120:20 Gutierrez [4] - 12:16, 67:21, 67:22, 68:6

70:15, 71:2, 106:18

# Н

**guys** [1] - 12:2

half 131 - 72:23. 102:11. 128:14 halfway [1] - 40:1 hallucination [2] -44:11, 87:6 hallucinations [3] -46:7, 86:5, 88:5 hand [1] - 70:21 handed [1] - 30:1 handle [1] - 129:10 hangs [1] - 40:21 HANKS[1] - 1:3 hard [1] - 41:4 harder [1] - 93:20 Harvard [2] - 72:9, 72:19 hate [1] - 129:8 health [1] - 42:21

healthy [5] - 117:21, 117:22, 117:25, 119:24, 124:12 hear [31] - 10:24, 10:25. 11:3. 11:23. 12:5, 14:10, 17:16, 36:21, 37:5, 37:12, 37:13, 38:10, 38:20, 39:5, 39:25, 43:4, 43:10, 43:15, 44:3, 45:17, 45:20, 46:9, 47:1, 49:8, 50:1, 52:11, 54:15, 60:6, 78:15, 78:25, 113:17 heard [4] - 26:18, 27:2, 29:12, 60:6 **HEARING** [1] - 1:9 hearing [7] - 10:21, 18:25, 31:11, 41:14, 51:19, 53:25, 55:8 height [2] - 117:11, 117:12 held [3] - 4:3, 68:4, 102:14 helm [2] - 46:24, 47:7 help [8] - 13:12, 23:23, 26:14, 55:9, 69:21, 80:21, 101:8, 122:12 helpful [8] - 25:21, 26:21, 26:25, 76:18, 80:21, 82:16, 103:7, 118:22 helping [1] - 28:15 helps [2] - 25:9, 36:16 Herculean [2] - 57:24, hereby [1] - 130:5 hide [5] - 36:19, 37:2, 37:18, 39:11, 41:5 hiding [1] - 38:1 high [5] - 42:13, 63:16, 63:18, 100:14, 127:22 high-functioning [1] -42:13 high-profile [2] -63:16, 63:18 higher [3] - 79:18, 98:9, 101:11 higher-cognitive [1] highest [1] - 90:7 highly [3] - 20:14, 21:3, 41:9 himself [5] - 44:18, 55:10, 68:22, 70:11, 98:4 hippocampus [2] -118:10, 125:13 hired [4] - 72:20,

80:12, 80:13, 106:18 historically [1] - 64:13 history [5] - 35:21, 60:13, 61:8, 62:1, 127:12 hold [1] - 129:9 home [3] - 41:2, 68:1 Honor [65] - 5:5, 5:14, 6:7, 6:11, 7:5, 7:25, 8:3, 8:17, 9:4, 9:7, 9:15, 12:1, 12:20, 13:4, 13:17, 15:4, 19:17, 21:11, 23:9, 23:10, 23:16, 23:22, 24:4, 25:4, 26:11, 27:23, 28:14, 29:11, 30:8, 30:21, 31:17, 32:16, 32:17, 33:3, 33:7, 33:11, 34:1, 34:13, 34:20, 35:1, 35:3, 35:17, 53:7, 53:10, 70:17, 71:10, 75:9, 76:7, 76:20, 77:1, 77:3, 77:9, 78:7, 78:20, 102:16, 103:11, 106:3, 112:22, 113:8, 113:23, 114:8, 114:23, 122:4, 128:11, 129:15 HONORABLE [1] - 1:3 honors [1] - 75:3 hope [1] - 129:5 hopefully [1] - 17:17 Hospital [5] - 14:5, 72:10, 103:20, 104:3, 104:24 hospital [1] - 14:17 hospitalization [5] -51:21, 57:5, 60:13, 60:14, 128:4 hospitalized [3] -51:18, 57:2, 128:4 hot [1] - 38:19 hour [3] - 20:12, 81:12, 102:10 hours [3] - 81:16, 81:17, 81:18 house [3] - 38:7, 41:25, 67:25 Houston [9] - 1:11, 55:2, 63:5, 66:2, 66:17, 66:22, 103:20, 104:3, 104:24 hundred [1] - 26:10 hypometabolism [2] -22:12, 22:13

idea [1] - 24:19 identified [2] - 9:11, 76:12 identify [1] - 76:9 II [2] - 21:25, 30:5 illness [3] - 36:17, 41:19, 52:17 image [7] - 25:13, 64:1, 111:25, 112:13, 114:17, 115:3, 115:13 imagery [1] - 21:23 images [17] - 22:9, 96:16, 101:9, 104:4, 105:21, 105:24, 108:17, 109:6, 109:15, 109:22, 111:16, 113:10, 113:12, 114:15, 120:22, 121:2, 121:11 imaging [20] - 13:25, 15:10, 15:17, 48:13, 60:2, 62:16, 85:9, 96:12, 98:7, 98:23, 98:24, 100:7, 102:17, 109:3, 109:24, 110:3, 110:5, 110:13, 119:10, 125:16 immediately [1] -57:10 immunity [1] - 41:11 impact [2] - 68:14, 93:12 impaired [4] - 57:15, 57:16, 79:15, 124:12 impairment [34] -22:14, 56:18, 57:20, 60:20, 74:19, 78:2, 79:9, 80:4, 90:6, 90:9, 92:16, 92:24, 93:4, 93:9, 93:10, 93:16, 97:13, 98:11, 98:12, 98:20, 100:12, 106:24, 116:12, 117:2, 119:13, 122:22, 123:18, 124:8, 124:20, 125:23, 126:4, 126:8, 126:23, 128:2 impairments [2] -108:24, 117:23 impairs [1] - 59:5 implausibly [1] -49:17

important [12] - 15:20,

17:2, 22:17, 26:16, 36:14, 37:3, 37:11, 39:3, 50:21, 98:25, 118:11, 123:24 impossible [3] -41:17, 50:2, 50:20 impression [4] -103:23, 103:24, 104:8, 105:2 impressions [4] -104:6, 104:25, 106:20, 118:16 impugning [1] - 78:22 inability [1] - 45:24 inappropriate [1] -23:11 incapacitated [2] -47:10, 50:16 incapacity [1] - 47:4 include [1] - 121:14 included [2] - 20:12, 81:21 includes [5] - 21:22, 65:20, 71:17, 72:13, 73:24 including [8] - 20:4, 37:7, 39:21, 43:16, 46:20, 62:4, 72:15, 74:24 income [1] - 39:11 incompetent [2] -50:25, 60:20 inconsistent [5] -45:12, 48:17, 49:12, 51:6, 52:17 inconvenience [1] -17.6 increase [1] - 91:7 increased [1] - 61:7 increases [1] - 108:8 increasing [1] - 90:4 incredibly [1] - 15:20 incriminating [1] -41:9 incurable [3] - 56:16, 65:8, 69:16 indeed [1] - 17:22 independence [2] -93:15, 93:25 independent [2] -37:14, 128:7 independently [3] -83:12, 93:13, 93:22 INDEX [4] - 3:1, 3:8, 3:14, 3:20 indicate [3] - 48:16, 79:19, 99:20 indicated [1] - 12:17

indicates [4] - 89:12,

89:19, 99:12, 102:7

indications [1] - 101:5 indicative [1] - 52:13 indicator [1] - 90:15 indicted [1] - 47:11 indictment [6] - 36:4, 42:9, 47:19, 54:12, 55:7, 65:22 individual [3] - 64:23, 65:21, 67:11 inertia [1] - 47:7 infection [1] - 128:5 infections [1] - 62:21 inflammatory [1] -72:14 inform [1] - 101:8 **information** [19] - 7:6, 16:6, 16:8, 20:3, 20:11, 24:25, 25:4, 33:18, 40:22, 44:6, 45:16, 62:2, 83:13, 94:12, 96:16, 97:17, 107:17, 124:18 informative [1] - 99:23 informed [2] - 15:22, 17:17 initial [1] - 127:8 initialisms [1] -105:10 initiate [1] - 84:9 injury [1] - 113:20 insanity [1] - 63:18 inside [2] - 45:11, 47:22 insidious [1] - 67:6 instance [3] - 95:15, 97:18, 118:23 Institute [1] - 73:3 instructed [1] - 6:14 instructive [1] - 51:3 intellect [1] - 37:1 intelligence [5] -100:15, 100:18, 101:6, 101:12, 110:10 intend [3] - 7:8, 9:21, 51:11 intent [1] - 55:5 intentional [1] - 55:5 interested [2] - 74:2, 106:12 interests [1] - 72:4 interfere [1] - 129:11 interfered [2] - 127:1, 127:21 internal [1] - 52:3 internal-validity [1] -52:3 international [1] -46:25 interpret [3] - 105:20,

111:3, 123:5 interpretation [5] -61:23, 62:3, 106:19, 118:17, 119:1 interpretations [1] -118:16 interpreted [1] - 87:6 Interpreter [1] - 1:25 interpreting [6] -80:22, 81:23, 82:24, 104:5, 104:21, 106:7 interprets [1] - 105:24 interrupt [1] - 27:18 interview [7] - 50:5, 81:24, 95:25, 96:4, 98:3, 98:5, 128:9 interviewed [1] - 82:1 interviewing [1] -119:15 interviews [1] - 59:24 introduce [3] - 5:1, 53:14, 53:20 introductions [1] -4:11 investigation [5] -38:25, 39:4, 39:5, 39:16, 39:17 investor [1] - 39:7 investors [1] - 39:21 invoke [3] - 12:3, 12:7 invoked [1] - 12:23 involved [9] - 73:18, 80:25, 81:5, 81:24, 82:3, 82:5, 82:9, 82:18, 88:15 involves [1] - 73:19 IRS [2] - 37:3, 39:11 isolation [2] - 25:19, 82:7 Israel [1] - 72:20 issue [13] - 6:18, 6:23, 13:18, 18:4, 18:7, 19:19, 22:17, 53:24, 57:13, 60:21, 61:10, 61:18, 87:22 issued [1] - 15:10 issues [13] - 5:25, 6:1, 6:9, 6:10, 7:1, 34:22, 43:14, 55:13, 57:3, 76:19, 84:18, 101:2 issuing [1] - 63:11 it'll [1] - 47:25 items [3] - 6:12, 11:4, 41:3 itself [5] - 27:9, 52:20, 55:9, 98:7, 124:19

#### J

Jackson [3] - 68:8,

68:10 James [2] - 5:15, 67:3 **JAMES**[1] - 1:22 Jankovic [3] - 44:4, 45:14, 85:4 January [2] - 44:3, 85.4 **JASON**[1] - 1:19 Jason [1] - 5:14 **job** [4] - 35:8, 38:5, 68:3, 127:13 Joseph [1] - 44:4 journals [2] - 25:1, 26:4 JR [1] - 1:3 judge [1] - 28:20 **JUDGE** [1] - 1:3 Judge [6] - 18:3, 19:19, 20:17, 22:5, 22:16, 51:17 judicial [1] - 81:9 **July** [9] - 51:14, 51:16, 52:10, 56:25, 57:1, 57:6, 64:8, 116:4, 116:5 June [3] - 47:8, 51:18, 62:11 jurisdiction [2] -14:25, 40:10

#### K

jury [2] - 78:19, 78:22

Justice [1] - 80:13

**KATHRYN**[1] - 1:23 Kathy [3] - 5:15, 12:9, 53:21 keep [2] - 4:18, 29:7 keeping [1] - 68:2 keeps [1] - 41:25 **KENEALLY** [4] - 1:23, 53:9, 53:13, 53:24 Keneally [3] - 5:15, 12:10, 53:21 Kepke [6] - 39:13, 39:14, 39:16, 40:18, 40:21, 40:23 Kepke's [1] - 40:18 key [3] - 36:2, 61:17, 65:5 kind [6] - 7:2, 23:22, 54:20, 74:3, 112:11, 122.9 knife [1] - 96:24 knowing [1] - 106:13 knowledge [2] -81:10. 82:16 known [6] - 51:4, 51:6, 55:6, 65:20, 68:8, 68:18

### known-legal [1] - 55:6

### L

Lai [3] - 66:16, 66:21,

LANGSTON [3] - 1:17,

ladies [1] - 13:7

29:11, 35:16

Langston [3] - 5:6,

127:25

35:16, 56:24 language [2] - 38:1, 71:23 lapses [1] - 93:18 large [3] - 39:6, 116:3, 116:18 largely [5] - 86:25, 105:16, 106:15, 107:2, 122:17 largest [2] - 35:20, 37:3 last [16] - 7:7, 8:17, 15:13, 19:22, 20:18, 21:16, 22:24, 23:7, 24:21, 25:15, 26:24, 69:12. 86:15. 104:16. 113:4 late [4] - 19:6, 19:7, 20:12, 56:1 latest [1] - 19:8 law [2] - 40:18, 75:22 Law [4] - 1:19, 1:21, 1:22, 1:24 lawyer [1] - 39:12 lawyers [12] - 39:23, 46:10, 46:12, 46:23, 47:17, 50:25, 54:5, 57:24, 60:1, 63:6, 69:13, 70:5 lay [4] - 8:23, 23:21, 28:8, 31:2 lead [6] - 12:11, 45:13, 83:13, 84:12, 84:14, 100:11 leadership [2] - 47:12, 127:13 leads [4] - 86:1, 92:4, 92:8, 108:10 learn [2] - 42:18, 64:18 learned [3] - 38:24, 40:4, 72:11 least [1] - 31:22 leave [9] - 11:14, 13:9, 13:15, 19:7, 20:25, 33:19, 65:2, 129:15, 129:17 lectures [1] - 74:3 LEE [1] - 1:17 Lee [2] - 5:6, 35:16

left [2] - 94:8, 102:17 legal [4] - 55:6, 55:13, 76:2, 82:20 lengths [1] - 35:19 less [2] - 99:11, 105:5 letters [1] - 38:12 level [19] - 59:4, 63:4, 79:18, 80:4, 90:1, 90:7, 90:11, 93:10, 94:25, 95:2, 100:15, 100:17, 101:11, 110:10, 112:11, 123:5, 123:17, 123:19, 125:22 levels [3] - 100:12, 108:25, 114:17 Lewy [14] - 43:25, 85:21, 85:22, 85:23, 86:4, 86:15, 87:1, 87:22, 88:6, 92:5, 94:18, 104:12, 105:6, 105:13 life [9] - 35:22, 42:13, 45:12, 47:21, 62:4, 67:6, 67:8, 68:14, 68:23 likely [7] - 15:14, 79:8, 90:23, 91:2, 105:5, 124:24, 126:22 **limitations** [1] - 57:13 **limits** [1] - 83:16 line [2] - 77:15, 121:5 lining [1] - 65:15 Lisse [4] - 43:11, 43:15, 66:7 list [10] - 7:20, 13:19, 15:22, 15:24, 65:19, 66:24, 67:1, 69:4, 69:5 listed [1] - 40:20 listen [3] - 11:21, 61:22, 69:7 listening [1] - 61:21 lists [2] - 6:4, 67:4 literature [8] - 22:20, 22:21, 23:2, 25:12, 25:16, 34:3, 112:4, 121:2 little.. [1] - 8:1 lives [2] - 19:14, 67:25 living [5] - 35:22, 42:12, 59:5, 64:15, 71:12 **lobe** [4] - 117:6, 118:8, 118:9, 118:23 **lobes** [1] - 119:3 location [2] - 40:9, 89:20

locations [4] - 89:13,

89:20, 89:21, 92:2

longitudinally [1] -73:16 look [21] - 25:18, 28:17, 28:19, 28:23, 42:6, 48:20, 53:2, 56:21, 65:4, 65:17, 86:4, 86:9, 89:20, 99:5, 107:6, 112:2, 112:6, 116:7, 118:4, 125:3, 125:13 looked [9] - 64:4, 107:9, 112:8, 113:14, 121:15, 122:14, 127:8, 127:12, 127:23 looking [15] - 25:18, 29:25, 33:11, 84:23, 89:16, 99:1, 106:9, 113:20, 114:6, 114:14, 114:15, 116:2, 120:21, 121:11, 125:17 looks [13] - 25:13, 31:23, 32:23, 85:10, 99:7, 99:10, 99:15, 101:18, 101:19, 111:24, 112:12, 112:13, 112:19 Loomas [1] - 15:2 LOONAM [24] - 1:22, 15:4, 15:8, 18:10, 19:24, 20:16, 26:18, 28:20, 31:14, 32:22, 32:25, 34:25, 75:14, 78:6, 78:10, 78:20, 109:7, 112:22, 113:7, 113:23, 114:23, 121:9, 121:25, 128:22 Loonam [12] - 5:15, 7:9, 20:2, 20:9, 26:3, 26:6, 30:12, 30:18, 32:4, 32:5, 34:11, 114:22 Loonam's [2] - 31:9, 114:11 lose [2] - 94:9, 94:11 losing [1] - 93:18 loss [5] - 93:15, 93:24, 118:18, 119:3, 125:12 lost [1] - 68:21 Louis [2] - 14:4, 16:4 low [3] - 50:2, 52:11, 108:25 lower [2] - 99:20, 116:14 lowest [3] - 49:24, 117:5, 118:5 lunch [2] - 19:3, 19:9

minutiae [1] - 14:16

missed [2] - 20:21,

moderate [12] - 44:14,

101:14

**lunchtime** [1] - 102:13 **lying** [2] - 35:23, 50:10

#### M

MacDougall [3] - 29:9, 29:13, 29:16 Magnani [4] - 3:5, 5:7, 23:17, 26:18 MAGNANI [42] - 1:16, 23:16, 24:4, 25:3, 26:10, 27:23, 28:13, 30:6, 30:21, 32:15, 33:3, 33:7, 33:25, 34:8, 34:13, 34:19, 70:17, 71:9, 75:9, 75:19, 76:7, 76:13, 76:20, 77:1, 77:8, 77:12, 78:21, 79:3, 102:16, 103:10, 106:3, 109:8, 109:12, 114:12, 115:20, 120:17, 121:8, 122:2, 128:10, 128:13, 128:16, 128:24 mail [8] - 21:20, 41:7, 42:17, 42:23, 43:3, 43:6, 43:13, 81:5 mails [3] - 38:12, 46:14, 46:18 main [7] - 15:19, 18:11, 22:8, 54:22, 77:17, 77:23, 106:9 major [1] - 107:14 majority [1] - 36:20 male [1] - 117:10 malinger [9] - 36:7, 36:13, 41:18, 42:3, 42:5, 48:2, 52:1, 53:5, 53:6 malingering [5] -10:10, 36:10, 52:4, 53:6, 61:15 Maloney [1] - 16:10 man [4] - 35:19, 37:11, 38:18, 68:25 manage [1] - 72:11 managed [1] - 50:24 manifest [1] - 67:10 manufactured [1] -50:12 March [9] - 44:7, 44:14, 46:3, 64:7, 102:21, 103:2, 104:9, 107:9, 128:3 Maria [4] - 6:25, 13:21, 83:3, 105:23 mark [1] - 128:22 MARKED [6] - 3:9,

3:10, 3:15, 3:16, 3:21, 3:22 markedly [1] - 105:8 marker [1] - 90:16 masks [4] - 4:12, 4:14, 4:16, 4:18 Massachusetts [1] -72:9 match [1] - 106:12 material [2] - 20:4, materials [5] - 31:20, 32:2, 32:12, 34:10, 129:16 matter [7] - 17:2, 31:7, 45:18, 94:24, 123:14, 129:10, 130:8 matters [1] - 41:10 maximum [1] - 90:1 MCI [6] - 93:16, 93:17, 126:7, 126:16, 127:6, 128:2 mean [7] - 9:22, 10:23, 26:7, 27:18, 67:15, 94:23, 102:2 meaningful [1] - 54:7 means [5] - 30:16, 50:24, 70:9, 116:8, 122:17 meant [2] - 41:7, 110:19 measure [2] - 100:3, 111:8 mechanical [1] - 2:5 medical [28] - 22:20, 22:21, 23:2, 23:4, 47:23, 48:5, 48:15, 53:3, 56:4, 58:17, 59:23, 60:2, 61:11, 62:1, 65:16, 67:2, 67:18, 68:17, 69:14, 72:5, 72:6, 73:19, 73:20, 82:14, 82:20, 85:2, 127:23, 128:3 meet [2] - 39:22, 39:23 member [4] - 73:1, 74:23, 83:22, 96:4 members [2] - 4:17, 81:9 memo [2] - 40:6, 40:15 memories [1] - 67:7 Memory [1] - 73:1 memory [12] - 43:14, 49:23, 62:25, 71:23, 73:8, 92:15, 92:16, 93:18, 94:11, 96:3, 113:14, 118:11

mental [5] - 36:2,

42:11, 42:21, 68:14, 112:13 mention [2] - 32:17, 69:1 mentioned [14] -18:25. 43:8. 87:12. 87:17, 87:18, 87:23, 99:22, 105:18, 111:14, 115:23, 118:4, 119:12, 125:16, 125:19 merits [1] - 41:17 messaging [2] -37:22, 38:9 met [3] - 13:23, 61:12, 68:9 metabolic [1] - 100:3 metabolism [3] -89:16, 99:7, 101:18 Methodist [6] - 63:6, 66:18, 66:22, 103:20, 104:3, 104:24 Michael [1] - 66:3 Michele [1] - 44:8 middle [2] - 22:25, 129:8 might [9] - 16:17, 32:18, 88:10, 93:18, 97:7, 110:9, 116:15, 126:5 mild [44] - 22:14, 44:14, 74:18, 78:2, 78:4, 79:8, 79:10, 90:9, 92:24, 92:25, 93:4, 93:16, 93:24, 95:12, 97:12, 97:13, 98:11, 98:20, 104:10, 105:3, 105:17, 107:4, 107:6, 107:11, 107:23, 108:18, 110:6, 110:7, 110:13, 119:13, 124:5, 124:6, 124:8, 124:9, 125:4, 125:5, 125:22, 126:3, 126:8, 126:19, 126:23, 126:25, 128:2 milder [1] - 79:21 million [1] - 43:5 millions [1] - 37:17 mind [4] - 33:3, 63:11, 77:9, 111:25 minute [1] - 33:4 minutes [9] - 28:18, 29:20, 58:14, 60:3, 102:12, 128:14,

128:18, 129:1, 129:2

78:4, 78:11, 79:11, 93:1, 94:5, 94:7, 96:22, 97:10, 107:25, 125:4, 125:5 **modify** [1] - 34:16 MONDAY [2] - 1:12, 4:5 Monday [2] - 17:16, 21:12 months [7] - 44:7, 46:5, 46:6, 47:8, 47:9, 69:12, 126:19 morning [14] - 4:7, 5:4, 5:8, 5:13, 7:9, 14:18, 53:9, 53:11, 55:9, 65:10, 70:20, 76:21, 77:4 Moss [1] - 65:25 most [13] - 15:13, 15:17, 37:3, 37:10, 46:21, 54:11, 55:3, 62:17, 74:17, 83:14, 117:12, 123:18 motion [4] - 11:23, 29:4, 29:19, 86:22 motivation [6] - 36:7, 36:12, 36:17, 42:3, 48:2, 53:5 motive [1] - 41:18 motor [4] - 84:3, 84:17, 86:3, 87:25 move [5] - 75:10, 84:11, 115:21, 120:1, 122:8 movement [1] - 84:6 movements [2] - 84:9, 87:8 moves [2] - 47:13, 47:14 moving [3] - 86:14, 87:5, 126:7 MR [101] - 5:4, 5:9, 5:13, 5:18, 6:6, 6:11, 7:5, 7:19, 7:25, 8:3, 8:7, 8:17, 9:3, 9:6, 9:15, 11:5, 11:25, 12:7, 12:14, 12:19, 13:4, 13:5, 13:16, 13:20, 15:2, 15:4, 15:6, 15:8, 18:2, 18:10, 18:20, 19:16, 19:19, 19:21, 19:24, 19:25, 20:16, 23:16, 24:4, 25:3, 26:10, 26:18, 27:23, 28:13, 28:20, 29:9, 29:11,

30:6, 30:21, 31:14, 32:15, 32:22, 32:25, 33:3, 33:7, 33:25, 34:8, 34:13, 34:19, 34:25, 35:2, 35:16, 70:17, 71:9, 75:9, 75:14, 75:19, 76:7, 76:13, 76:20, 77:1, 77:8, 77:12, 78:6, 78:10, 78:20, 78:21, 79:3, 102:16, 103:10, 106:3, 109:7, 109:8, 109:12, 112:22, 113:7, 113:23, 114:12, 114:23, 115:20, 120:17, 121:8, 121:9, 121:25. 122:2. 128:10, 128:13, 128:16, 128:22, 128:24, 129:15 MRI [13] - 32:23, 73:14, 99:15, 99:23, 100:2, 116:1, 118:14, 118:22, 124:9, 124:11, 124:25, 125:7 MRI's [2] - 125:3, 125:12 **MS**[3] - 53:9, 53:13, 53:24 muddy [1] - 40:8 multibillion [1] - 46:25 multiple [2] - 43:12, 57:22

#### Ν

n/a [1] - 1:25 name [1] - 15:7 named [1] - 37:11 names [2] - 40:20, 93:19 narrow [2] - 10:2, 48:9 narrowly [1] - 48:7 natural [3] - 60:14, 125:18, 126:17 nearly [4] - 50:20, 54:12, 64:25, 69:23 necessarily [1] - 90:13 necessary [8] - 24:5, 30:13, 34:17, 75:15, 90:16, 90:19, 122:20, 124:17 necks [1] - 50:11 need [25] - 6:20, 13:9, 13:11, 17:4, 17:18, 17:22, 18:25, 19:9, 19:15, 21:1, 21:6,

26:7, 26:15, 32:13, 32:18, 33:23, 35:5, 42:5, 69:1, 69:20, 70:5, 76:12, 79:1, 94:5, 122:5 needed [2] - 40:8, 40:10 needlessly [1] - 17:5 needs [4] - 12:24, 17:22, 21:12, 50:16 Nemelka [1] - 66:3 nerves [1] - 71:18 nervous [4] - 71:17, 72:4, 72:12, 84:19 neuro [1] - 98:14 neurocognitive [2] -59:20, 108:24 neurodata [1] - 59:14 neurodegeneration [4] - 89:2, 99:24, 124:6, 125:11 neurodegenerative [7] - 56:7, 59:17, 84:5, 91:23, 94:19, 104:10, 105:4 Neurodegenerative [1] - 66:17 neuroimaging [18] -56:13, 58:1, 58:3, 58:4, 58:9, 59:12, 59:15, 60:15, 64:4, 64:12, 65:13, 69:10, 74:2, 79:21, 98:14, 99:1, 109:18, 109:19 neurological [4] -73:12, 96:7, 116:11, 117:23 Neurological [1] -74:25 neurologist [15] -14:8, 17:11, 24:13, 24:14, 26:15, 58:21, 60:5, 60:23, 62:7, 71:13, 71:16, 71:22, 72:1, 107:16, 127:25 neurologists [2] -74:4, 85:3 neurology [8] - 21:5, 58:20, 72:7, 72:19, 72:21, 75:8, 82:13, 82:14 Neurology [2] - 74:25, neuronal [1] - 21:23 neurons [3] - 85:10, 85:12, 85:14 Neuropsychiatric [2] -75:2, 75:7 neuropsychiatrists [1] - 58:13

neuropsychological [4] - 59:10, 82:25, 87:4, 107:21 neuropsychologist [5] - 59:7, 61:19, 62:8, 82:22, 96:10 neuropsychology [1] - 58:19 neuroradiological [2] - 98:24, 111:16 neuroradiologist [4] -15:9, 17:11, 58:11, 63:25 neuroradiologists [1] - 58:16 neuroradiology [1] -58:10 Neuroreader® [3] -33:1, 116:17, 118:14 neuroscience [1] -72:3 never [9] - 13:23, 16:13, 16:14, 20:5, 28:23, 40:11, 40:13, 43:8, 45:22 new [11] - 11:17, 20:11, 22:3, 22:18, 25:5, 26:20, 26:22, 28:21, 108:9, 112:24, 113:2 New [1] - 21:17 news [1] - 16:17 next [12] - 7:15, 35:18, 42:15, 45:7, 55:13, 58:2, 62:6, 63:22, 111:21, 112:15, 115:21, 124:2 night [12] - 7:7, 14:18, 19:22, 20:18, 21:16, 21:19, 22:24, 23:7, 24:21, 26:24, 27:11, 113.4 nobody [3] - 29:6, 34:12, 68:5 **nobody's** [1] - 57:9 nominees [2] - 37:10, 37:11 non [2] - 84:17, 124:12 non-cognitively [1] -124:12 non-motor [1] - 84:17 normal [16] - 90:5, 90:9, 91:13, 97:6, 99:18, 117:4, 117:9, 117:25, 118:2, 119:5, 124:11, 124:22, 125:1, 127:10

normally [1] - 104:3

note [4] - 18:21, 76:8, 76:13, 127:19 noted [2] - 119:14, 128:5 nothing [1] - 54:17 notice [2] - 21:1, 42:20 noticed [1] - 47:3 noting [1] - 96:6 November [7] - 15:23, 16:2, 16:3, 20:23, 21:12, 48:1, 127:15 NOVEMBER [2] -1:12, 4:5 nuclear [3] - 13:23. 83:3, 106:18 **NUMBER** [3] - 3:10, 3:16, 3:22 number [15] - 6:14, 24:12, 63:18, 64:5, 73:6, 74:23, 84:22, 88:12, 88:15, 94:12, 94:16, 112:7, 116:19, 118:25, 125:25 numbers [1] - 31:22 **NYU**[1] - 58:23

0

O' [1] - 1:20 o'clock [6] - 18:17, 19:25, 21:19, 22:24, 23:9, 129:11 oath [1] - 24:21 object [18] - 8:20, 9:22, 11:6, 12:11, 12:17, 12:20, 20:11, 23:11, 23:19, 78:12, 112:22, 113:4, 113:7, 113:8, 114:2, 114:5, 121:10 objected [1] - 29:6 **objecting** [2] - 11:19, 20:19 **objection** [11] - 9:2, 22:8, 29:12, 75:13, 78:6, 109:7, 113:23, 114:11, 114:25, 121:14, 122:3 objectionable [1] -114:21 objective [11] - 48:15, 56:13, 57:24, 58:8, 60:1, 62:17, 64:11, 65:6, 69:10, 79:20, 98:14 observation [1] -43.18 observations [3] -

61:24, 96:6, 109:1 observe [3] - 10:17, 11:8, 11:16 observing [1] - 9:18 obtained [3] - 82:4, 101:20, 123:11 occasion [2] - 109:5, 109.14 occipital [1] - 118:8 occur [2] - 90:17, 92:17 occurred [1] - 95:16 occurring [1] - 58:24 occurs [3] - 84:10. 108:11, 122:18 October [9] - 20:21, 52:4, 52:8, 56:23, 57:7, 57:9, 60:9, 60:11, 66:25 **OF** [9] - 1:2, 1:10, 3:1, 3:8, 3:9, 3:14, 3:15, 3:20, 3:21 offer [1] - 70:6 office [3] - 40:18, 44:12, 45:13 OFFICIAL [1] - 1:10 Official [1] - 2:2 offshore [6] - 36:21, 37:9, 39:11, 39:14, 54:19, 54:21 often [3] - 86:7, 110:20, 111:15 Ohio [2] - 55:2, 66:2 **old** [2] - 41:25, 117:1 older [1] - 125:14 ON [3] - 3:9, 3:15, 3:21 once [2] - 49:10, 74:9 one [67] - 7:6, 8:4, 9:20. 10:7. 12:8. 13:20, 15:11, 18:23, 19:2, 19:19, 20:19, 20:24, 22:11, 25:15, 26:10, 29:3, 32:7, 32:21, 33:25, 38:15, 48:13, 49:18, 51:25, 52:10, 53:14, 53:24, 55:12, 57:21, 61:3, 62:11, 63:21, 64:8, 65:21, 69:1, 69:4, 79:23, 85:11, 86:21, 88:16, 91:23, 94:21, 98:13, 99:6, 99:23, 100:10, 100:20, 101:15, 102:21, 103:1, 103:3, 104:18, 106:10, 106:22, 109:8, 109:10, 110:9, 113:13, 113:18, 115:25, 121:10,

122:25, 123:1, 127:4, 129:10 one-to-one [2] -100:10, 100:20 ones [5] - 33:11, 33:12, 33:14, 33:22 oneself [1] - 69:18 **oOo**[1] - 129:21 open [4] - 4:3, 38:11, 38:14, 121:22 opening [7] - 28:11, 34:14, 34:15, 34:18, 35:5, 35:12, 55:8 openings [1] - 5:19 openly [2] - 37:25, 38:3 opinion [33] - 10:14, 17:12, 21:1, 22:2, 22:3, 22:18, 24:3, 27:8, 27:16, 27:17, 61:11, 63:11, 64:9, 77:18, 79:5, 79:6, 79:13, 79:14, 80:1, 85:17, 92:19, 92:20, 92:21, 92:23, 95:7, 97:18, 106:17, 112:24, 113:2, 125:17, 125:19 opinions [9] - 11:17, 25:9, 28:4, 28:7, 62:11, 77:16, 77:17, 83:13, 106:1 **opportunity** [5] - 21:7, 23:3, 34:16, 105:19, 105:23 opposed [1] - 10:4 opposing [2] - 25:8, 28:2 opposite [1] - 24:12 orange [3] - 33:11, 33:14, 34:2 order [6] - 19:1, 19:9, 23:5, 103:1, 109:18, 126:2 ordered [5] - 39:24, 48:5, 64:5, 103:4, 116:4 ordering [2] - 81:23, 103:6 organization [2] -37:1, 47:16 organizations [1] -74:22 organizing [2] - 81:22, 94:3 originally [1] - 66:23 others' [1] - 83:17 output [1] - 116:17 outside [3] - 45:13, 47:21, 67:20

overall [1] - 103:23 overruled [1] - 11:24 overstepped[1] -120.18 own [9] - 46:18, 50:9, 52:9, 62:3, 76:23, 106:20, 111:23, 112:13, 120:20 owned [1] - 68:12 **oxymoron** [1] - 110:18

#### Р

P.M [1] - 129:20 p.m [1] - 27:10 packs [1] - 68:4 **PAGE**[1] - 3:3 Page [1] - 30:2 page [3] - 21:22, 31:22, 104:16 paid [4] - 37:17, 81:11, 81:12, 81:14 painting [1] - 45:11 **pants** [1] - 97:3 paper [3] - 37:14, 38:15, 41:25 papers [1] - 120:23 paraoccipital [1] -22:13 parentheses [1] -104:12 Park [1] - 82:18 Parkinson's [42] -22:15, 56:6, 56:8, 56:10, 56:11, 56:15, 57:19, 58:23, 58:25, 59:8, 59:21, 61:4, 64:11, 66:23, 72:15, 77:20, 84:2, 84:3, 84:8, 84:16, 84:21, 85:3, 85:5, 85:11, 85:15, 85:19, 85:24, 86:3, 87:1, 87:19, 88:1, 88:3, 92:5, 94:18, 95:4, 104:12, 105:6, 105:12, 106:23, 123:21 Parkinsonism [1] -43:25 paroccipital [1] -22:12 part [17] - 11:18, 24:2, 28:11, 28:13, 36:11, 37:3, 39:20, 42:7, 82:8, 88:8, 90:16, 103:21, 117:13, 121:10, 121:15, 121:18, 122:2 participate [1] - 54:7 particular [3] - 6:23,

10:20, 13:21 particularly [1] - 105:4 parties [12] - 4:12, 5:1, 5:2, 5:25, 7:18, 7:19, 8:10. 8:11. 8:12. 29:4, 64:5, 67:21 parties' [1] - 6:16 Partners [1] - 39:1 parts [4] - 92:8, 99:3, 99:11, 102:7 past [4] - 20:13, 43:16, 70:8, 111:5 path [2] - 43:20, 51:6 patient [26] - 45:6, 45:9, 64:15, 73:13, 86:11, 86:17, 90:5, 90:8, 93:17, 93:23, 94:8, 95:25, 96:1, 96:5, 96:10, 96:17, 97:4, 97:9, 100:14, 107:16, 109:16, 109:20, 112:1, 112:12, 113:22, 124:23 patient's [5] - 99:24, 100:9, 100:23, 100:25, 109:25 patients [30] - 10:2, 49:22, 59:20, 65:1, 71:16, 72:11, 73:7, 73:15, 73:22, 74:16, 75:24, 82:25, 84:7, 84:13, 88:3, 95:23, 100:11, 109:14, 109:22, 111:23, 112:8, 114:19, 116:25, 120:21, 125:3, 125:5, 125:10, 125:14, 126:3 pattern [7] - 89:21, 105:7, 106:10, 106:11, 106:14, 106:22, 113:21 PDD [2] - 105:11, 105:12 peak [1] - 90:5 people [19] - 4:22, 8:22, 19:14, 23:21, 37:5, 46:1, 55:1, 55:2, 66:15, 67:5, 67:19, 67:20, 68:2, 68:23, 68:24, 91:4, 91:9, 119:24, 120:22 per [1] - 126:9 percent [10] - 26:10, 31:17, 49:22, 64:25, 74:10, 91:9, 117:7, 118:5, 126:6, 126:9

percentile [12] -

116:24, 117:7, 117:8, 117:11, 118:6, 118:9, 118:10, 118:12, 118:23, 119:19, 119:23 percentiles [1] -117:19 perfect [2] - 8:25, 121:3 performance [9] -38:5, 41:20, 48:4, 49:11, 49:13, 49:17, 51:15, 51:20, 97:23 performed [3] - 61:24, 102:22, 116:4 performing [2] -79:18, 127:10 period [1] - 94:8 peripheral [1] - 71:18 permanent [1] - 56:16 person [14] - 5:22, 28:8, 50:15, 52:6, 53:14, 83:8, 90:20, 95:8, 100:17, 101:6, 101:13, 104:4, 109:14, 110:12 person's [1] - 67:8 personal [2] - 61:24, 94:11 persons [1] - 86:7 perspective [1] -25:10 pertaining [1] - 20:1 pervades [1] - 67:8 **PET** [61] - 58:12, 62:17, 64:7, 64:8, 64:22, 65:3, 65:4, 65:6, 83:4, 83:6, 88:13, 89:7, 89:14, 89:15, 91:10, 99:6, 99:7, 99:23, 99:25, 100:1, 100:5, 101:9, 101:15, 101:18, 101:20, 101:21, 102:2, 102:18, 102:22, 102:23, 103:1, 103:19, 104:9, 104:19, 105:1, 105:8, 106:1, 106:9, 107:8, 107:9, 107:18, 110:5, 111:11, 111:19, 111:23, 112:2, 112:11, 114:16, 115:23, 120:2, 122:9, 122:10, 122:13, 123:10, 123:11, 124:5, 124:15, 125:7

PETs [2] - 102:19, 102:20 phone [3] - 16:10, 40:13. 55:25 **phonetic** [1] - 69:4 phonetic) [2] - 21:25, 33.1 physical [2] - 40:9, 68:13 physician [2] - 66:22, 67:4 physicians [3] - 10:1, 57:23, 85:20 picture [2] - 45:11, 53:2 pictures [7] - 24:15, 25:6, 25:10, 26:16, 26:20, 26:22, 28:21 pieces [3] - 35:9, 48:14, 84:22 place [1] - 68:2 places [1] - 31:11 Plaintiff [2] - 1:5, 1:15 plan [4] - 17:8, 17:20, 18:16, 58:12 planning [1] - 38:22 plans [3] - 67:20, 68:7, 68:16 planted [1] - 43:15 **play** [1] - 121:19 played [3] - 39:6, 128:21, 129:3 players [1] - 54:21 point [12] - 30:22, 31:9, 42:23, 44:21, 62:19, 65:5, 69:16, 78:22, 93:11, 110:15, 127:2, 128:1 pointed [1] - 38:16 points [2] - 26:3, 100:13 Ponisio [21] - 6:25, 13:21, 14:3, 14:22, 15:8, 15:21, 15:24, 16:1, 16:9, 16:10, 17:13, 17:15, 17:21, 18:18, 65:10, 65:12, 83:3, 107:4, 110:16, 110:19 Ponisio's [4] - 17:9, 17:13, 105:24, 106:17 **Pool** [1] - 67:3 poor [1] - 49:17 population [1] - 119:6 **portion** [1] - 50:5 portray [2] - 48:18, 51:5 portraying [1] - 25:5 position [5] - 20:10,

27:21, 36:3, 61:14, 127:14 positive [12] - 52:12, 89:11, 90:12, 90:20, 91:4, 91:10, 91:14, 122:11, 122:15, 124:15. 124:22 possession [1] - 41:8 possible [9] - 19:4, 50:8, 59:21, 77:21, 78:3, 79:9, 91:12, 92:24, 123:9 possibly [2] - 4:22, 124:8 post [1] - 74:1 post-doctoral [1] -74:1 posterior [2] - 22:11, 22:13 postmortem [4] -58:6, 64:16, 64:18, 64:25 potential [3] - 50:9, 69:2, 86:13 potentially [1] - 97:13 PowerPoint [4] -76:15, 76:21, 77:2, 112:16 powers [1] - 37:1 practice [9] - 34:5, 74:14, 74:15, 95:22, 96:16, 97:7, 109:4, 109:13, 111:14 practitioner [1] -43:11 **pre** [11] - 6:15, 6:16, 7:13, 7:21, 8:9, 9:6, 9:11, 9:12, 76:8, 76:12, 103:11 pre-admit [2] - 7:13, 7:21 pre-admitted [9] -6:15, 6:16, 8:9, 9:6, 9:11, 9:12, 76:8, 76:12, 103:11 preliminary [1] - 35:7 preparation [2] -31:10, 82:6 prepare [3] - 21:1, 22:22, 76:15 prepared [6] - 23:7, 23:12, 24:18, 26:1, 78:24, 81:1 preparing [3] - 16:25, 22:25, 31:12 prescient [1] - 39:18 present [3] - 74:20, 82:3, 125:9 presentability [1] -54:5

presentation [10] -16:25, 32:9, 76:16, 76:22, 77:2, 96:19, 108:20, 109:15, 111:16, 112:17 presenting [5] - 73:8, 79:22, 108:1, 110:8, 113:22 presently [1] - 36:9 preserving [1] - 8:20 president [1] - 47:14 **PRESIDING** [1] - 1:3 preventing [1] - 120:6 previously [4] - 63:3, 87:9, 120:10, 120:16 primary [1] - 67:4 Princeton [1] - 72:3 printed [1] - 28:16 Prisons [1] - 61:21 private [1] - 39:8 problem [12] - 5:21, 25:23, 26:2, 29:18, 30:13, 31:6, 33:6, 34:9, 77:11, 115:18, 128:15, 129:6 problems [17] - 73:8, 77:24, 79:7, 84:18, 88:21, 90:14, 92:22, 93:17, 96:3, 101:13, 109:2, 110:9, 114:20, 116:11, 119:7, 119:20, 127:20 proceed [5] - 35:5, 35:14, 46:11, 53:12, 76:15 proceeding [3] - 9:24, 46:9 Proceedings [1] - 2:5 PROCEEDINGS [3] -1:10, 4:1, 129:19 proceedings [8] - 4:3, 9:18, 53:17, 54:1, 66:11, 70:1, 70:8, 130:8 process [7] - 10:19, 69:19, 88:18, 91:6, 92:7, 111:10, 122:18 processing [1] - 44:15 produced [2] - 2:5, 27:10 professional [3] -74:7, 74:22, 74:24 professionals [1] -47:24 professor [1] - 72:21 profile [2] - 63:16, 63:18 profound [1] - 119:3 program [1] - 72:9

Program [2] - 72:10, 72:20 progress [14] - 68:20, 73:17. 94:20. 95:4. 95:6, 95:11, 95:20, 98:18, 98:21, 108:7, 109:18, 125:5, 125:10, 125:24 progressed [6] -56:20. 60:16. 78:3. 79:10, 92:25, 126:24 progresses [4] - 84:6, 90:8, 92:15, 95:13 **Progression** [1] - 30:3 progression [10] -21:23, 52:16, 57:8, 57:9, 61:7, 95:16, 95:21, 108:10, 126:4, 126:20 progressions [1] -51:4 progressive [7] -48:25, 56:7, 56:16, 56:19, 61:5, 65:8, 69:15 prohibit [1] - 11:13 promised [1] - 63:24 **proof** [3] - 55:4, 61:9, 76:2 properly [2] - 23:5, 27:5 **proportion** [1] - 110:4 proportionality [1] -108:4 Prosecution [1] - 81:6 prosecution [5] -35:25, 61:16, 80:14, 80:15, 83:22 protein [10] - 85:25, 87:2, 88:23, 89:1, 92:6, 92:12, 92:13, 92:14, 101:23, 122:24 proteins [3] - 88:15, 91:25, 92:11 provide [6] - 11:22, 21:13, 35:6, 41:18, 70:3, 80:8 provided [1] - 112:5 **provides** [1] - 59:15 providing [2] - 12:24, 27:20 psychiatric [3] -49:11, 49:13, 52:7 psychiatrist [4] -59:18, 62:7, 63:20, 82:19 psychiatrists [1] -58:13

psychiatry [1] - 58:19

psychological [1] -119:15 psychologist [1] -62:9 psychology [1] - 72:2 published [1] - 25:11 pull [1] - 34:2 pulled [6] - 26:24, 30:17, 31:20, 32:1, 32:11, 129:4 purportedly [1] -50:19 purpose [1] - 26:1 purposes [1] - 123:16 pursuant [1] - 130:5 **push** [1] - 102:13 put [11] - 19:2, 23:8, 24:6, 24:11, 25:9, 26:25, 31:5, 66:21, 69:25, 77:2, 97:3 **puts** [1] - 23:20 putting [1] - 97:2

### Q

qualified [2] - 75:18, 75:21 **qualifies** [1] - 71:25 qualify [1] - 75:10 **qualifying** [1] - 33:25 qualitative [1] -118:17 quantitative [4] - 83:5, 116:8, 116:18, 118:21 questions [8] - 24:24, 29:23, 32:14, 44:6, 45:16, 46:14, 58:18, 115:19 quick [1] - 28:19 quickly [1] - 17:1 quite [3] - 11:4, 22:19, 38:21 quote [1] - 62:22 quotes [1] - 78:23

## R

radiologist [18] 13:23, 83:4, 103:24,
104:1, 104:2, 104:4,
104:7, 104:8,
104:22, 104:23,
105:15, 106:17,
106:18, 107:3,
107:11, 116:7,
118:18
raise [5] - 5:25, 43:14,
70:21, 78:16, 129:3
raised [8] - 34:22,

40:15, 46:10, 57:3, 63:2, 86:20, 86:21, 87.9 raises [2] - 22:3, 50:8 raising [1] - 22:7 ran [2] - 43:6, 67:13 range [7] - 97:14, 117:4, 117:9, 118:2, 119:5, 124:11, 127:11 rate [3] - 52:12, 89:24, 126:4 rather [4] - 17:3, 38:12, 77:7, 101:21 rational [1] - 54:6 rattled [1] - 40:24 re [2] - 47:16, 114:9 re-ask [1] - 114:9 re-organization [1] -47:16 reach [2] - 63:9, 63:21 reached [1] - 61:1 reaches [2] - 90:4, 93:23 read [6] - 23:3, 78:24, 83:17, 83:19, 105:19, 105:23 readdress [1] - 18:7 ready [4] - 35:15, 53:12, 68:3, 102:15 real [2] - 49:12, 68:1 real-world [1] - 49:12 reality [1] - 37:17 realized [2] - 51:22, 101:14 really [20] - 4:20, 14:20, 14:21, 17:2, 21:21, 48:23, 72:11, 84:23, 87:22, 88:6, 90:10, 92:14, 93:8, 94:5, 100:18, 108:25, 116:13, 123:17, 123:21, 124:7 reason [8] - 11:1, 29:7, 38:14, 65:11, 67:7, 67:16, 74:17, 100:7 reasonable [6] - 18:3, 18:8, 45:5, 54:6, 60:16, 60:24 reasons [1] - 50:22 recalled [1] - 26:1 receive [1] - 23:9 **RECEIVED** [3] - 3:10, 3:16, 3:22 received [6] - 7:7, 20:18. 72:2. 72:5. 75:3, 75:5

receives [1] - 39:19

receiving [1] - 43:5 recent [3] - 51:20. 62:21, 64:20 recently [4] - 57:2, 63:12, 66:6, 95:19 recess [3] - 28:19, 102:14, 129:9 RECESSED[1] -129:19 recipient [1] - 43:4 recognize [4] - 44:9, 44:10, 46:6, 70:1 recognizing [2] -94:10, 96:25 recommendation [1] -103:2 recommended [4] -53:18, 80:20, 81:23, 103:5 record [10] - 7:12, 7:14, 8:5, 28:25, 29:21, 29:23, 76:9, 76:14, 86:23, 128:23 record's [1] - 122:6 recorded [1] - 2:5 records [5] - 39:21, 41:4, 108:21, 127:24, 128:3 recurrent [1] - 86:6 recurring [1] - 60:12 redact [1] - 33:18 redactions [1] - 77:3 reduction [1] - 89:18 reenactment [1] -87:10 reexamined [1] - 57:6 refer [3] - 20:8, 37:5, 100:24 reference [5] - 31:20, 32:2, 32:11, 34:10, 61:25 referenced [1] - 20:5 references [3] - 20:4, 24:1, 76:25 referral [1] - 43:7 referred [2] - 54:15, 100:22 referring [3] - 96:10, 101:17, 102:3 refers [2] - 38:10, 102:4 refrain [1] - 44:16 refuted [1] - 26:13 refutes [1] - 57:25 regarding [10] - 77:18, 77:23, 79:6, 79:14, 80:2, 92:21, 106:1, 107:8, 108:23, 109:1 regards [1] - 106:14 regularly [1] - 71:4

response [3] - 18:1,

rest [3] - 52:7, 84:10,

23:15, 65:17

relate [3] - 107:16, 109:19, 119:11 related [8] - 75:22, 87:18, 87:20, 90:23, 92:19, 108:3, 118:19, 118:20 relates [1] - 120:13 relating [1] - 109:24 relation [1] - 87:21 relationship [4] - 39:2, 41:13, 73:11, 110:1 relays [1] - 40:22 relevant [2] - 8:21, relies [1] - 59:11 rely [4] - 59:23, 59:24, 60:1, 63:22 relying [1] - 22:1 **REM** [2] - 86:10, 87:10 remain [5] - 10:17, 11:7, 26:9, 41:21, 47:6 remained [2] - 47:18, remaining [7] - 9:17, 9:23, 11:14, 12:18, 46:24, 47:17, 67:2 remarkably [2] -42:12, 48:22 remember [6] - 55:12, 55:15, 55:21, 66:8, 67:16, 70:10 remembers [1] - 57:1 remote [3] - 42:15, 44:22, 46:18 removed [3] - 33:24, 112:25 reorganizes [1] -47:12 repeat [1] - 79:4 report [38] - 14:1, 15:13, 17:9, 21:21, 22:19, 27:2, 27:4, 27:10, 27:15, 27:25, 51:12, 51:13, 59:13, 60:7, 60:17, 60:18, 60:24, 62:11, 62:14, 62:15, 63:9, 63:12, 63:22, 65:13, 69:10, 78:7, 78:8, 78:10, 78:12, 78:23, 83:21, 83:22, 103:21, 104:19, 105:24, 116:17, 127:8 reported [4] - 87:8, 117:6, 128:21, 130:8 **Reported** [1] - 2:1 Reporter [2] - 2:2, 2:5 REPORTER'S [1] -1:10

reporter's [1] - 102:1 reporting [5] - 96:23, 96:24, 97:9, 97:20, reports [36] - 11:18, 15:11, 15:12, 15:14, 17:14, 20:6, 20:7, 20:20, 20:24, 21:13, 22:20, 27:13, 28:5, 42:22, 42:25, 56:21, 58:1, 58:3, 58:4, 58:9, 61:25, 62:10, 76:5, 76:10, 79:19, 80:25, 82:6, 83:17, 83:19, 93:14, 96:21, 103:19, 105:20, 108:22, 119:12, 127:3 represent [2] - 5:2, 104:14 representative [1] -112:5 represented [3] - 9:20, 21:9, 53:21 represents [1] -105:25 request [1] - 4:18 requested [2] - 29:13, 77:4 requests [1] - 39:21 require [3] - 28:1, 55:4, 62:25 Research [2] - 21:25, 30:5 research [17] - 23:2, 23:4, 73:9, 73:10, 73:14, 73:15, 73:25, 74:2, 109:5, 109:21, 109:23, 111:15, 112:4, 112:7, 120:23, 121:16, 121:17 resemble [1] - 88:6 reserve [1] - 100:25 reserves [1] - 101:3 residency [1] - 72:8 residents [1] - 73:20 resign [1] - 36:3 respect [6] - 4:12, 7:8, 12:4, 18:14, 24:24,

120:4

16:19

11:23

83:9

respectful [2] - 16:18,

respectfully [2] - 4:18,

respective [2] - 6:16,

respond [3] - 44:5,

responded [1] - 16:1

45:15, 86:17

100:18 result [3] - 63:14, 92:2, 94:22 resulted [1] - 57:3 resulting [3] - 58:25, 59:1, 123:23 results [9] - 49:7, 58:4, 64:21, 64:23, 64:24, 65:5, 65:7, 97:21, 108:24 retained [5] - 13:22, 13:24, 15:9, 16:14, 60:5 retired [2] - 66:3, 127:15 reveal [1] - 124:3 reveals [1] - 122:13 Reverend [2] - 68:7, 68.8 review [8] - 13:25, 22:21, 27:6, 38:5, 81:19, 86:24, 108:21, 127:17 reviewed [4] - 15:10, 46:14, 63:12, 80:19 reviewing [4] - 15:11, 81:20, 81:21, 104:4 reviews [1] - 41:20 Reynolds [12] - 37:8, 54:22, 54:23, 54:24, 66:1, 68:10, 68:11, 127:14 rifles [1] - 46:20 rights [1] - 69:20 risk [2] - 61:7, 126:24 roadmap [2] - 35:6, 35:12 Robert [5] - 4:10, 38:25, 49:13, 61:18, 82:22 ROBERT [1] - 1:7 role [6] - 37:14, 39:6, 47:18, 72:22, 97:15, 127:22 room [14] - 20:25, 43:23, 44:2, 46:7, 47:21, 47:22, 48:7, 59:11, 66:14, 67:20, 68:24, 109:22, 110:3 rooms [1] - 45:11 rotate [1] - 73:21 rough [2] - 81:15, 126:14 row [1] - 53:15 **RPR** [2] - 2:1, 130:12 rule [5] - 6:21, 12:3, 12:8, 12:22

rules [1] - 4:12 school [1] - 72:5 ruling [3] - 32:18, 120:4, 120:9 run [1] - 20:13 running [2] - 49:5, 101.7 **Ryan** [3] - 60:5, 70:18, 75:10 RYAN [2] - 3:4, 71:1 S sadly [1] - 45:7 sampling [1] - 41:15 sat [1] - 45:17 Saturday [1] - 14:17 save [1] - 50:11 saw [4] - 43:12, 97:6, 98:4, 127:25 scale [2] - 95:24, 96:20 scan [54] - 15:11, 33:20, 64:8, 64:22, 65:3, 65:4, 85:9, 85:12, 88:13, 89:7, 89:12, 89:15, 90:12, 91:10, 91:14, 99:7, 99:15, 100:2, 101:20, 101:21, 103:19, 104:19, 105:7, 105:8, 106:2, 106:10, 107:8, 107:9, 107:18, 110:5, 111:11, 113:5, 113:6, 113:8, 113:9, 114:16, 116:1, 116:4, 116:7, 120:2, 120:7, 122:9, 122:10, 122:13, 122:15, 123:11, 124:5, 124:11, 124:15, 124:22, 124:23, 125:7 scans [26] - 15:12, 18:13, 18:14, 25:17, 31:23, 32:20, 33:10, 33:15, 34:2, 34:10, 58:12, 62:17, 64:7, 65:6, 73:14, 83:5,

83:6, 101:16,

102:23, 103:1,

103:6, 111:12,

112:6, 112:11,

120:5, 123:10

17:23

14:6

schedule [2] - 16:19,

schedules [1] - 19:12

scheduling [2] - 7:1,

scheme [1] - 36:19

science [2] - 25:11, 25:20 scope [1] - 78:13 score [1] - 49:24 scored [1] - 49:20 scores [5] - 48:21, 48:25, 49:6, 50:1, 50.18 scoring [3] - 49:2, 49:3, 108:25 Scott [2] - 43:11, 66:7 screen [3] - 55:19, 103:16. 114:14 **Sean** [2] - 2:1, 130:12 sean\_gumm@txs. uscourts.gov [1] -2:3 search [9] - 36:2, 38:7, 40:17, 41:2, 42:8, 42:14, 43:9, 43:13, 55:24 seats [1] - 53:19 second [23] - 6:18, 10:6, 24:22, 51:2, 51:25, 52:15, 77:23, 79:5, 79:6, 86:9, 92:13, 92:20, 92:21, 102:22, 103:3, 104:25, 109:10, 119:8, 121:18, 122:2, 122:25, 123:1. 124:18 secondary [2] - 30:17, 30:19 seconds [3] - 128:18, 129:1, 129:2 secret [2] - 41:8, 68:2 section [2] - 103:23, 110:16 Section [1] - 130:6 see [71] - 4:21, 5:22, 13:13, 18:12, 22:21, 23:3, 25:21, 26:16, 29:6, 34:4, 35:18, 36:1, 36:25, 38:17, 40:6, 41:15, 41:16, 41:19, 41:22, 41:24, 42:2, 42:9, 45:7, 45:10, 46:18, 47:6, 48:21, 49:8, 50:6, 51:15, 60:17, 68:24, 71:16, 73:6, 73:16, 73:22, 74:14, 84:7, 84:13, 89:9, 89:21, 89:22, 90:12, 90:24, 91:1, 91:22, 91:24, 91:25, 92:9, 96:1, 96:12, 97:21, 98:17, 100:1, 106:22,

107:18, 109:6, 109:14, 111:9, 113:21, 114:6, 114:10, 116:16, 116:23, 117:3, 117:5, 121:12, 121:17, 121:23, 122:25 seeing [8] - 98:15, 106:10, 108:5, 111:6, 111:23, 115:6, 120:13, 121:20 seeking [1] - 42:17 sees [5] - 43:20, 60:18, 67:23, 115:3, 120:7 seized [2] - 41:3, 41:6 send [2] - 38:13, 38:14 sending [1] - 35:8 sends [1] - 42:16 sense [9] - 48:6, 48:24, 80:3, 80:6, 98:21, 99:17, 99:19, 111:22, 120:21 sensitive [2] - 99:25, 125:8 sent [3] - 24:22, 42:24, 116:7 **sepsis** [2] - 57:2, 57:10 September [3] - 39:19, 41:1, 42:15 sequestered [1] -10:20 sequestration [5] -6:19, 6:21, 7:15, 9:14, 23:22 series [3] - 37:10, 42:10, 43:21 serious [5] - 36:17, 40:16, 43:24, 45:3, 47.4 seriousness [1] -36:15 served [1] - 15:1 server [2] - 38:3, 41:16 servers [1] - 41:7 service [1] - 37:22 serving [1] - 68:12 **SESSION** [1] - 1:9 sets [2] - 20:18, 43:19 setting [3] - 54:17, 73:25, 98:2 settled [1] - 54:16 seven [1] - 117:12 several [1] - 40:4 severe [19] - 45:1, 48:18, 60:20, 78:5,

79:11, 93:1, 93:11, 95:21, 96:23, 97:10, 99:3, 107:25, 110:9, 110:14, 119:20, 124:22, 125:4, 125:6 severity [20] - 77:24, 79:7. 82:17. 92:22. 93:9. 95:1. 95:2. 95:23, 98:15, 106:25, 107:2, 107:8, 107:17, 107:24, 109:2, 109:25, 110:6, 111:18, 111:19, 123:22 **share** [2] - 77:15, 83:21 sharing [2] - 83:13, 113:2 short [1] - 62:25 short-term [1] - 62:25 shotguns [1] - 46:20 show [21] - 21:13, 30:13, 35:21, 36:6, 45:19, 46:22, 48:6, 48:12, 50:4, 53:4, 58:4. 64:21. 64:23. 65:6, 98:24, 105:17, 111:1, 121:2, 124:9, 125:15, 128:10 showed [1] - 85:13 showing [6] - 47:3, 50:19, 107:23, 109:22, 118:18, 120:5 shown [1] - 112:10 shows [8] - 25:7, 69:10, 79:21, 106:16, 110:2, 118:2, 124:5, 124:15 **SIC** [2] - 7:21, 15:3 side [4] - 31:7, 66:15, 102:1, 116:23 sides 181 - 7:14, 56:5. 56:17, 56:20, 57:6, 58:10, 58:12, 64:1 sides' [1] - 67:4 sign [2] - 45:22, 45:24 signed [1] - 41:11 significance [1] - 41:3 significant [6] - 64:20, 92:3, 110:9, 119:14, 119:19, 127:20 significantly [3] -46:21, 59:4, 119:5 signs [6] - 47:3, 48:16, 84:25, 108:5, 111:2, 111:12 similar [6] - 94:22,

105:7, 107:9,

112:13, 114:19, 123:10 similarities [1] - 91:18 similarly [2] - 62:4, 112:2 **simply** [3] - 47:7, 51:6, 53:1 sit [1] - 11:16 site [1] - 21:24 **sits** [1] - 46:8 sitting [2] - 5:10, 49:4 situation [1] - 15:15 six [5] - 18:17, 19:25, 46:5. 126:18 **size** [2] - 99:16, 116:2 **skipped** [1] - 101:14 **Slade** [2] - 68:17, 68:19 sleep [4] - 84:18, 86:10, 87:8, 87:10 slide [15] - 22:8, 27:1, 32:9, 32:19, 93:6, 110:16, 111:21, 112:15, 112:20, 115:21, 118:15, 120:4, 120:11, 120:16, 124:2 slides [18] - 20:20, 22:6, 23:7, 24:21, 24:24, 30:2, 30:24, 31:25, 32:10, 32:11, 33:10, 77:10, 91:16, 112:25. 115:6. 115:12, 120:9, 121:22 slight [1] - 8:4 **slow** [1] - 44:16 slower [2] - 84:9, 93:20 slowness [1] - 84:9 smaller [1] - 95:20 **Smith** [10] - 5:5, 12:14, 15:25, 16:7, 18:1, 38:25, 39:10, 39:12, 39:16, 39:23 **SMITH** [18] - 1:15, 5:4, 5:9, 6:6, 6:11, 7:19, 8:3, 8:7, 12:7, 12:19, 13:5, 13:16, 13:20, 15:2, 15:6, 18:2, 19:16, 35:2 Smith's [1] - 39:23 **so..** [1] **-** 5:23 social [1] - 4:20 software [2] - 37:7, 46:25 sole [2] - 39:7, 60:21 **solution** [1] - 18:8 someone [15] - 13:13, 26:14, 87:25, 88:19,

88:20, 90:14, 91:8, 91:13, 94:4, 95:11, 95:14, 95:18, 96:5, 101:11, 124:21 sometimes [3] - 40:1, 100:22, 100:24 somewhat [2] - 63:17, 105:9 soon [2] - 17:19, 40.21 sooner [1] - 17:3 sorry [15] - 8:3, 15:6, 16:16, 18:10, 27:18, 32:5, 45:23, 53:21, 79:4, 91:16, 115:17, 122:2, 126:7, 126:15, 128:20 sort [11] - 4:12, 24:6, 26:22, 28:15, 29:13, 79:23, 92:18, 106:6, 120:20, 120:24, 122:10 sounds [4] - 18:2, 18:7, 27:17, 27:18 source [4] - 20:4, 30:17, 99:9, 102:5 sources [2] - 30:19, 96:16 Southern [1] - 2:3 **SOUTHERN**[1] - 1:2 spanned [1] - 69:22 speaking [1] - 14:3 special [1] - 85:8 **specialists** [1] - 58:15 specialities [1] - 58:19 specialize [2] - 71:22, 72.17 specialized [2] - 72:6, 89.5 **specializes** [1] - 59:19 specific [4] - 55:5, 76:2, 80:11, 92:2 specifically [3] -72:18, 82:13, 117:22 **specifics** [1] - 20:2 speeches [4] - 49:4, 84:24, 97:19, 127:9 speed [1] - 44:15 spent [2] - 61:19, 74:11 spinal [2] - 71:19, 123:9 spreading [1] - 88:7 spreads [1] - 108:9 St [2] - 14:4, 16:4 stage [29] - 61:1, 78:1, 78:3, 79:8, 79:10, 79:12, 79:22, 90:6, 92:23, 92:25, 93:2, 93:23, 94:5, 94:7,

95:12, 95:17, 95:19, 96:22, 97:5, 97:11, 98:20, 110:6, 110:7, 124:8, 124:9, 126:23, 126:25, 128:2 stages [2] - 93:7, 94:20 stake [1] - 19:14 stand [8] - 11:14, 45:2, 52:21, 62:24, 71:6, 76:1, 82:21, 117:25 standard [2] - 26:22, 27:3 standpoint [1] -117:25 stands [1] - 101:25 start [7] - 7:16, 53:14, 83:25, 91:24, 98:23, 102:12, 128:17 started [10] - 4:11, 5:24, 6:3, 6:13, 7:11, 29:24, 34:24, 35:13, 69:9. 129:14 starting [3] - 5:3, 100:13, 127:2 starts [4] - 43:21, 68:3, 95:25, 111:10 **state** [5] - 5:2, 54:20, 57:16, 60:17, 79:16 statement [2] - 35:6, 55:8 statements [4] - 35:5, 50:15, 69:24, 119:18 States [7] - 2:2, 4:9, 5:5, 23:17, 54:4, 70:18, 130:6 **STATES**[1] - 1:1 statistically [1] - 105:5 **statutes** [1] - 55:4 stay [2] - 12:5, 53:17 staying [2] - 12:11, 12:21 stays [1] - 90:11 steadfast [1] - 10:10 steals [1] - 67:6 steer [1] - 33:8 stenographically [1] -130:7 stenography [1] - 2:5 step [4] - 42:6, 51:23, 70:20, 89:12 Stephen [1] - 68:16 stiffness [1] - 84:11 still [6] - 42:12, 57:20, 67:11, 111:3, 113:11, 126:22 stipulate [1] - 128:19 stipulated [1] - 6:14

114:17 suggestive [3] -104:10, 105:3, 105:18 **summaries** [1] - 25:8 **summarize** [1] - 91:18 summarizing [1] -31.13 summary [3] - 9:21, 22:6, 124:4 Sunday [3] - 21:19, 22:25, 27:10 superior [1] - 101:6 supplement [4] - 21:7, 21:9, 21:21, 27:12 supplemental [12] -11:22, 21:13, 27:1, 27:3, 27:9, 27:15, 27:25, 60:7, 62:14, 62:15, 63:9, 63:12 support [4] - 38:16, 78:23, 84:23, 85:14 supported [2] - 15:17, 121:21 supports [1] - 56:14 **Supreme** [1] - 54:3 suspected [1] - 86:24 sustain [1] - 114:11 sustained [1] - 115:1 swear [1] - 13:2 switched [1] - 21:18 switches [1] - 63:22 sworn [1] - 71:4 symptom [1] - 56:9 symptoms [40] -35:24, 36:10, 43:1, 50:3, 52:17, 52:19, 53:3, 57:17, 61:15, 73:13, 84:17, 86:2, 86:4, 87:25, 88:9, 88:19, 89:3, 90:19, 90:21, 90:22, 91:1, 92:4, 92:9, 97:8, 97:20, 98:16, 100:9, 107:17, 108:1, 108:12, 108:15, 108:19, 109:25, 110:2, 110:14, 111:20, 119:11, 120:14, 123:4, 123:23 system [6] - 38:9, 38:13, 71:17, 72:4, 72:12, 84:19

## Т

**table** [2] - 5:10, 87:5 **talks** [4] - 50:8, 55:23, 55:24, 66:13 Tamine [22] - 37:12, 37:20, 37:25, 38:3, 38:22, 39:22, 39:24, 40:7, 40:11, 40:14, 40:15, 40:19, 40:21, 41:10, 41:11, 41:25, 50:12, 65:20, 65:21 Tamine's [3] - 40:9, 41:2, 41:19 task [2] - 57:24, 63:7 tasks [1] - 93:20 tau [13] - 21:23, 88:25, 90:18, 92:13, 92:14, 123:1, 123:2, 123:6, 123:8, 123:9, 123:10 tax [3] - 35:20, 54:11, 55:3 teaching [3] - 73:18, 73:19, 73:24 team [6] - 80:14, 80:15, 82:8, 82:10, 83:7, 83:22 technical [8] - 20:14, 21:3, 21:5, 23:1, 23:18, 23:20, 23:24, 28:9 **tee** [1] - 20:2 telephone [1] - 94:12 temporal [3] - 117:6, 118:23, 119:3 temporarily [1] - 42:10 ten [8] - 57:18, 74:10, 88:3, 95:13, 102:12, 126:2, 126:12, 126:14 tends [1] - 99:25 term [2] - 62:25, 94:17 terminology [1] -110:21 terms [6] - 26:14, 67:18, 93:3, 93:5, 93:8, 107:24 test [9] - 48:15, 48:21, 48:25, 49:6, 49:23, 49:25, 50:5, 101:22, 123:9 tested [1] - 49:14 testified [5] - 63:18, 71:4, 74:9, 114:13, 120:19 testifier [1] - 74:8 testifiers [1] - 10:5 testifies [2] - 18:4, 18:7 testify [38] - 10:25, 11:6, 12:10, 12:16, 14:12, 14:15, 14:19, 14:22, 15:19, 16:5, 16:14, 16:15, 16:18, 17:9, 17:18, 18:13,

24:11, 27:7, 30:23, 31:19, 34:2, 34:6, 37:16, 40:23, 41:12, 56:12, 58:23, 59:3, 70:4, 70:9, 70:11, 113:11, 115:2, 115:3, 115:15, 120:6, 120:12 testifying [7] - 11:9, 18:11, 27:22, 61:20, 112:23, 114:1, 115:5 testimony [24] - 10:24, 11:2, 11:10, 11:17, 11:21, 11:22, 12:6, 12:24, 14:7, 18:5, 45:18, 49:8, 66:15, 70:2, 70:3, 76:16, 78:12, 78:13, 78:16, 78:18, 81:1, 82:6, 97:25, 127:16 testing [15] - 59:10, 62:1, 62:3, 79:19, 82:15, 87:5, 96:8, 96:9, 96:11, 97:21, 98:6, 107:21, 108:24, 119:16, 123:8 tests [26] - 43:7, 43:19, 49:3, 49:11, 52:4, 52:5, 52:7, 52:8, 52:9, 52:13, 56:25, 57:4, 57:6, 61:23, 64:17, 73:23, 80:20, 80:22, 81:6, 81:22, 82:25, 89:6, 99:14, 99:22 TEXAS[1] - 1:2 Texas [2] - 1:11, 2:3 that'll [2] - 75:15, 109:11 THE [94] - 1:3, 3:9, 3:15, 3:21, 4:7, 5:8, 5:11, 5:17, 5:21, 6:8, 7:4, 7:10, 7:23, 8:2, 8:6, 8:16, 8:25, 9:5, 9:9, 10:22, 11:20, 12:2, 12:13, 12:22, 13:6, 13:18, 14:24, 17:25, 18:9, 18:19, 19:11, 19:18, 19:20, 23:14, 23:25, 24:23, 26:2, 26:17, 27:14, 28:11, 28:17, 28:22, 29:1, 29:18, 30:9, 31:6, 31:18, 32:23, 33:2, 33:6, 33:17, 34:6, 34:9, 34:14, 34:21, 35:4, 53:8, 53:11, 53:23, 70:14, 70:19, 71:5, 71:6,

75:13, 75:17, 76:11, 77:5, 77:11, 78:9, 78:15, 79:2, 102:9, 109:10, 113:5, 113:17, 114:9, 114:21, 114:25, 115:8, 115:10, 115:11, 115:14, 115:17, 115:18, 120:3, 121:3, 121:18, 122:1, 128:12, 128:15, 128:19, 129:6, 129:17, 129:19 themselves [4] - 5:2, 11:10, 12:25, 26:4 Therapy [2] - 21:25, 30.5 they've [2] - 10:7, 76:11 thinking [2] - 71:23, 95.2 thinks [1] - 67:25 third [6] - 6:23, 10:11, 52:18, 79:13, 79:14, 124:14 thorough [1] - 96:11 thoughts [1] - 94:3 thousands [1] - 55:1 threat [1] - 41:22 three [11] - 6:12, 9:17, 55:14, 62:10, 64:6, 65:24, 66:8, 72:8, 77:17, 106:9 three-year [1] - 72:8 throughout [2] -46:19, 52:4 throw [1] - 62:22 thrown [1] - 23:8 Thursday [1] - 18:24 tie [4] - 44:23, 46:17 timeline [2] - 48:20, 55:24 timely [2] - 26:6, 31:10 timing [2] - 18:21, 87:22 Title [1] - 130:5 today [27] - 4:25, 6:5, 18:12. 26:1. 37:13. 38:18, 43:20, 51:3, 52:19. 54:1. 54:7. 56:3, 57:12, 60:7, 62:4, 65:17, 66:10, 66:15, 66:23, 68:15, 68:19, 68:23, 69:8, 72:15, 76:16, 87:15 together [5] - 23:8, 65:5, 73:22, 82:11, 83:11 Tommy [3] - 69:3,

121:4, 121:6

24:16, 26:13

70:18, 130:6

**UNITED** [1] - 1:1

unless [1] - 31:18

unlike [1] - 52:25

unlikely [1] - 104:14

unsealed [2] - 36:5,

unusual [1] - 10:22

**up** [24] - 6:12, 7:2,

14:3, 14:5, 14:16,

20:2, 20:16, 29:3,

35:11, 40:21, 47:13,

51:9, 51:23, 54:18,

65:15, 68:3, 106:4,

106:8, 109:11,

113:10, 113:12,

113:24, 117:25,

uptake [2] - 100:3,

urologist [3] - 42:17,

urologist's [1] - 43:18

**US** [8] - 35:20, 38:25,

39:20. 39:24. 40:2.

40:12, 54:24, 68:11

urgent [1] - 7:2

42:20, 43:21

**USA**[1] - 1:4

121:22

105:8

44:25

47:19

unfair [3] - 10:19,

United [7] - 2:2, 4:9,

5:5, 23:17, 54:4,

University [2] - 43:22,

69:6, 127:17 tomorrow [2] - 4:22, 29:17 tonight [2] - 17:21, 23:10 took [1] - 45:20 top [1] - 77:15 top-line [1] - 77:15 topic [1] - 18:20 topics [1] - 20:15 totally [1] - 49:12 tough [4] - 21:5, 23:18, 23:20, 23:24 towards [1] - 95:14 tracer [1] - 89:8 track [3] - 54:13, 93:18, 94:9 trail [1] - 38:15 trained [2] - 36:8, 47:23 training [4] - 72:6, 74:4, 113:19, 121:11 transactions [1] -69:22 transcript [2] - 2:5, 130:7 TRANSCRIPT[1] -1:10 trauma [2] - 94:22, 95:5 travel [3] - 17:4, 17:23, 40:11 treating [11] - 10:1, 57:23, 59:19, 63:5, 66:22, 69:13, 75:11, 77:14, 85:19, 86:19, 127:25 treatise [1] - 26:25 treatises [4] - 24:2, 25:1, 33:19, 33:23 tremor [1] - 84:10 trial [7] - 45:2, 52:21, 55:9, 56:3, 62:25, 76:1, 82:21 tried [1] - 8:21 trip [2] - 42:16, 55:25 trips [2] - 40:2, 68:5 trouble [2] - 126:21, 126:22 true [4] - 34:20, 36:23, 47:24, 130:7 truly [1] - 48:24 trumpets [1] - 41:20 trust [11] - 39:11, 41:21, 45:5, 45:18, 50:14, 54:14, 54:18, 54:20, 54:22, 66:20, 68:12 Trust [11] - 37:4, 37:6, 37:15, 39:7, 39:13,

39:17, 39:22, 40:5, 41:5, 54:15, 54:19 trustee [1] - 37:14 trusts [2] - 36:21, 54:19 truth [1] - 45:6 try [4] - 42:23, 50:11, 84:11, 90:25 trying [8] - 25:17, 27:14, 31:3, 31:4, 48:18, 51:5, 108:13, 116:13 Tuesday [1] - 19:6 tumors [1] - 72:13 turn [2] - 39:5, 39:16 turned [1] - 39:18 turning [1] - 67:5 **TWO** [1] - 44:10 two [30] - 20:6, 20:18, 22:9, 32:2, 33:14, 44:10, 45:14, 45:17, 46:7, 46:8, 46:14, 47:1, 47:9, 50:21, 64:6, 65:4, 66:4, 71:15, 72:18, 91:16, 92:11, 99:5, 99:22, 100:10, 102:20, 120:9, 121:15, 121:22, 127:3, 128:13 two-day [1] - 46:8 two-year [1] - 72:18 type [6] - 28:9, 80:7, 85:8, 89:15, 113:20,

115:23

112:1

types [10] - 73:14,

74:13, 74:19, 76:18,

89:5, 101:15, 112:9,

113:20, 115:5, 124:1

typically [4] - 76:17,

umbrella [1] - 94:17

44:6, 45:15, 45:22,

unclear [1] - 128:25

undercuts [1] - 67:7

undergraduate [1] -

underlying [3] - 85:25,

understood [3] - 29:2,

under [3] - 24:20,

41:21, 110:15

99:12, 118:20

unable [5] - 44:5,

60:19

73:25

117:8, 125:6, 125:14

U

#### value [2] - 53:1, 96:20 typical [5] - 11:20, Vanderbilt [5] - 72:5, 25:13, 84:8, 92:16, 72:22, 72:24, 73:2,

73:5 **VARNADO**[19] - 1:19, 5:13, 5:18, 7:5, 7:25, 8:17, 9:3, 9:6, 9:15, 11:5, 11:25, 12:14, 13:4, 18:20, 19:19, 19:21, 19:25, 29:9, 129:15 **Varnado** [3] - 5:14, 18:19, 19:11 vast [1] - 36:20 versa [1] - 97:3 versus [5] - 4:10, 51:16, 83:11, 95:9, 124:22 via [3] - 14:19, 14:22, 16:5

vice [1] - 97:3

video [8] - 14:19, 14:23, 16:5, 45:19, 49:8, 50:4, 128:17, 129:4 videos [4] - 84:24, 85:1, 97:18, 127:9 view [2] - 52:24, 101:8 viewed [1] - 46:1 viewpoint [1] - 121:20 violation [1] - 55:6 Vista [7] - 39:1, 39:2, 39:4, 39:6, 39:8, 39:9, 39:19 Vista's [1] - 39:21 visual [1] - 86:5 visualize [1] - 89:9 vital [1] - 63:10 Vol [1] - 21:25 volume [11] - 99:16, 99:17, 99:20, 116:14, 116:21, 117:5, 117:15, 118:18, 119:3, 119:4, 125:11 volumes [5] - 116:9, 117:4, 117:17, 118:3, 124:10 Volumes [1] - 30:5 volumetric [2] -117:15, 117:24 **voluntary** [1] - 55:5 **vs** [1] - 1:6

## W

wait [2] - 21:1, 121:23 waiting [1] - 29:20 walking [1] - 84:13 walls [1] - 38:23 wants [7] - 6:20, 14:20, 27:24, 48:6, 48:9, 65:17, 120:12 warns [1] - 44:16 warrant [10] - 36:2, 40:17, 40:20, 40:23, 42:8, 42:14, 43:1, 43:9, 43:13, 55:25 **Washington** [1] - 14:4 waste [1] - 14:9 68:20 water [1] - 38:19 waters [1] - 40:9 ways [3] - 99:5, 110:23, 111:1 Wednesday [3] - 19:3, 19:10, 29:15 week [9] - 16:2, 16:20, 37:13, 42:19, 52:21, 56:12, 58:3, 67:14

welcome [2] - 5:11, 5:17 well-known [1] - 51:4 WERE [1] - 129:19 whereas [1] - 110:8 WHEREUPON [1] -129:19 Whitlow [7] - 64:3, 64:21, 65:3, 107:7, 107:19, 119:2, 119:11 Whitlow's [1] - 106:19 whole [2] - 10:5, 53:2 wife [5] - 44:20, 59:25, 62:5, 82:2, 108:22 wiggle [1] - 20:25 willing [1] - 35:19 window [1] - 10:2 Wisniewski [4] -18:23, 19:9, 58:21, 59:3 WITHDRAWN [3] -3:10, 3:16, 3:22 witness [41] - 6:4, 6:23, 6:24, 7:8, 9:22, 11:14, 13:8, 13:14, 13:21, 13:22, 14:8, 15:19, 15:20, 15:22, 15:24, 17:8, 17:10, 17:24, 18:11, 24:13, 61:17, 62:6, 63:17, 65:11, 65:19, 65:25, 66:24, 67:1, 68:17, 69:2, 69:4, 70:2, 70:16, 76:9, 77:9, 78:22, 112:23, 115:3, 120:6, 120:19 Witness [1] - 71:3 WITNESS [4] - 71:5, 115:8, 115:11, 115:17 **WITNESSES** [1] - 3:1 witnesses [15] - 4:15, 6:19, 9:14, 9:21, 10:20, 12:4, 12:8, 13:13, 17:16, 56:5, 58:14, 66:9, 69:11, 69:24, 82:9 Women's [1] - 72:10 wondering [2] - 24:7, 98:10 word [4] - 44:9, 44:10, 46:6, 101:25 words [5] - 24:12, 31:1, 53:1, 58:25, 63:14 works [2] - 4:23, 14:4

world [3] - 38:2, 40:1,

49:12

weeks [1] - 45:14

# V

validity [1] - 52:3

watched [2] - 68:13,

worried [1] - 39:15 worry [2] - 39:19, 129:11 worse [8] - 41:10, 44:21, 49:1, 49:2, 49:18, 49:21, 56:22, 61:8 write [4] - 51:9, 51:12, 76:5, 120:22 write-up [1] - 51:9 writing [3] - 38:4, 82:5, 110:20 written [1] - 103:21 wrote [5] - 14:1, 40:7, 40:14, 80:25, 127:3

## Υ

year [14] - 37:18, 60:14, 62:22, 66:3, 68:4, 69:5, 69:12, 72:8, 72:18, 87:25, 95:19, 116:5, 126:5, 126:9 years [26] - 35:22, 36:18, 36:22, 38:19, 40:25, 41:8, 49:25, 50:25, 53:2, 54:12, 57:18, 61:19, 65:24, 66:8, 68:18, 69:23, 72:23, 88:3, 88:19, 90:3, 95:13, 116:25, 125:25, 126:2, 126:13, 126:14 York [7] - 21:17, 44:8, 44:12, 44:13, 44:20, 46:4, 46:17 York's [1] - 47:8 yourself [1] - 74:7 Yudofsky [5] - 29:14, 42:24, 43:6, 43:13, 43:17 Yudofsky's [1] - 29:1